

PÁL PACHER, SÁNDOR BÁTKAI, AND GEORGE KUNOS

Laboratory of Physiologic Studies, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland

Abstract	390
I. Introduction	390
II. The pharmacology of cannabinoids	391
A. Cannabinoid receptors and ligands	391
B. Cannabinoid receptor signaling	394
C. Endocannabinoids	396
III. The endocannabinoid system as therapeutic target in pathophysiological conditions	398
A. Diseases of energy metabolism	398
1. Endocannabinoids and appetite regulation	398
2. Endocannabinoids and peripheral energy metabolism	401
3. Obesity and associated metabolic abnormalities	402
4. Cachexia and anorexia	404
B. Pain and inflammation	405
C. Central nervous system disorders	407
1. Neurotoxicity and neurotrauma	407
2. Stroke	408
3. Multiple sclerosis and spinal cord injury	409
4. Movement disorders (basal ganglia disorders)	411
a. Parkinson's disease and levodopa-induced dyskinesia	412
b. Huntington's disease	413
c. Gilles de la Tourette's syndrome, tardive dyskinesia, and dystonia	414
5. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis	414
6. Alzheimer's disease	414
7. Epilepsy	415
8. Mental disorders	416
a. Schizophrenia	416
b. Anxiety and depression	417
9. Insomnia	419
10. Nausea and emesis	420
11. Drug addiction and alcohol disorders	420
a. Opiates	421
b. Nicotine	422
c. Cocaine	422
d. Alcohol	422
e. Psychostimulants	423
D. Cardiovascular and respiratory disorders	423
1. Hypertension	425
2. Circulatory shock	426
3. Myocardial reperfusion injury	427
4. Atherosclerosis	428

doi:10.1124/pr.58.3.2.

5. Asthma	428
E. Eye disorders (glaucoma and retinopathy)	429
F. Cancer	430
G. Gastrointestinal and liver disorders	431
1. Inflammatory bowel disease	433
2. Acute and chronic liver disease (hepatitis and liver cirrhosis)	433
H. Musculoskeletal disorders	435
1. Arthritis	435
2. Osteoporosis	436
I. Endocannabinoids and reproductive functions	436
IV. Future directions	441
Acknowledgments	441
References	441

Abstract—The recent identification of cannabinoid receptors and their endogenous lipid ligands has triggered an exponential growth of studies exploring the endocannabinoid system and its regulatory functions in health and disease. Such studies have been greatly facilitated by the introduction of selective cannabinoid receptor antagonists and inhibitors of endocannabinoid metabolism and transport, as well as mice deficient in cannabinoid receptors or the endocannabinoid-degrading enzyme fatty acid amidohydrolase. In the past decade, the endocannabinoid system has been implicated in a growing number of physiological functions, both in the central and peripheral nervous systems and in peripheral organs. More importantly, modulating the activity of the endocannabinoid system turned out to hold therapeutic promise in a wide range of disparate diseases and pathological conditions, ranging from mood and anxiety disorders, movement disorders such as Parkinson's and Huntington's disease, neuropathic pain, multiple sclerosis and spinal cord injury, to cancer, atherosclerosis, myocardial infarction, stroke, hypertension, glaucoma, obesity/metabolic syndrome, and osteoporosis, to name just a few. An impediment to the

development of cannabinoid medications has been the socially unacceptable psychoactive properties of plant-derived or synthetic agonists, mediated by CB₁ receptors. However, this problem does not arise when the therapeutic aim is achieved by treatment with a CB₁ receptor antagonist, such as in obesity, and may also be absent when the action of endocannabinoids is enhanced indirectly through blocking their metabolism or transport. The use of selective CB₂ receptor agonists, which lack psychoactive properties, could represent another promising avenue for certain conditions. The abuse potential of plant-derived cannabinoids may also be limited through the use of preparations with controlled composition and the careful selection of dose and route of administration. The growing number of preclinical studies and clinical trials with compounds that modulate the endocannabinoid system will probably result in novel therapeutic approaches in a number of diseases for which current treatments do not fully address the patients' need. Here, we provide a comprehensive overview on the current state of knowledge of the endocannabinoid system as a target of pharmacotherapy.

I. Introduction

Marijuana, or cannabis, is the most widely used illicit drug in Western societies and also the one with the longest recorded history of human use. The popularity of marijuana as a recreational drug is due to its ability to alter sensory perception and cause elation and euphoria, most vividly described by the 19th century French poet, Charles Baudelaire, in his book *Les Paradis Artificiels* (Iversen, 2000). However, the ability of extracts of the hemp plant (*Cannabis sativa*) to cause a variety of medicinal effects unrelated to its psychoactive properties had been recognized as early as the third millennium BC, when Chinese texts described its usefulness in the relief of pain and cramps (Mechoulam, 1986). In ancient India, the anxiety-relieving effect of bhang (the Indian term for marijuana ingested as food) had been recorded more than 3000 years ago. The use of cannabis or hashish as a psychoactive substance reached Europe and the Americas through the

Arab world in the 19th century. During the same period, cannabis extracts had gained widespread use for medicinal purposes until 1937, when concern about the dangers of abuse led to the banning of marijuana for further medicinal use in the United States. The rather turbulent history of marijuana and the recent resurgence of interest in its medicinal properties have been the subject of excellent reviews (Mechoulam, 1986; Iversen, 2000; Di Marzo et al., 2004; Howlett et al., 2004; Pertwee, 2005a; Piomelli, 2005; Di Marzo and Petrocellis, 2006; Mackie, 2006; Pagotto et al., 2006). Added to this interest is the emergence of the endocannabinoid system, offering not only new insights into the mechanisms underlying the therapeutic actions of plant-derived phytocannabinoids but also novel molecular targets for pharmacotherapy. In this overview, we will briefly summarize current thoughts about the role of endocannabinoids in a given physiological or pathological process and then survey attempts to exploit this role for therapeutic gain.

II. The Pharmacology of Cannabinoids

A. Cannabinoid Receptors and Ligands






Up until the last two decades, marijuana research was a rather esoteric field, of interest to a small number of scientists. A contributory factor was the highly lipophilic nature of the biologically active ingredients, which led to the notion that marijuana elicits its effects nonspecifically by perturbing membrane lipids (Lawrence and Gill, 1975). The first important breakthrough that ultimately led to a rejection of this concept was the identification by Gaoni and Mechoulam (1964) of the correct chemical structure of the main psychoactive ingredient of marijuana, Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (THC¹), and the subse-

¹ Abbreviations: THC or Δ^9 -THC, Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol; CP-55,940, (1*R*,3*R*,4*R*)-3-[2-hydroxy-4-(1,1-dimethylheptyl)phenyl]-4-(3-hydroxypropyl)cyclohexan-1-ol; GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; CB₁ or CB₂, cannabinoid 1 or 2; CBD, cannabidiol; SR141716, *N*-(piperidin-1-yl)-5-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-4-methyl-1*H*-pyrazole-3-carboximide hydrochloride (rimonabant); AM251, *N*-(piperin-1-yl)-5-(4-iodophenyl)-1-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-4-methyl-1*H*-pyrazole-3-carboxamide; TRPV₁ or VR₁, transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 or vanilloid 1; WIN 55,212-2, *R*-(+)-[2,3-dihydro-5-methyl-3-[(morpholinyl)methyl]pyrrolo-[1,2,3-*de*]-1,4-benzoxazinyl]-(1-naphthalenyl)methanone mesylate; GTP γ S, guanosine 5'-*O*-(3-thio)triphosphate; HU-210, Δ^8 -tetrahydrocannabinol dimethyl heptyl; DARPP-32, dopamine- and cAMP-regulated phosphoprotein of 32 kDa; 2-AG, 2-arachidonoylglycerol; NAPE, *N*-arachidonoyl phosphatidylethanolamide; PE, phosphatidylethanolamine; PL, phospholipase; DAG, diacylglycerol; FAAH, fatty acid amide hydrolase; UCM707, *N*-(3-furanylmethyl)-5*Z*,8*Z*,11*Z*,14*Z*-eicosatetraenamide; LY2318912, 5-(4-azido-3-iodo-benzoylamino-methyl)-tetrazole-1-carboxylic acid dimethylamide; MGL, monoacylglyceride lipase; DSI, depolarization-induced suppression of inhibition; SR144528, *N*-(1*S*)-endo-1,3,3-trimethyl bicyclo heptan-2-yl]-5-(4-chloro-3-methylphenyl)-1-(4-methylbenzyl)-pyrazole-3-carboxamide; NPY, neuropeptide Y; MCH, melanin concentrating hormone; α -MSH, α -melanocyte-stimulating hormone; CRH, corticotropin-releasing hormone; CART, cocaine- and amphetamine-related transcript; AMPK, AMP-activated protein kinase; ACC1, acetyl CoA carboxylase-1; SREBP1c, sterol response element binding protein 1c; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; CNS, central nervous system; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; LPS, lipopolysaccharide or endotoxin; TNF- α , tumor necrosis factor- α ; IL, interleukin; CXCL, CXC chemokine ligand; NMDA receptor, *N*-methyl-D-aspartate receptor; HU-211, dextranabinol; TBI, traumatic brain injury; BAY 38-7271, (-)-(*R*)-3-(2-hydroxymethylindanyl-4-oxy)phenyl-4,4,4-trifluoro-1-sulfonate; MCAo, middle cerebral artery occlusion; GABA, gamma-aminobutyric acid; GPe or GPi, external or internal globus pallidus; HD, Huntington's disease; HPA axis, hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis; HU-211, dextranabinol; ICAM-1, intercellular adhesion molecule-1; IL, interleukin; I/R, ischemia reperfusion; KA, kainic acid; LID, levodopa-induced dyskinesia; methyl-D-aspartate receptor; NO, nitric oxide; PD, Parkinson's disease; LY320135, [6-methoxy-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)benzo[*b*]-thien-3-yl][4-cyanophenyl] methanone; MS, multiple sclerosis; SCI, spinal cord injury; EAE, experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis; JWH-133, 1,1-dimethylbutyl-1-deoxy- Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol; PEA, palmitoylethanolamide; ACEA, arachidonyl-2'-chloroethylamide/(all *Z*)-*N*-(2-cycloethyl)-5,8,11,14-eicosatetraenamide; JWH-015, (2-methyl-1-propyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-1-naphthalenylmethanone; OMDM1, (*R*)-*N*-oleoyl-(1'-hydroxybenzyl)-2'-ethanolamine; OMDM2, (*S*)-*N*-oleoyl-(1'-hydroxybenzyl)-2'-ethanolamine; SNr, substantia nigra pars reticulata; LID, levodopa-induced dyskinesia; GPe or GPi, external or internal globus pallidus; HD, Huntington's disease;




quent demonstration that bioactivity resides in the *l*-stereoisomer of this compound (Mechoulam and Gaoni, 1967), which is one of approximately 60 cannabinoids present in the plant (Dewey, 1986). This discovery stimulated the generation of a whole range of synthetic analogs in the 1970s that included not only compounds structurally similar to phytocannabinoids (Fig. 1A) but also analogs with different chemical structures, including classic and nonclassic cannabinoids and aminoalkylindoles (Fig. 1B) (Howlett et al., 2002), as well as the subsequently discovered endogenous arachidonic acid derivatives or endocannabinoids (Fig. 1C), which are discussed in more detail below. Studies of the biological effects of THC and its synthetic analogs revealed strict structural selectivity (Hollister, 1974) as well as stereoselectivity (Jones et al., 1974), telltale signs of drug-receptor interactions. Definitive evidence for the existence of specific cannabinoid receptors was followed soon by the demonstration of high-affinity, saturable, stereospecific binding sites for the synthetic cannabinoid agonist [³H]CP-55,940 in mouse brain plasma membranes, which correlated with both the *in vitro* inhibition of adenylate cyclase and the *in vivo* analgesic effect of the compound (Devane et al., 1988). The availability of a radioligand also allowed the mapping of cannabinoid receptors in the brain by receptor autoradiography (Herkenham et al., 1991b). This mapping turned out to be of key importance in the subsequent identification of an orphan G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) as the brain receptor for cannabinoids (Matsuda et al., 1990), later named CB₁ receptor, based on the overlapping regional distribution of the mRNA for this GPCR and [³H]CP-55,940 binding sites. CB₁ receptors are the most abundant receptors in the mammalian brain but are also present at much lower concentrations in a variety of peripheral tissues and cells. A second cannabinoid GPCR, CB₂, is expressed primarily in cells of the immune and hematopoietic systems (Munro et al., 1993) but recently were found to be present in the brain (Van Sickle et al., 2005; Gong et al., 2006), in nonparenchymal

ALS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; AM404, *N*-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-eicos-5,8,11,14-tetraenamide; VDM11, *N*-(4-hydroxy-2-methylphenyl) arachidonoyl amide; AM374, palmitylsulfonylester; TS, Gilles de la Tourette's syndrome; AD, Alzheimer's disease; α B, β -amyloid; HPA, hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal; URB597, cyclohexyl carbamic acid 3'-carbamoyl-biphenyl-3-yl ester; 5-HT, 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin); VTA, ventral tegmental area; nAc, nucleus accumbens; CPP, conditioned place preference; MDMA, 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (Ecstasy); SHR, spontaneously hypertensive rat(s); WKY, Wistar-Kyoto; AM281, *N*-(morpholin-4-yl)-1-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-5-(4-iodophenyl)-4-methyl-1*H*-pyrazole-3-carboxamide; AM630, 6-iodo-2-methyl-1-[2-(4-morpholinyl)ethyl]-1*H*-indol-3-yl(4-methoxyphenyl)-methanone; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; PRS-211,092, [(+)-(6*aS*,10*aS*)-6,6-dimethyl-3-(1,1-dimethylheptyl)-1-hydroxy-9-(1*H*-imidazol-2-ylsulfanylmethyl)-6*a*,7,10,10*a*-tetrahydro-6*H*-dibenzo[*b,d*]pyran; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; HU-320, cannabidiol-dimethylheptyl-7-oic acid; HU-308, (+)-(1-*aH*,3*H*,5*aH*)-4-[2,6-dimethoxy-4-(1,1-dimethylheptyl)phenyl]-6,6-dimethylbicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-ene-2-carbinol.


A. Phytocannabinoids and their analogs

 Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ⁹-THC)	CB ₁ = CB ₂ agonist	Felder et al., 1995 Schwaller et al., 1996 Rinaldi-Carmona et al., 1994 Rhee et al., 1997
 Cannabivaritin (Cannabivarol, CBV)	CB ₁ = CB ₂ antagonist	Thomas et al., 2005
 (-)-5'-[1,1-dimethylheptyl]cannabidiol (DMH-CBD)	CB ₁ = CB ₂ agonist inhibition of AEA uptake	Bisogno et al., 2001
 (-)-Cannabidiol (CBD)	no activity at CB ₁ or CB ₂ antagonism of non-CB ₁ or non-CB ₂ modulator of α ₁ -adrenoreceptor inhibition of AEA uptake and metabolism	Schwaller et al., 1996 Jérai et al., 1999 Pertwee et al., 2002 Bisogno et al., 2001
 Ajulemic acid (AJA, CT-3, IP-751)	CB ₁ = CB ₂ agonist	Dyson et al., 2005


B. Synthetic cannabinoids

Classical		
 (-) HU-210	CB ₁ = CB ₂	Felder et al., 1995 Schwaller et al., 1996
 Nabilone	CB ₁ = CB ₂	Gareau et al., 1996
 (+) HU-211 (dexanabinol)	no activity at CB ₁ or CB ₂ noncompetitive NMDA antagonist	Schwaller et al., 1996 Bar-Joseph et al., 1994

Non-Classical

 WIN 55,212-2	CB ₁ = CB ₂ agonist	Rinaldi-Carmona et al., 1994 Hillard et al., 1999 Felder et al., 1995
--	---	---

Aminoalkylindol

 (-) CP55940	CB ₁ = CB ₂ agonist	Rinaldi-Carmona et al., 1994 Hillard et al., 1999 Felder et al., 1995 Ross et al., 1999
---	---	--

C. Endogenous cannabinoids (eicosanoids)






 Anandamide (AEA)	CB ₂ >> CB ₁ agonist TRPV ₁ agonist	Mechoulam et al., 1995 Khanolkar et al., 1996 Schwaller et al., 1996 Felder et al., 1995 Zygmunt et al., 1999
 2-Arachidonoyl glycerol (2-AG)	CB ₁ = CB ₂ agonist	Mechoulam et al., 1995 Ben-Shabat et al., 1998
 2-Arachidonoyl glycerol ether	CB ₁ >> CB ₂ agonist	Hanus et al., 2001
 O-Arachidonoyl ethanolamine (virodhamine)	CB ₁ >> CB ₂ agonist	Porter et al., 2002
 N-Arachidonoyl dopamine	CB ₂ >> CB ₁ agonist TRPV ₁ agonist	Bisogno et al., 2000 Huang et al., 2002

FIG. 1. The chemical structure and pharmacological activity of selected plant derived (A), synthetic (B), and endogenous cannabinoids (C).

Two splice variants of CB₁ receptors have been also identified: CB_{1A}, which has an altered amino-terminal sequence (Shire et al., 1995), and CB_{1B}, which has an in-frame deletion of 33 amino acids at the amino terminus (Ryberg et al., 2005). The mRNAs of both splice variants are expressed at much lower levels than the CB₁ mRNA and, although the receptors expressed from the cDNAs have unique pharmacology (Ryberg et al., 2005), evidence for their natural expression has not been reported.

An interesting twist on the steric selectivity of cannabinoid receptors has emerged through recent studies of the behaviorally inactive phytocannabinoid (-)-cannabidiol (CBD) and its synthetic analogs, which have negligible affinity for either CB₁ or CB₂ receptors. Paradoxically, some of the synthetic (+)-(+)-stereoisomers of these compounds were found to bind potently to both CB₁ and CB₂ receptors (Bisogno et al., 2001) but to display only peripheral and not centrally mediated cannabinoid-like bioactivity, suggesting that they may act as antagonists rather than agonists at central, but not peripheral, CB₁ receptors (Fride et al., 2005).

Another ligand that displays central versus peripheral selectivity is ajulemic acid, a metabolite of THC that was found to have potent anti-inflammatory and analgesic properties without any overt behavioral or psychoactive effects (Burstein et al., 1992; Dyson et al., 2005; Mitchell et al., 2005). Ajulemic acid was reported to bind to both CB₁ and CB₂ receptors with reasonably high affinity (K_d 100–200 nM) but only to activate the latter (Rhee et al., 1997), which may explain its unique and therapeutically attractive pharmacological profile. A more recent study indicated even higher affinities for CB₁ (K_i 6 nM) and CB₂ receptors (K_i 56 nM) and specified the role of CB₁ in mediating its antihyperalgesic activity in neuropathic pain (Dyson et al., 2005). This article also documented limited brain penetration of ajulemic acid compared with other cannabinoids, which may account for its favorable therapeutic profile. Ajulemic acid also binds to peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ receptors with low (micromolar) affinity, which was proposed to account for its effect on adipocyte differentiation (Liu et al., 2003b).

Among the 60 or so cannabinoids present in marijuana, only THC is psychoactive. However, some of the other constituents, such as cannabidiol, have well-documented biological effects of potential therapeutic interest, such as antianxiety, anticonvulsive, antinausea, anti-inflammatory and antitumor properties (Mechoulam et al., 2002c; Grotenhermen, 2004; Vaccani et al., 2005). Cannabidiol does not significantly interact with CB₁ or CB₂ receptors, and its actions have been attributed to inhibition of anandamide degradation or its antioxidant properties (Mechoulam and Hanus, 2002; Mechoulam et al., 2002c), or an interaction with as yet unidentified cannabinoid receptors (see below). Another

cells of the cirrhotic liver (Julien et al., 2005), in the endocrine pancreas (Juan-Pico et al., 2005), and in bone (Karsak et al., 2004; Idris et al., 2005; Ofek et al., 2006).

marijuana constituent of potential therapeutic interest is tetrahydrocannabinavarin (Markus, 1971), which has recently been shown to have CB₁ antagonist properties (Thomas et al., 2005).

In addition to CB₁ and CB₂ receptors, pharmacological evidence has been accumulating over the years to support the existence of one or more additional receptors for cannabinoids (reviewed in Begg et al., 2005). Two of these possibilities have been more extensively explored: an endothelial site involved in vasodilation and endothelial cell migration (Járai et al., 1999; Begg et al., 2003; Mo et al., 2004), and a presynaptic site on glutamatergic terminals in the hippocampus mediating inhibition of glutamate release (Hájos et al., 2001). Responses elicited at both of these sites were reported to survive genetic ablation of CB₁ receptors, yet be sensitive to inhibition by the CB₁ antagonist SR141716 or by pertussis toxin but not by the CB₁ antagonist AM251 (Járai et al., 1999; Hájos and Freund, 2002; Ho and Hiley, 2003; Offertáler et al., 2003; O'Sullivan et al., 2004a,b). However, the two sites are apparently different. The aminoalkylindol WIN 55,212-2 was found to be an agonist and capsazepine an antagonist at the hippocampal (Hájos and Freund, 2002) but not at the endothelial receptor (Wagner et al., 1999; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2002). On the other hand, certain atypical cannabinoids with no affinity for CB₁ or CB₂ receptors behave as agonists (abnormal cannabidiol, O-1602) or antagonists at the endothelial receptor (cannabidiol, O-1918) but not at the hippocampal receptor (Begg et al., 2005). Arachidonoyl-L-serine, an endogenous lipid discovered in rat brain, has been found to be a vasodilator acting at the endothelial cannabinoid receptor (Milman et al., 2006), although its activity at the hippocampal receptor has not yet been evaluated. The existence of this latter receptor has recently been called into question, as the ability of WIN 55,212-2 to suppress the same excitatory synapse as studied by Hájos et al. (2001) was found to be absent in two different strains of CB₁ knockout mice, yet present in their respective wild-type controls (Takahashi and Castillo, 2006). Atypical cannabinoid receptors with pharmacological properties similar to those of the endothelial receptor have been postulated to exist on microglia, where they mediate microglial migration (Walter et al., 2003), and on neurons of the mouse vas deferens (Pertwee et al., 2002, 2005c). Activation of this latter receptor by the CBD analog 7-OH-dimethylheptyl CBD, which is inactive at CB₁, CB₂, or transient receptor potential vanilloid type 1 (TRPV₁) receptors, inhibits electrically evoked contractions of the vas deferens, and the effect is selectively inhibited by CBD itself. A brain cannabinoid receptor distinct from CB₁ was also indicated by the ability of anandamide and WIN 55,212-2, but not other agonists, to stimulate GTP γ S binding in brain plasma membranes from CB₁ knockout mice (Breivogel et al., 2001).





Of interest are recent findings reported in the patent literature that the orphan receptor GPR-55 (Sawzdargo et al., 1999) recognizes a variety of cannabinoid ligands, but not WIN 55,212-2 (Brown and Wise, 2003; Drmota et al., 2004). However, GPR-55 is apparently not expressed in the vascular endothelium and is sensitive to HU-210 (Drmota et al., 2004), a potent synthetic cannabinoid devoid of vasorelaxant properties (Wagner et al., 1999). Furthermore, it couples to G₁₂/G₁₃ and ρ kinase, which have been linked to vasoconstrictor rather than vasodilator responses. This suggests that GPR-55 is not the abnormal cannabidiol-sensitive endothelial receptor. Mice deficient in GPR-55 will help in defining the biological functions of this novel cannabinoid-sensitive receptor.

Anandamide has been found to be an agonist ligand for the TRPV₁ ion channel, although its affinity in the low micromolar range is lower than its affinity for CB₁ receptors (reviewed by van der Stelt and Di Marzo, 2004). An *in vitro* study in rat mesenteric arteries provided evidence that the endothelium-independent component of anandamide-induced vasodilation is mediated via activation of capsaicin-sensitive TRPV₁ in sensory nerve terminals. This triggers the release of CGRP, which then dilates the artery by activation of calcitonin gene-related peptide receptors on the vascular smooth muscle (Zygmunt et al., 1999). However, this mechanism does not contribute to the *in vivo* hypotensive action of anandamide, which is similar in wild-type and TRPV₁^{-/-} mice (Pacher et al., 2004).





Both CB₁ and CB₂ receptors are G protein-coupled receptors. Surprisingly, they share little sequence homology, only 44% at the protein level or 68% in the transmembrane domains, which are thought to contain the binding sites for cannabinoids (Lutz, 2002). Despite this, THC and most synthetic cannabinoids have similar affinities for the two receptors, and only recently did synthetic ligands that discriminate between CB₁ and CB₂ receptors emerge. These include agonists as well as antagonists, as listed in Fig. 2. The development of potent and highly selective CB₁ and CB₂ receptor antagonists (Rinaldi-Carmona et al., 1994, 1998) is particularly noteworthy as it provided critically important tools to explore the physiological functions of endocannabinoids. For example, as it will be discussed later in this review, the appetite-reducing effects of the CB₁ antagonist SR141716 in various rodent models was the first sign to suggest that endocannabinoids may be tonically active orexigenic agents, representing the endogenous counterpart of the "munchies" caused by marijuana smoking.

However, these antagonists, as well as most of the other CB₁ and CB₂ antagonists developed to date, have inverse agonist properties (Bouaboula et al., 1997, 1999), so their effects do not necessarily reflect reversal of the tonic action of an endocannabinoid. For this reason, the development of CB₁ and CB₂ receptor-deficient mouse strains (Ledent et al., 1999; Zimmer et al., 1999;





A. Cannabinoid receptor agonists

CB ₁ receptor selective		K _i (nM) for		Reference
		CB ₁	CB ₂	
R-(+)-methanandamide		17.9 20 28.3	868 815 868	Lin et al., 1998 Khanolkar et al., 1996 Goutopoulos et al., 2001
Arachidonoyl 2'-chloroethylamide (ACEA)		1.4 5.29	>2,000 195	Hillard et al., 1999 Lin et al., 1998
O-1812		3.4	3,870	Di Marzo et al., 2001a
2-Arachidonoyl glycerol ether		21.2	>3,000	Hanus et al., 2001

CB₂ receptor selective

JWH 015		383	13.8	Showalter et al., 1996
JWH 133		677	3.4	Huffman et al., 1999
AM 1241		280	3.4	Ibrahim et al., 2003
HU-308		>10,000	22.7	Hanus et al., 1999

B. Cannabinoid receptor antagonists

CB ₁ receptor selective		K _i (nM) for		Reference
		CB ₁	CB ₂	
SR 141716		11.8 12.3 5.6 1.8	13,200 702 >1,000 514	Felder et al., 1998 Schowalter et al., 1996 Rinaldi-Carmona et al., 1994 Ruijter et al., 2003
AM251		7.49	2,290	Lan et al., 1999b
AM281		12	4,200	Lan et al., 1999a
LY320135		141	14,900	Felder et al., 1998

CB₂ receptor selective



SR 144528		437 >10,000 70 50.3	0.60 5.8 0.28 1.99	Rinaldi-Carmona et al., 1998 Ross et al., 1999 Ruijter et al., 2003 Iwamura et al., 2001
AM630		5,152	31.2	Ross et al., 1999

FIG. 2. Selective agonists (A) and antagonists (B) of CB₁ and CB₂ receptors.





mutant mice that lack the expression of CB₁ receptors only in certain types of neurons represents another milestone, as it allows linking of specific neuronal populations with a well-defined cannabinoid-modulated behavior (Marsicano et al., 2003).

B. Cannabinoid Receptor Signaling

CB₁ and CB₂ receptors couple primarily to the G_{i/o} subtypes of G protein, and their signaling is remarkably complex. Although coupling to adenylate cyclase through G_{i/o} usually results in inhibition of cyclase activity through the release of G_{iα} isoforms, cannabinoids can also stimulate isoforms 2, 4, or 7 of adenylate cyclase via the release of βγ subunits (Rhee et al., 1998). Activation of adenylate cyclase also occurs when CB₁ and dopamine D₂ receptors are simultaneously activated (Glass and Felder, 1997), probably as a result of heterodimerization of these two types of receptors (Kearn et al., 2005). Although direct evidence for the coupling of CB₁ receptors to G_{q/11} had until recently been lacking (Howlett, 2004), the agonist WIN 55,212-2, but not other cannabinoids, was recently reported to increase intracellular calcium in cultured hippocampal neurons and in human embryonic kidney 293 cells via coupling to G_{q/11} proteins (Lauckner et al., 2005). Receptor dimerization may facilitate such coupling, which may account for CB₁-mediated mobilization of intracellular calcium in NG108-15 neuroblastoma glioma cells (Sugiura et al., 1999). Cannabinoids can also inhibit different types of calcium channels (Mackie and Hille, 1992; Gebremedhin et al., 1999) and activate certain potassium channels (Mackie et al., 1995) via G protein βγ subunits (Ikeda, 1996). Cannabinoids can activate members of all three families of multifunctional mitogen-activated protein kinases, including p44/42 MAP kinase (Wartmann et al., 1995; Davis et al., 2003), p38 kinase (Liu et al., 2000; Derkinderen et al., 2001), and JUN-terminal kinase (Liu et al., 2000; Rueda et al., 2000) and activate the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase pathway (Gómez Del Pulgar et al., 2002a). These effects could be via G protein activation (Galve-Roperh et al., 2002; Davis et al., 2003) or pathways independent of G proteins via other adaptor proteins (Sánchez et al., 2001b). Another G protein-independent pathway activated by cannabinoids involves G protein-coupled receptor kinase-3 and β-arrestin-2, which are required for desensitization, but not for internalization, of CB₁ receptors, and the related development of tolerance (Jin et al., 1999). Cannabinoids can also regulate the activity of phosphatases, as exemplified the CB₁-mediated regulation of calcineurin (protein phosphatase 2b) (Cannich et al., 2004) or the activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphatase 1, which plays an important role in the anti-inflammatory action of anandamide (Eljaschewitsch et al., 2006).

Different structural classes of cannabinoid receptor agonists have the unique ability to activate different

**FAAH inhibitors
(FAAHI)**

		CB₁	CB₂	K_d (nM) for				Reference
				FAAHI	ACUI	TRPV₁	MGL	
Palmitylsulphonyl fluoride (AM 374)		520		13-50				Deutsch et al., 1997a
URB 597				113 0.5-4.6		>1 mM	>1 mM >30 mM	Lichtman et al., 2004a Kathuria et al., 2003
Arachidonyl Trifluoromethyl Ketone (AATFMK)		0.65-2.5		900 1,000 4,000			2,500 30,000	Deutsch et al., 1997a,b Ueda et al., 1995 Beltramo et al., 1997 Koutek et al., 1994 Dinh et al., 2002b Goparaju et al., 1999
Arachidonoyl Serotonin		inactive		560-1200				Bisogno et al., 1998

**AEA cellular uptake inhibitors
(ACUI)**





		CB₁	CB₂	K_d (nM) for				Reference
				FAAHI	ACUI	TRPV₁	MGL	
AM404				inhibit	4,000	agonist		Beltramo et al., 1997 De Petrocellis et al., 2000 Jarrhian et al., 2000
VDM 11				inhibit	10,000			De Petrocellis et al., 2000
OMDM 1		12,000			2,400	>50,000	>10,000	Ortar et al., 2003 Fowler et al., 2004
UCM 707			67	30,000	80 25,000			Lopez-Rodriguez et al., 2001; 2003 Fowler et al., 2004

FIG. 3. The structure and pharmacological specificity of inhibitors of FAAH and of endocannabinoid membrane transport.

signaling cascades which, in turn, influences agonist efficacy. Using an in situ receptor/G protein reconstitution technique, CB₁ receptors were found to efficiently couple and activate both G_i and G_o, whereas CB₂ receptors only activated G_o. Furthermore, the efficacy of a given agonist was different whether CB₁ receptors coupled to G_i or G_o, demonstrating agonist-selective G protein signaling (Glass and Northup, 1999). Prather et al. (2000) found that the aminoalkylindol agonist WIN 55,212-2 activated different G_{iα} subunits with markedly different potencies. Even more striking is the recent finding that demonstrates cannabinoid agonist-selective activation of different G_{iα} subunits (Mukhopadhyay and Howlett, 2005). A possible practical implication of such findings is that unique therapeutic profiles may be

achieved through the use of different agonists for the same receptor, and such profiles may differ from one target tissue to the other, depending on the pattern of G protein subunit expression.

At least part of this agonist selectivity in G protein activation may be related to the existence of distinct binding sites on CB₁ receptors for different classes of ligands, as documented by site-directed mutagenesis and molecular modeling studies (see Reggio, 2003). These studies indicate that a K3.28A mutation in the third transmembrane domain caused a more than 1000-fold loss in affinity and loss of efficacy for anandamide and nonclassic cannabinoids, without affecting the affinity for WIN 55,212-2 (Song and Bonner, 1996). In contrast, mutations at different sites in the third, fifth, and

sixth transmembrane helices (F3.36A, W5.43A, and W6.48A) affected the binding of WIN 55,212-2 and SR141716, but not anandamide (McAllister et al., 2003).

Another important feature of cannabinoid signaling in the brain is the lack of correlation between the density of CB₁ receptors in a given brain region and the efficiency of receptor coupling, as determined by GTP γ S binding (Breivogel et al., 1997), which may explain why functionally important responses can be triggered in brain regions with very sparse CB₁ receptor expression, such as the brainstem (Rademacher et al., 2003) or the hypothalamus (Jamshidi and Taylor, 2001). Selley et al. (2001) have shown that the reduction in CB₁ receptor density in CB₁ heterozygote mice was compensated for by an increase in receptor/G protein coupling efficiency for some, but not other, agonists. Although the underlying mechanisms for such compensation are not clear, differences in the degree of receptor multimerization (Mackie, 2005), or changes in signal amplification are possibilities. Recent observations indicate that a considerable proportion of the psychomotor effect of cannabinoids can be accounted for by a signaling cascade in striatal projection neurons involving protein kinase A-dependent phosphorylation of DARPP-32, achieved via modulation of dopamine D₂ and adenosine A_{2A} transmission (Andersson et al., 2005). This represents a unique form of amplification of CB₁ signaling, as phosphorylation of DARPP-32 at Thr-34 amplifies downstream signaling via inhibition of protein phosphatase-1 (Greengard, 2001). It would be interesting to test whether the efficiency of CB₁ coupling to DARPP-32 is affected by cellular receptor density.

C. Endocannabinoids

The existence of specific receptors in mammalian cells that recognize a plant-derived substance rekindled the question raised two decades earlier, after brain receptors for morphine had been first described, i.e., is there an endogenous ligand? A positive answer was provided in 1992 by the report by Devane et al. describing the isolation from porcine brain of the lipid arachidonoyl ethanolamide, named anandamide, which bound to the brain cannabinoid receptor with reasonably high affinity and mimicked the behavioral actions of THC when injected into rodents (Devane et al., 1992). Three years later a second endocannabinoid, 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG), was discovered independently by Mechoulam et al. (1995) and Sugiura et al. (1995). Since then, a number of related endogenous lipids with endocannabinoid-like activity have been reported (Fig. 1c), but follow-up studies about biosynthesis, cellular transport, metabolism, and biological function have focused on anandamide and 2-AG, with much less information available about the other compounds with endocannabinoid-like properties. The biochemical aspects of endocannabinoids have been recently reviewed by Bisogno et al. (2005).

Anandamide is a partial or full agonist of CB₁ receptors, depending on the tissue and biological response measured. Although it also binds CB₂ receptors, it has very low efficacy and may act as an antagonist (Gonsiorek et al., 2000). The *in vivo* biosynthesis of anandamide (Fig. 4) is believed to occur through the enzymatic hydrolysis catalyzed by a phospholipase D of a membrane lipid precursor, *N*-arachidonoyl phosphatidylethanolamide (NAPE) (Schmid et al., 1983), which itself is generated by the enzymatic transfer of arachidonic acid in the *sn*-1 position in phosphatidylcholine to the amide group of PE (Di Marzo et al., 1994; Cadas et al., 1997). Although a specific transacylase for the latter reaction has not yet been identified, a NAPE-specific PLD has recently been cloned (Okamoto et al., 2004). It is not yet known, however, whether NAPE-PLD is obligatory for the biosynthesis of anandamide, which could make it an attractive target of drug therapy when reduction of tissue anandamide would be of benefit. Indeed, there may be parallel pathways for the generation of anandamide from NAPE. A secretory PLA₂ that can catalyze the hydrolysis of *N*-acyl-PE to *N*-acyl-lysoPE, which is then acted on by a lysoPLD to generate *N*-acyl-ethanolamides, including anandamide, was recently identified in the stomach (Sun et al., 2004). An alternative parallel pathway has been identified in our laboratory in RAW246.7 macrophages. This involves hydrolysis of NAPE to phosphoanandamide by a PLC, followed by dephosphorylation through a phosphatase (Liu et al., 2006). This latter pathway rather than PLD is the target of regulation by bacterial endotoxin, which increases anandamide synthesis in macrophages (Varga et al., 1998; Liu et al., 2003a). The existence of this pathway may also account for the recent finding that anandamide tissue levels are unchanged in NAPE-PLD knockout compared with wild-type mice (Leung et al., 2006).

2-AG is generated from diacylglycerol (DAG) by DAG lipase selective for the *sn*-1 position (Fig. 4). DAG, an intracellular second messenger that activates protein kinase C, can be generated from phosphoinositides by a phosphoinositide-specific PLC or from phosphatidic acid by phosphatidic acid phosphohydrolase (Bisogno et al., 2005). Two DAG lipase isozymes, α and β , have been cloned (Bisogno et al., 2003). In the adult brain they are localized in the postsynaptic plasma membrane, in line with their putative role in generating 2-AG involved in retrograde transmission.

Basal levels of 2-AG in the brain are approximately 2 orders of magnitude higher than the levels of anandamide. Despite this, stimulus-induced release resulting in detectable extracellular levels could be demonstrated only for anandamide and not for 2-AG in an *in vivo* microdialysis study (Giuffrida et al., 1999). This finding illustrates that, despite growing interest in endocannabinoids and their roles as retrograde neurotransmitters (Wilson and Nicoll, 2002; Chevalleyre et al., 2006), the mechanism of their release is not well understood.

Like prostanoids, endocannabinoids are not stored but generated on demand in response to a depolarization-induced rise in intracellular calcium or activation of various metabotropic receptors (Varma et al., 2001; Kim et al., 2002; Witting et al., 2004; Di et al., 2005a,b). A putative membrane endocannabinoid transporter involved in the cellular uptake of endocannabinoids (see below) may also be involved in their release. This is suggested by the ability of a transport inhibitor to prevent the release of intracellularly applied anandamide (Maccarrone et al., 2000a; Gerdeman et al., 2002).

Anandamide present in the extracellular space is accumulated by neurons and other cells by facilitated diffusion. This process is driven by its transmembrane concentration gradient, is saturable and temperature-dependent, and does not require ATP or sodium ions. Most importantly for the topic of the present review, anandamide uptake is selectively inhibited by a variety of structural analogs, which suggests the existence of a saturable cellular component involved in anandamide transport (Beltramo et al., 1997; Bisogno et al., 1997; Hillard and Jarrahian, 2000; Maccarrone et al., 2000a). However, a specific anandamide transporter protein has yet to be cloned, and it has been proposed that intracellular degradation of anandamide by fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) is sufficient to account for anandamide uptake in long incubation periods (Glaser et al., 2003). Studies with cells isolated from FAAH^{+/+} and FAAH^{-/-} mice did not resolve this issue, as the absence of FAAH was found not to affect anandamide uptake (Fegley et al., 2004) or to reduce it substantially (Ortega-Gutierrez et al., 2004), albeit under different experimental conditions. Nevertheless, a FAAH-independent component of anandamide uptake, inhibited by the compound UCM707, was detected in the latter study, supporting the notion of a protein other than FAAH being involved. This notion is also supported by the emergence of a number of synthetic transport inhibitors, the potencies of which to inhibit anandamide uptake does not correlate with their affinities for CB₁, CB₂, or TRPV₁ receptors or their potencies to inhibit FAAH (Fig. 3). However, in view of the important role of FAAH in generating the transmembrane concentration gradient for anandamide, the possibility that a noncatalytic region of FAAH or a FAAH-associated protein may act as anandamide transporter cannot be excluded. Interestingly, the elucidation of the crystal structure of FAAH revealed several channel-like regions in the enzyme, granting it simultaneous access to both the cytosolic and membrane domains (Bracey et al., 2002). Against this possibility, however, is the recent report that the novel, high affinity anandamide transport inhibitor LY2318912 binds with similar K_d and b_{max} values to membranes from HeLa cells devoid of FAAH or transfected with FAAH, pointing to a binding site independent of the FAAH molecule (Moore et al., 2005). Arguments for and against the existence of a bidirectional

anandamide transporter have been recently reviewed (Hillard and Jarrahian, 2003; Fowler et al., 2004; McFarland and Barker, 2004; Glaser et al., 2005).

In some in vivo studies, treatment with transport inhibitors unmasked cannabinoid-like tonic effects on pain sensitivity, anxiety-like behaviors, locomotor activity, and muscle spasticity, which is an indication of the potential therapeutic usefulness of such compounds (Moore et al., 2005; Bortolato et al., 2006; La Rana et al., 2006). Similar and more pronounced effects have been reported in response to treatment with FAAH inhibitors, as discussed below.

In contrast to the unsettled status of anandamide transport and a putative transporter protein, the unique role of FAAH in the in vivo degradation of anandamide has been extensively documented (reviewed in McKinney and Cravatt, 2005). Initial evidence for a membrane-associated enzyme in the liver that hydrolyzes *N*-*N*-acyl ethanolamides (Schmid et al., 1985) was followed by the cloning of FAAH (Cravatt et al., 1996) and the identification of its crystal structure in complex with an active site-directed inhibitor (Bracey et al., 2002). The unique role of FAAH in terminating signaling by anandamide was indicated by the phenotype of FAAH knockout mice, which displayed 10 to 15 times elevated levels of anandamide across the brain, supersensitivity to the actions of exogenous anandamide, and the appearance of tonic signaling by endogenous anandamide, resulting in CB₁ receptor-mediated hypoalgesia (Cravatt et al., 2001; Lichtman et al., 2004b), reduced anxiety (Kathuria et al., 2003), antidepressant activity (Gobbi et al., 2006), and lowering of blood pressure in different models of experimental hypertension (Bátkai et al., 2004b). Cravatt et al. (2004) were able to resolve the relative roles of central versus peripheral fatty acid amides by generating mice deficient in FAAH in peripheral tissues only. These mice did not display the hypoalgesia observed in mice with global deficiency in FAAH, but had a similar anti-inflammatory phenotype, indicating that the latter was mediated by elevated fatty acid amides in peripheral tissues (Cravatt et al., 2004). Interestingly, another amidohydrolase catalyzing the same reaction as FAAH but at acidic pH was recently identified and cloned (Tsuboi et al., 2005). This lysosomal enzyme is structurally unrelated to FAAH and is widely distributed in tissues, with highest levels in the lung, and has been recently shown to contribute to the physiological degradation of anandamide in macrophages but not in the brain (Sun et al., 2005).

Although 2-AG is also hydrolyzed by FAAH under in vitro conditions (Goparaju et al., 1998; Lang et al., 1999), in vivo it is not a substrate of FAAH, as indicated by the unchanged brain levels of 2-AG in wild-type and FAAH^{-/-} mice (Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005a). 2-AG is hydrolyzed in vivo by a monoacylglyceride lipase (MGL) (Dinh et al., 2002a,b; Saario et al., 2004). A study of the ultrastructural distribution of FAAH and MGL revealed

that in the hippocampus, cerebellum, and amygdala, FAAH is located postsynaptically, whereas MGL is localized in presynaptic axon terminals, including terminals of GABAergic interneurons (Gulyas et al., 2004). Correspondingly, functional studies in hippocampus indicate that depolarization-induced suppression of inhibition (DSI) is unaffected by pharmacological blockade of FAAH (Kim and Alger, 2004), but it is potentiated by blocking MGL (Kim and Alger, 2004; Makara et al., 2005), in agreement with an earlier study implicating 2-AG rather than anandamide in synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus (Stella et al., 1997). Further evidence supporting the role of 2-AG as the retrograde transmitter involved in synaptic plasticity is the preferential postsynaptic distribution of the major 2-AG biosynthetic enzyme, diacylglycerol lipase α , in hippocampus and cerebellum (Katona et al., 2006; Yoshida et al., 2006).

However, the behavioral consequences of DSI and its modulation remain unclear: selective knockout of CB₁ receptors from GABAergic interneurons was found to abolish DSI and long-term depression (LTD) of inhibitory synapses, whereas the classic behavioral responses to THC remained unaffected in these animals (Monory et al., 2005). Therefore, at this point it is difficult to predict the potential therapeutic usefulness of selective MGL inhibitors.

III. The Endocannabinoid System as Therapeutic Target in Pathophysiological Conditions

A. Diseases of Energy Metabolism

1. Endocannabinoids and Appetite Regulation. It has been known since antiquity that use of cannabis in its various forms increases appetite, particularly for palatable foods, and can also result in significant weight gain (Donovan, 1845; Berry and Mechoulam, 2002). Following the identification of THC as the main psychoactive principle in marijuana, the appetite-promoting effect of smoked marijuana could be attributed to THC even before the identification of specific cannabinoid receptors (Hollister, 1971; Greenberg et al., 1976). Animal studies also documented the ability of THC to promote food intake, although consistent effects were only seen with relatively low doses (Abel, 1975), most likely because the significant sedation and motor impairment seen with higher doses interferes with the animals' ability to initiate feeding. Variability in the observed changes in THC-induced food intake may also relate to the feeding state of the animal, the orexigenic effect being optimal in presatiated animals with low basal levels of food intake (Williams et al., 1998). After the discovery of specific cannabinoid receptors and the introduction of selective antagonists, the increase in food




FIG. 4. Schematic representation of the endocannabinoid system in pre- and postsynaptic neurons. The presynaptic terminal is located in the top, whereas the postsynaptic neuron is located in the bottom. EMT, endocannabinoid membrane transporter; MAGL, monoacylglyceride lipase; DAGL, DAG lipase; AEA, anandamide; NArPE, *N*-arachidonyl phosphatidylethanolamine; NAT, *N*-acyltransferase.

intake caused by THC could be linked to CB₁ receptors, as it was blocked by the selective CB₁ antagonist SR141716, but not by the CB₂ antagonist SR144528 (Williams and Kirkham, 2002).

The discovery of endocannabinoids has raised the question of their potential involvement in the physiological control of appetite and energy metabolism. This subject has been the topic of a number of recent reviews (Di Marzo and Matias, 2005; Kirkham, 2005; Sharkey and Pittman, 2005; Pagotto et al., 2006), and only a brief summary is provided here. The first indication of a role for endocannabinoids in appetite control was the documented ability of low doses of anandamide to increase food intake, when administered either systemically (Williams and Kirkham, 1999; Hao et al., 2000) or into the ventromedial hypothalamus (Jamshidi and Taylor, 2001), and this effect could be attributed to stimulation of CB₁ receptors (Williams and Kirkham, 1999). Similar increases in food intake can be elicited by 2-AG administered systemically or into the nucleus accumbens shell region (Kirkham et al., 2002) or into the lateral hypothalamus (Kirkham and Williams, 2001a). Sites for the orexigenic actions of endocannabinoids in both the hypothalamus and the limbic forebrain suggest their involvement in both the homeostatic and hedonic control of eating (Harrold and Williams, 2003; Vickers and Kennett, 2005). Interestingly, endocannabinoid activation of hypothalamic centers, such as the paraventricular nucleus, may also occur indirectly via CB₁ receptors on peripheral afferent nerve terminals (Gomez et al., 2002), most likely located in the gastrointestinal tract. Such an "indirect" pathway is compatible with recent findings that CB₁ mRNA is present in cholecystokinin-containing neurons in the nodose ganglion, where CB₁ mRNA expression is up-regulated by fasting and down-regulated by refeeding (Burdyga et al., 2004).

Studies with antagonists provide more direct support for a regulatory function of endocannabinoids on feeding. Treatment of rats with SR141716 and the closely related CB₁ antagonist AM251 reduced food intake under free-feeding (Arnone et al., 1997; Colombo et al., 1998a; Simiand et al., 1998; Chambers et al., 2003; Shearman et al., 2003) or operant conditions (Freedland et al., 2000; McLaughlin et al., 2003), suggesting antagonism of the tonic orexigenic effect of an endocannabinoid. However, SR141716 and AM251 are inverse agonists (Gifford and Ashby, 1996; Bouaboula et al., 1997), which may be an alternative mechanism by which they reduce food intake.

Definitive evidence for the involvement of endocannabinoids in the control of food intake has been provided through the use of CB₁ receptor-deficient mice. In a study from our laboratory, food-deprived CB₁ knockout mice were found to eat less than their wild-type littermates, and their food intake was unaffected by SR141716 treatment, whereas in wild-type mice SR141716 reduced food intake to the levels seen in the

knockout mice (Di Marzo et al., 2001b). Similar findings have been subsequently reported by others (Wiley et al., 2005). This indicates that part of the hunger-induced increase in food intake is mediated by endocannabinoids acting at CB₁ receptors. CB₁ knockout mice are also resistant to overeating caused by neuropeptide Y (NPY) (Poncellet et al., 2003), and SR141716 inhibits the hyperphagia of leptin-deficient mice even in the absence of temporary food deprivation (Di Marzo et al., 2001b). This latter finding suggests that the absence of leptin results in increased endocannabinoid activity. Indeed, hypothalamic levels of endocannabinoids were elevated in leptin-deficient mice and rats and reduced after leptin treatment, suggesting that endocannabinoids are part of the leptin-regulated neural circuitry involved in appetite regulation (Di Marzo et al., 2001b). Endogenous leptin may similarly suppress endocannabinoid levels, as indicated by our recent unpublished findings using mice with obesity induced by a high-fat diet, which have elevated plasma leptin levels proportional to their increased fat mass. Anandamide levels were significantly lower in the obese mice compared with their lean controls in the hypothalamus, limbic forebrain, and amygdala, with no difference in the cerebellum. Furthermore, there was a significant inverse correlation between plasma leptin levels and anandamide levels in the above three brain regions involved in appetite control but not in the cerebellum.

A possible hypothalamic site for an interaction between leptin and endocannabinoids is the lateral hypothalamus, where CB₁ receptors are present in orexin- and melanin-concentrating hormone (MCH)-containing neurons (Cota et al., 2003), which also express functional leptin receptors (Hübschle et al., 2001; Iqbal et al., 2001). These neurons project to dopaminergic neurons in the ventral tegmental area (Fadel and Deutch, 2002), where they modulate the mesolimbic dopaminergic pathway involved in food reward. Thus, they could also represent a site of integration of hypothalamic and extrahypothalamic structures involved in the orexigenic effect of endocannabinoids. The MCH-containing neurons are tonically inhibited by GABAergic interneurons. Jo et al. (2005) recently demonstrated that this inhibitory tone can be suppressed by the depolarization-induced release of endocannabinoids from the MCH neurons and their retrograde activation of presynaptic CB₁ receptors on the GABAergic interneurons. The resulting increase in the activity of MCH neurons may contribute to the *in vivo* appetitive effect of endocannabinoids. Furthermore, this DSI could be blocked by leptin through inhibition of voltage-gated calcium channels in the MCH neurons, whereas it was increased 6-fold in leptin-deficient mice (Jo et al., 2005), mirroring the changes in hypothalamic endocannabinoid content by leptin and leptin deficiency reported earlier (Di Marzo et al., 2001b). Another hypothalamic site where a leptin/endocannabinoid interaction may occur is the paraventricu-

lar nucleus. At this site, glucocorticoids have been shown to induce endocannabinoid synthesis and endocannabinoid-induced suppression of synaptic excitation via a cAMP-dependent mechanism, and leptin was found to block these effects by a phosphodiesterase 3B-mediated decrease in intracellular cAMP (Malcher-Lopes et al., 2006). These effects may underlie the orexigenic action of glucocorticoids.

Another recent study indicates the importance of lateral hypothalamic orexin neurons in reward-seeking behavior in general (Harris et al., 2005), suggesting that they may also be targets of the effects of endocannabinoids on drug reward (see section III.B.11.). Additionally, cannabinoids can increase the intake of palatable foods by acting at sites in the brainstem (Miller et al., 2004), which also have reciprocal neural connections with forebrain limbic structures (Saper, 2002). From a behavioral point of view, cannabinoids are involved in both the appetitive and consummatory aspects of feeding behavior (Chaperon et al., 1998; Thornton-Jones et al., 2005), in line with their multiple sites of action in the brain. Such multiple sites of action are also indicated by findings that in THC-naïve rats, rimonabant suppressed food-maintained operant responses and metabolic activity in the limbic forebrain, measured by 2-deoxyglucose uptake, whereas in rats made tolerant to THC, an additional metabolic inhibition was detected in the hypothalamus (Freedland et al., 2003). Exposure of rats to a palatable diet containing sucrose and condensed milk resulted in down-regulation of CB₁ receptors in limbic structures involved in the hedonic aspects of feeding, but not in the hypothalamus (Harrold et al., 2002). In the hypothalamus, the very low density of CB₁ receptors is offset by their increased coupling (Breivogel et al., 1997), which may be an alternative target of regulation (Basavarajappa and Hungund, 1999; Wang et al., 2003) that needs to be explored.

Within the appetitive neural circuitry, endocannabinoids have been shown to interact with both orexigenic factors such as endogenous opioids, NPY, orexins, and ghrelin, and anorexigenic factors including α -melanocyte-stimulating hormone (α -MSH), corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH), and the peptide product of the cocaine and amphetamine-related transcript (CART). Inhibition of food intake by opioid μ receptor antagonists and CB₁ receptor antagonists is supra-additive (Kirkham and Williams, 2001b; Rowland et al., 2001; Chen et al., 2004), suggesting a synergism between the endogenous opioid and cannabinoid systems in mediating the reinforcing effect of food (Solinas and Goldberg, 2005). Indeed, CB₁-deficient mice fail to self-administer morphine (Ledent et al., 1999; Cossu et al., 2001) or to release dopamine in the nucleus accumbens in response to morphine (Mascia et al., 1999), suggesting that the site of this synergism is in the mesolimbic dopaminergic pathway, which is involved in both drug and food reward (Le Foll and Goldberg, 2005). The observation that

SR141716 inhibits the orexigenic effect of morphine microinjected into the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus but not the nucleus accumbens shell suggests additional interactions between the two systems, unrelated to the hedonic aspects of feeding (Verty et al., 2003). A further intriguing parallel between the two systems is that opiate μ receptor knockout mice, just as CB₁^{-/-} mice (see below), are resistant to diet-induced obesity (Tabarin et al., 2005).

As for interactions with NPY, the similar effectiveness of SR141716 to inhibit food intake in wild-type and NPY^{-/-} mice indicates that endocannabinoids are unlikely to be the primary compensatory factor that accounts for the lack of a lean phenotype in NPY^{-/-} mice (Di Marzo et al., 2001b). However, anandamide was found to increase and AM251 to decrease depolarization-induced NPY release in rat hypothalamic explants, suggesting that NPY may contribute to the orexigenic effects of cannabinoids (Gamber et al., 2005). A possible role of orexins in the appetitive effects of endocannabinoids is suggested by the finding that coexpression of the CB₁ and orexin 1 receptors results in a marked potentiation of orexin A-induced signaling (Hilaret et al., 2003). An important site of action of the orexigenic peptide ghrelin is the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus, where its hyperphagic effect can be blocked by SR141716, suggesting that ghrelin may act via the release of endocannabinoids (Tucci et al., 2004). Endocannabinoids, in turn, may be involved in ghrelin release, at least in the periphery, as suggested by an SR141716-induced decrease in plasma ghrelin levels in rats (Cani et al., 2004).

The proopiomelanocortin-derived peptide α -MSH acting at MC-4 melanocortin receptors is part of the leptin-regulated appetitive circuitry as a major anorectic mediator. The observations that SR141716 inhibits the feeding response induced by blocking MC-4 receptors, whereas α -MSH does not affect THC-induced feeding, suggest that CB₁ receptors are downstream from MC-4 receptors and have an obligatory role in α -MSH effects on food intake (Verty et al., 2004). The peptide product of CART is also a tonically active anorectic mediator (Kristensen et al., 1998) and, unlike α -MSH, may be a downstream mediator of the effect of endocannabinoids. Such an arrangement is suggested by the finding that SR141716 loses its ability to reduce food intake in CART^{-/-} mice (Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005a). Furthermore, mice deficient in FAAH have reduced levels of CART immunoreactivity in various hypothalamic and extrahypothalamic regions involved in appetite control, which is returned to normal levels by chronic SR141716 treatment (Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005a). These findings suggest that inhibition of CART release by CB₁ activation may be involved in the orexigenic effect of anandamide. Finally, an interaction between endocannabinoids and CRH is indirectly suggested by coexpression of the mRNA for the CB₁ receptor with the mRNA for CRH

(Cota et al., 2003) or the CRH type 1 receptor (Hermann and Lutz, 2005).

2. *Endocannabinoids and Peripheral Energy Metabolism.* It is generally accepted that energy intake and utilization are regulated in a coordinated fashion, and factors involved in the central regulation of appetite may also affect peripheral energy metabolism (Seeley and Woods, 2003). The first indirect indication that cannabinoids may affect energy homeostasis through a mechanism other than food intake came from a study of marijuana smokers tested in a hospital inpatient setting (Greenberg et al., 1976). In this study, the marijuana-induced increase in caloric intake leveled off after a few days, whereas weight gain continued throughout the rest of the 21-day observation period, suggesting independent effects on appetite and peripheral energy metabolism. After the introduction of SR141716 as the first selective CB₁ receptor antagonist (Rinaldi-Carmona et al., 1994), a similar conclusion was reached in normal rats treated with SR141716 for 14 days. Tolerance to the anorectic effect of SR141716 developed within 5 days, whereas the reduction in body weight was maintained throughout the treatment period (Colombo et al., 1998a). Later, similar observations were reported in mice with diet-induced obesity, in which food intake was reduced transiently whereas the reduction in body weight was maintained when the animals were chronically treated with SR141716 (Ravinet Trillou et al., 2003) or AM251 (Hildebrandt et al., 2003). These results suggested that factors other than appetite must be involved in the weight-reducing effect of CB₁ antagonists.

Peripheral targets of endocannabinoids include adipocytes, which express CB₁ receptors (Bensaid et al., 2003; Cota et al., 2003). Stimulation of CB₁ receptors on adipocytes can affect lipid metabolism through regulating the level of adiponectin production (Bensaid et al., 2003), by increasing lipoprotein lipase activity (Cota et al., 2003), or by inhibiting AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) (Kola et al., 2005), which leads to increased lipogenesis and decrease in fatty acid β -oxidation through reducing the phosphorylation and thus disinhibiting acetyl CoA carboxylase-1 (ACC1), the rate-limiting enzyme in fatty acid synthesis. The work by Cota et al. (2003) provided the first clear evidence of peripheral metabolic targets of endocannabinoids in vivo in a mouse model of diet-induced obesity. By careful analysis of body composition, they were able to establish the lean phenotype of CB₁-deficient mice that had escaped earlier attention. Furthermore, the use of a pair-feeding paradigm revealed that hypophagia accounts for the lean phenotype only in young and not in adult animals, which clearly indicated the involvement of peripheral metabolic target(s) in the latter. The additional documentation of functional CB₁ receptors in primary cultured adipocytes and their role in regulating lipogenesis provided one of the likely peripheral targets for the anabolic effects of endocannabinoids. The lean pheno-

type of CB₁^{-/-} mice in this study was more prominent in male than in female animals, which could suggest that endocannabinoid regulation of adiposity may be subject to modulation by sex hormones.

Although earlier studies failed to detect CB₁ receptors in the liver, more recently they have been identified in the mouse liver using a combination of methods including reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction, in situ hybridization, immunohistochemistry, and Western blotting. In the same study, treatment of mice with the cannabinoid agonist HU-210 increased de novo lipogenesis and the expression of the transcription factor sterol regulatory element binding protein 1c (SREBP1c) as well as of its targets, ACC1 and fatty acid synthase (Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005b). The role of CB₁ receptors in these effects was indicated by the ability of SR141716 to block them and by their absence in CB₁ knockout mice (Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005b). The hepatic lipogenic pathway may be also directly activated through a cannabinoid-induced decrease in AMPK phosphorylation and activity in the liver (Kola et al., 2005). CB₁ receptors have been also detected in rat hepatocytes (Michalopoulos et al., 2003), in whole mouse liver (Biecker et al., 2004), and in rat and human hepatic stellate cells (Siegmond et al., 2005; Teixeira-Clerc et al., 2006).

Fatty acid metabolism in hypothalamic neurons acts as a sensor of nutrient availability (Obici et al., 2003), and its pharmacological modulation influences food intake (Kim et al., 2004). CB₁ activation was reported to increase *SREBP1c* and *FAS* gene expression in the hypothalamus, and the increased expression of these genes by fasting/refeeding (Paulaskis and Sul, 1988) could be inhibited by SR141716 treatment at the beginning of the refeeding period, which also reduced food intake (Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005b). Although fatty acid synthesis was not measured directly in the hypothalamus, these findings suggest that the increase in food intake after fasting may involve a CB₁-mediated modulation of the fatty acid synthetic pathway. Modulation of AMPK activity by cannabinoids was documented not only in liver and adipose tissue but also in hypothalamus (Kola et al., 2005), where it has been linked to appetite control (Minokoshi et al., 2004). Thus, the AMPK/ACC1/FAS pathway may represent a common molecular pathway involved in both the central appetitive and the peripheral metabolic effects of endocannabinoids.

Because total caloric intake is similar in wild-type and CB₁^{-/-} mice on a high-fat diet (Ravinet Trillou et al., 2004; Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005b), the resistance of CB₁-deficient mice to diet-induced obesity must be associated with increased energy expenditure. Exposing wild-type C57BL6/J mice to a high-fat diet decreases energy expenditure, as documented by indirect calorimetry (Hu et al., 2004), which may account for the increase in feed efficiency observed in such animals, whereas in CB₁^{-/-} mice feed efficiency was unaffected by a high-fat diet (Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005b). This

suggests that the high-fat diet-induced decrease in energy expenditure is mediated by endocannabinoid activation of CB₁ receptors. Accordingly, HU-210 treatment of wild-type mice decreased and SR141716 treatment increased the activity of carnitine palmitoyl transferase-1, the rate-limiting enzyme in fatty acid β -oxidation (D. Osei-Hyiaman and G. Kunos, unpublished observations).

One of the factors involved in this effect in vivo could be adiponectin, the adipocyte-derived hormone that promotes fatty acid β -oxidation (Yamauchi et al., 2002). Indeed, exposure to a high-fat diet resulted in a significant decline in plasma adiponectin in wild-type but not in CB₁^{-/-} mice (Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005a), and CB₁ receptor activation in isolated adipocytes was found to suppress adiponectin expression (Perwitz et al., 2005; Matias et al., 2006). Expression of the thermogenic uncoupling protein-1 was also down-regulated by CB₁ activation, whereas the expression of the insulin-mimetic adipokine visfatin was increased (Perwitz et al., 2005). Conversely, rimonabant increases adiponectin secretion by adipocytes (Bensaid et al., 2003) and adiponectin plasma levels in obese human subjects (Després et al., 2005), which should lead to increased lipid β -oxidation and thermogenesis in vivo. Chronic treatment of *ob/ob* mice with SR141716 increased thermogenesis, as indicated by increased oxygen consumption at a thermoneutral temperature measured by whole body calorimetry (Liu et al., 2005). Glucose uptake, subsequently measured in the isolated soleus muscle of these animals, was significantly increased in the SR141716-pretreated group. A similar effect in humans may account for the increased glucose tolerance observed in obese patients treated with rimonabant (Van Gaal et al., 2005). These observations could suggest the presence of CB₁ receptors in skeletal muscle, which was recently documented (Pagotto et al., 2006). Alternatively, increased glucose tolerance may be secondary to an effect of SR141716 on CB₁ receptors in the liver. It has been proposed that increased lipid synthesis in the liver may produce insulin resistance in other tissues such as muscle (McGarry, 1992), and CB₁ receptor activation has been shown to contribute to the development of hepatic steatosis in diet-induced obesity (Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005b). Endocannabinoids may also influence insulin secretion directly in islet β -cells via CB₁ (Matias et al., 2006) or CB₂ receptors (Juan-Pico et al., 2005).

The ability of rimonabant to increase energy expenditure may not be limited to an effect on adiponectin secretion, as indicated by an analysis of the effect of rimonabant treatment on gene expression profiles in lean and diet-induced obese mice as well as CB₁^{-/-} mice (Jbilo et al., 2005). Rimonabant-induced decreases in body weight and adipose tissue mass in obese mice was accompanied by a near-complete reversal of obesity-induced changes in the expression of a wide range of genes. These included genes involved in adipocyte dif-

ferentiation, lipolysis, generation of futile cycles, and glycolysis. These broad-based targets may underlie the ability of rimonabant to correct symptoms of the metabolic syndrome, as discussed below. They also raise the intriguing possibility that if a CB₁ antagonist that does not cross the blood-brain barrier were available, it could be effective in the treatment of the metabolic syndrome without the risk of adverse CNS side effects (Horvath, 2006).

3. Obesity and Associated Metabolic Abnormalities.

Genetic manipulation of the expression of endogenous proteins has been instrumental in uncovering their regulatory role in normal and pathological phenotypes. When CB₁ knockout mice were first introduced, no change in body mass or feeding pattern had been noted (Ledent et al., 1999; Zimmer et al., 1999). However, in a subsequent study, CB₁ knockout mice were found to have a life-long, small, but significant, weight deficit compared with their wild-type littermates, which could be attributed to a selective deficit in adipose tissue mass (Cota et al., 2003) and was confirmed by others (Ravinet Trillou et al., 2004; Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005b). Parallel to their decreased fat mass, CB₁^{-/-} mice have lower plasma leptin levels and an increased sensitivity to the anorectic effect of exogenous leptin (Ravinet Trillou et al., 2004).

The possibility that an increase in the activity of the endocannabinoid system may contribute to at least some forms of obesity was suggested by three sets of findings. First, CB₁ antagonists were significantly more efficacious in reducing caloric intake and body weight in rodents with diet-induced or genetic obesity than in their respective lean controls (Di Marzo et al., 2001b; Hildebrandt et al., 2003; Ravinet Trillou et al., 2003; Vickers et al., 2003).

Second, CB₁^{-/-} mice are resistant to diet-induced obesity (Ravinet Trillou et al., 2004; Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005b). In both of these studies, overall caloric intake was not different between wild-type compared with CB₁^{-/-} mice receiving the high-fat diet, suggesting that peripheral mechanisms play a dominant role in the control of body weight by CB₁ receptors. CB₁^{-/-} mice are also resistant to the metabolic changes that accompany diet-induced obesity in normal mice, including hypertriglyceridemia and elevated plasma leptin and insulin levels, indicative of leptin and insulin resistance, respectively (Ravinet Trillou et al., 2004; Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005b). These metabolic changes, collectively defined by some as the "metabolic syndrome", could also be reversed by SR141716 treatment (Ravinet Trillou et al., 2004; Poirier et al., 2005).

As a third line of evidence, recent findings indicate that endocannabinoids and CB₁ receptors are up-regulated in the liver and adipose tissue in various forms of experimental as well as in human obesity. In wild-type mice on a high-fat diet for 3 weeks, the basal rate of de novo hepatic fatty acid synthesis was markedly in-

creased, and the increase was partially reversed by SR141716 treatment (Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005b). After 3 weeks of diet, the mice were not yet overweight but showed significant hepatic steatosis. Their hepatic content of anandamide was increased 3-fold, and the level of CB₁ receptor protein in liver plasma membranes was also markedly increased (Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005b). These findings indicate that intake of a high-fat diet activates the hepatic endocannabinoid system, which contributes to increased lipogenesis and the subsequent development of hepatic steatosis and, ultimately, the development of obesity. Exposure of C57BL/6/J mice to a high-fat diet has been reported to induce changes characteristic of the metabolic syndrome and also to rapidly induce the expression of SREBP1c and its downstream target lipogenic enzymes (Biddinger et al., 2005). CB₁ receptor knockout mice are resistant to these diet-induced changes, which indicates that endocannabinoids have a major role in mediating them (Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005b).

An up-regulation of CB₁ receptors has been also reported in adipose tissue of genetically obese compared with lean mice (Bensaid et al., 2003), and elevated endocannabinoid levels have been detected in adipose tissue of obese compared with lean patients (Matias et al., 2006). In a study involving 40 women (Engeli et al., 2005), circulating levels of anandamide and 2-AG were significantly increased in 20 obese versus 20 lean subjects, and remained elevated after a 5% diet-induced weight reduction. Although these plasma levels were much too low to exert hormone-like activity, they probably originate from overflow from tissues and thus may reflect functionally relevant changes in endocannabinoid content at or near sites of action. In the same study, FAAH expression was markedly reduced in the adipose tissue of obese subjects and correlated negatively with circulating endocannabinoid levels. Furthermore, the expression of both CB₁ and FAAH increased in mature adipocytes compared with preadipocytes. These findings suggest that the endocannabinoid system is activated in human obesity (Engeli et al., 2005).

A genetic missense polymorphism in the *FAAH* gene predicting a proline to threonine substitution at position 129, which was reported to result in reduced cellular expression and activity of the enzyme (Chiang et al., 2004), had been earlier found to be significantly associated with problem drug use (Sipe et al., 2002). The same polymorphism has been linked to overweight and obesity in both Caucasian and African-American subjects (Sipe et al., 2005). Interestingly, the elevated hepatic levels of anandamide in mice receiving a high-fat diet could be attributed to a decrease in FAAH activity (Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005b), suggesting that FAAH may play a key role in regulating endocannabinoid "tone" in both experimental and human obesity. Although this finding could suggest the targeting of FAAH in the treatment of eating/metabolic disorders, such an approach will be

complicated by the fact that oleylethanolamide, an anorectic lipid that acts on the peroxisome proliferator-proliferator-activated receptor α (PPAR α) (Fu et al., 2003), is also a substrate for FAAH. The opposing effects of elevated levels of both anandamide and oleylethanolamide after pharmacological blockade of FAAH may therefore result in no net change in appetite and energy metabolism.

That increased endocannabinoid activity may also contribute to obesity and its metabolic consequences in humans was indicated by the highly promising results of recent clinical trials with rimonabant. As in the animal models of diet-induced obesity, rimonabant was effective both in reducing body weight and in reversing many of the associated metabolic abnormalities in obese subjects. In a multicenter, phase III study involving 1507 obese European subjects with a body mass index >30 kg/m² or a body mass index >27 kg/m² with dyslipidemia and moderate hypertension, rimonabant (20 mg/day) treatment for 1 year, combined with a moderately hypocaloric diet, not only reduced body weight but also reduced plasma triglycerides, increased HDL cholesterol, and decreased plasma insulin and insulin resistance (Van Gaal et al., 2005). Blood pressure was not significantly affected. The parallel reduction in body weight and waist circumference suggested that the weight loss was predominantly due to loss of visceral fat, which is known to be a predisposing factor for the metabolic syndrome. Rimonabant was well tolerated, with mild to moderate nausea, diarrhea, and mood disorders occurring slightly more in the treatment group than in the placebo group (Van Gaal et al., 2005).

Essentially similar findings were reported in another large-scale, phase III study (RIO-North America) involving 3045 randomized, obese or overweight subjects. At the end of the 1st year, rimonabant-treated subjects were re-randomized to receive rimonabant or placebo, whereas the placebo group continued onto receive the placebo. During the 2nd year, rimonabant-treated patients retained the improvements achieved during the 1st year, whereas those who switched to placebo regained their original weight (Pi-Sunyer et al., 2006).

In a third study (RIO-Lipids) involving 1036 overweight/obese subjects, 20 mg/day rimonabant taken for 1 year significantly reduced body weight (-6.3 ± 0.5 kg), weight circumference (-5.7 ± 0.6 cm), and plasma triglycerides ($-12.4 \pm 3.2\%$), increased HDL cholesterol by $8.1 \pm 1.5\%$ and increased LDL particle size, improved glucose tolerance, and significantly elevated plasma adiponectin levels, resulting in a 50% decrease in the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in the study population (Després et al., 2005). In contrast with the other two studies, a statistically significant, small decrease in systolic and diastolic blood pressure was evident in the group receiving 20 mg of rimonabant, and the decrease was greater for patients with initial hypertension (blood pressure $>140/90$ mm Hg). Although the reason for the

lack of a blood pressure change in the other studies is not clear, the proportion of females was lower in RIO-Lipids (~60%) than in the other two studies where they represented ~80% of subjects. It is possible that a modest reduction in blood pressure by rimonabant occurs preferentially in males. The cumulative finding that blood pressure reduction, if present, is less than expected based on a similar level of weight reduction alone (Appel et al., 2003), is noteworthy. As discussed in section D.1., rimonabant at an i.v. dose of 3 mg/kg causes a pressor response in anesthetized, hypertensive rats, which are supersensitive to the hypotensive effect of endogenous or exogenous anandamide (Bátkai et al., 2004). Although the pressor effect is much smaller at lower doses of rimonabant comparable with the 20-mg oral dose used in humans or in the absence of anesthesia (S. Bátkai, P. Pacher, and G. Kunos, unpublished observations), careful monitoring of blood pressure, particularly in the early stages of rimonabant treatment, may be advisable. A polymorphism in the *FAAH* gene is associated with obesity (Sipe et al., 2005), and because of the reduced enzyme activity resulting from this polymorphism, some of the affected individuals may have an elevated endocannabinoid tone, reversal of which by rimonabant could increase blood pressure.

It is noteworthy that part of the rimonabant-induced improvements in the hormonal and lipid abnormalities in the three clinical studies appeared to be independent of weight reduction and, based on the preclinical findings discussed above, are most likely mediated via peripheral sites of action. An interesting alternative mechanism is suggested by the results of a recent meta-analysis of the effects of low carbohydrate, nonenergy-restricted diets on weight loss and cardiovascular risk factors (Nordmann et al., 2006). Such diets were found to lead to significant weight loss for up to 1 year. Surprisingly, they were more favorable than low-fat diets in reducing plasma triglycerides and increasing HDL cholesterol levels, without a favorable effect on total or LDL cholesterol. The pattern of these metabolic changes is similar to that of those caused by 20 mg of rimonabant in the three clinical trials. Rimonabant has been shown to preferentially suppress the preference for sweet compared with normal (Simiand et al., 1998) or high-fat reinforcers (Ward and Dykstra, 2005) and can cause longer lasting suppression of intake of sweet compared with normal food (Gessa et al., 2006). It is very possible that obese subjects treated with rimonabant unwittingly altered their diet by reducing carbohydrate intake, which may have contributed to the observed effects on triglycerides and HDL cholesterol. Detailed analyses of the effects of rimonabant on dietary habits are warranted.

Overall, the findings in these three large, multicenter clinical trials strongly support a pathogenic role of increased endocannabinoid activity in obesity and the associated metabolic abnormalities and highlight the

unique therapeutic potential of CB₁ blockade. Additional benefits may be gained by combination therapies. The efficacy of statins to preferentially lower LDL cholesterol may be effectively complemented by the ability of rimonabant to increase HDL cholesterol. In the case of insulin, the ability of rimonabant to increase insulin sensitivity could reduce the dose requirement for insulin in obese diabetic subjects and could also counteract the tendency of insulin treatment to cause weight gain. Nevertheless, further large-scale studies are warranted in view of the high nonadherence rate observed in the three clinical trials to date, which may have resulted in overestimation of the benefits of treatment (Simons-Morton et al., 2006).

4. Cachexia and Anorexia. A negative energy balance resulting from decreased appetite and food intake and increased energy expenditure, leading to weight loss, can be the consequence of wasting diseases such as AIDS or metastatic cancer, or it could be associated with aging, chemotherapy of cancer, or neuropsychiatric conditions such as anorexia nervosa or various forms of dementia including Alzheimer's disease. Although there is a growing body of evidence documenting the therapeutic effectiveness of synthetic THC or even smoked marijuana as appetite boosters in some of these conditions (Regelson et al., 1976; Gorter et al., 1992; Nelson et al., 1994; Beal et al., 1995, 1997; Timpone et al., 1997) (Table 1), there is only limited information on the potential involvement of the endocannabinoid system in their pathogenesis.

A few studies have reported the effectiveness of THC in stimulating appetite and weight gain in cancer patients, but these therapeutic effects have been more extensively documented in AIDS patients (reviewed by Kirkham, 2004; Martin and Wiley, 2004; Hall et al., 2005) (see also Table 1). Although concerns have been voiced about the potential immunosuppressive effect of cannabinoids in immunocompromised individuals (Klein et al., 1998), repeated THC administration in a randomized, prospective, controlled trial was found to have few if any consistent effects on various immune functions in AIDS patients receiving antiviral treatment (Bredt et al., 2002).

Anorexia may also be associated with normal aging. A number of hormonal factors have been implicated in the loss of appetite in the elderly, including growth hormone, cholecystokinin, leptin, and various cytokines (Morley, 2001). In a recent study in mice, an age-related decline in food and alcohol intake was accompanied by the loss of ability of the CB₁ antagonist SR141716 to reduce food and alcohol intake and a decrease in CB₁ receptor-stimulated GTP γ S labeling in the limbic forebrain (Wang et al., 2003). These findings suggest that, at least in this animal model, an age-dependent decrease in CB₁ receptor signaling in the limbic forebrain may be related to the parallel decline in appetite for both food and alcohol. Anorexia can also accompany debilitating

diseases such as Alzheimer's disease, in which the effectiveness of THC to stimulate appetite has been documented (Volicer et al., 1997). Anorexia nervosa is a psychiatric condition that occurs predominantly in younger women and is characterized by self-starvation, weight loss, and a disturbed body image. Plasma anandamide levels have been reported to increase in patients with restricting anorexia nervosa, which may be secondary to a marked decrease in plasma leptin levels in such patients (Monteleone et al., 2002). Although the relationship between brain and plasma levels of anandamide is not clear, a parallel increase in anandamide in brain regions involved in reward may mediate the rewarding effect of self-starvation in anorexic patients (Monteleone et al., 2005). A recent family-based study examined the possible association of a CB₁ receptor gene polymorphism consisting of differences in a trinucleotide repeat with anorexia nervosa. Although no difference was found between parental alleles transmitted or not transmitted to the affected siblings, preferential transmission of different alleles could be established when the patients were subdivided into restricting and binge/purging subgroups (Siegfried et al., 2004).

Endocannabinoids have been also implicated in a unique form of food intake: milk suckling in newborn animals. In an elegant series of studies, Fride et al. (2005) have proposed a role for 2-AG in the brain to stimulate the suckling response in mouse pups. In their model, endogenous 2-AG in the pup's brain initiated the suckling response via CB₁ receptors, with continued suckling depending on milk-derived 2-AG (Fride, 2004). As predicted by this model, treatment of pups with SR141716 inhibits suckling and leads to death due to failure to thrive, a condition analogous to a human condition known as nonorganic failure to thrive, in which an oral motor defect resulting in deficient suckling (Reilly et al., 1999) is similar to the condition in mouse produced by pharmacological blockade or genetic ablation of CB₁ (Fride et al., 2005). The relatively high dose of SR141716 to inhibit suckling and its residual effectiveness in CB₁ knockout mice suggested the additional involvement of a receptor distinct from CB₁ or CB₂ (Fride et al., 2003).

B. Pain and Inflammation

One of the earliest uses of cannabis was to treat pain. Historical documents reveal the use of cannabis for surgical anesthesia in ancient China and to relieve pain of diverse origin in ancient Israel, Greece, Rome, and India (reviewed in Mechoulam, 1986; Iversen, 2000; Mechoulam and Hanus, 2000). Numerous early studies have also demonstrated beneficial effects of cannabinoids in animal models of pain (reviewed in Walker and Huang, 2002; Fox and Bevan, 2005). In acute pain, anandamide, THC, cannabidiol, and synthetic cannabinoids such as CP55,940 and WIN 55,212-2 are effective against chemical (Sofia et al., 1973; Formukong et al., 1988; Calig-

nano et al., 1998; Costa et al., 2004a,b; Guindon et al., 2006; Ulugol et al., 2006), mechanical (Sofia et al., 1973; Martin et al., 1996; Smith et al., 1998; Guindon and Beaulieu, 2006), and thermal pain stimuli (Buxbaum, 1972; Bloom et al., 1977; Lichtman and Martin, 1991a,b; Fride and Mechoulam, 1993; Guindon and Beaulieu, 2006). Recent animal studies indicate that anandamide and cannabinoid ligands are also very effective against chronic pain of both neuropathic (Herzberg et al., 1997; Bridges et al., 2001; Fox et al., 2001; Guindon and Beaulieu, 2006) and inflammatory origin (Tsou et al., 1996; Richardson et al., 1998a,b,c; Li et al., 1999; Martin et al., 1999b; Guindon et al., 2006). Moreover, endocannabinoids and synthetic cannabinoids exert synergistic antinociceptive effects when combined with commonly used nonsteroid anti-inflammatory drugs, which may have utility in the pharmacotherapy of pain (Guindon and Beaulieu, 2006; Guindon et al., 2006; Ulugol et al., 2006). Interestingly, a recent study has implicated the endocannabinoid system in the analgesic activity of paracetamol (acetaminophen), the most widely used painkiller (Ottani et al., 2006), and there is also evidence for endocannabinoid involvement in the action of some general anesthetics, such as propofol (Patel et al., 2003; Schelling et al., 2006).

Cannabinoids exert their antinociceptive effects by complex mechanisms involving effects on the central nervous system (Martin et al., 1993; Hohmann et al., 1995, 1998, 1999; Martin et al., 1995, 1996, 1998, 1999a,b; Richardson et al., 1997, 1998a,b; Meng et al., 1998; Strangman et al., 1998; Hohmann and Walker, 1999; Fox et al., 2001), spinal cord (Yaksh, 1981; Lichtman and Martin, 1991a,b; Welch and Stevens, 1992; Richardson et al., 1997, 1998a,b; Hohmann et al., 1998; Chapman, 1999; Drew et al., 2000; Naderi et al., 2005; Suplita et al., 2006), and peripheral sensory nerves (Calignano et al., 1998; Richardson et al., 1998c; Hohmann and Herkenham, 1999; Fox et al., 2001; Johanek et al., 2001; Kelly et al., 2003; Johanek and Simone, 2004; Jordt et al., 2004; Amaya et al., 2006). This is consistent with the anatomical location of CB₁ receptors in areas relevant to pain in the brain, spinal dorsal horn, dorsal root ganglia, and peripheral afferent neurons (Herkenham et al., 1990, 1991a; Hohmann and Herkenham, 1998, 1999; Hohmann et al., 1999; Sañudo-Peña et al., 1999a).

In addition to the role of CB₁ receptors, there is recent evidence implicating CB₂ receptors in the antihyperalgesic activity of cannabinoids in models of acute and chronic, neuropathic pain, especially of inflammatory origin (Calignano et al., 1998; Hanus et al., 1999; Malan et al., 2001; Clayton et al., 2002; Ibrahim et al., 2003, 2005; Nackley et al., 2003a,b, 2004; Quartilho et al., 2003; Elmes et al., 2004; Hohmann et al., 2004; Scott et al., 2004; Whiteside et al., 2005; Ibrahim et al., 2006). Cannabinoid agonists may also release endogenous opioids, and a functional interplay between the endocan-

nabinoid and opioid systems in modulating analgesic responses has been suggested by numerous studies (Pugh et al., 1997; Manzanares et al., 1999a,b; Houser et al., 2000; Ibrahim et al., 2005; Tham et al., 2005; Vigano et al., 2005a,b; Williams et al., 2006).

As discussed before, anandamide is also a ligand for TRPV₁ receptors, albeit with an affinity lower than its affinity for CB₁ receptors. The potential involvement of TRPV₁ in the analgesic effect of endogenous anandamide has been raised by the findings that the analgesic response to microinjection of a FAAH antagonist into the periaqueductal gray of rats could be inhibited by a similar local microinjection of 6 nmol of capsazepine (Maione et al., 2006). However, others reported that systemic administration of 10 mg/kg capsazepine, which blocked capsaicin-induced analgesia, failed to inhibit endocannabinoid-mediated, stress-induced analgesia, which could be enhanced by a FAAH inhibitor and completely blocked by the CB₁ antagonist rimonabant (Suplita et al., 2006).

The analgesic response to exogenous cannabinoids suggested a role for the endocannabinoid system in regulating pain sensitivity, which has received experimental support (reviewed in Walker et al., 2000, 2002; Cravatt et al., 2004; Boger et al., 2005). For example, Walker et al. (1999) have demonstrated increased anandamide levels in some brain areas involved in nociception after peripheral nociceptive input in the rat. The functional role of endogenous anandamide was further supported by the predominantly CB₁-mediated analgesic response to FAAH or endocannabinoid transport inhibitors in animal models of acute and chronic pain (Lichtman et al., 2004a; Chang et al., 2006; Jayamanne et al., 2006; La Rana et al., 2006; Suplita et al., 2006). Similarly, FAAH knockout mice had elevated brain levels of anandamide and displayed analgesic behavior in acute inflammatory, but not in chronic neuropathic models of pain (Lichtman et al., 2004b). Formation of anandamide and 2-AG is also increased in response to stress in the periaqueductal gray matter, in which inhibition of endocannabinoid degradation was found to enhance stress-induced analgesia in a CB₁ receptor-dependent manner (Hohmann et al., 2005; Suplita et al., 2006), confirming and extending an earlier finding that implicated CB₁ receptors and endocannabinoids in stress-induced analgesia (Valverde et al., 2000).

In humans, the analgesic activity of THC and other cannabinoids is less clear-cut. There are numerous case reports on the beneficial effects of cannabis or synthetic derivatives of THC in pain associated with multiple sclerosis, cancer, neuropathies, and HIV infection (Noyes et al., 1975a,b; Martyn et al., 1995; Consroe et al., 1997; Hamann and di Vadi, 1999; Ware et al., 2003; Rudich et al., 2003; Ware and Beaulieu, 2005; Ware et al., 2005; Berlach et al., 2006; reviewed in Burns and Ineck, 2006) (Table 1). The results of randomized studies conducted before 1999 on the analgesic effect of orally

administered synthetic cannabinoids in patients with postoperative, post-traumatic, cancer, or spastic pain had been subjected to a meta-analysis. The authors concluded that cannabinoids were not more effective than codeine in controlling pain, and their use was associated with numerous undesirable, dose-limiting CNS side effects (Campbell et al., 2001).

Recent clinical trials with THC or cannabis extracts containing a 1:1 mixture of THC and cannabidiol (Sativex, GW-1000) have provided mixed results. In a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study of 48 patients suffering from central neuropathic pain due to brachial plexus avulsion, oromucosally administered THC or Sativex was ineffective in reducing the pain severity score recorded during the last 7 days of treatment (Berman et al., 2004). Similarly, oral THC (dronabinol) did not improve postoperative (Buggy et al., 2003) and neuropathic pain (Attal et al., 2004) in trials involving small numbers of patients. However, numerous lessons have been learned from these initial human studies on optimal trial design, dose and route of administration of cannabinoids, and more recent larger-scale studies allow reason for more optimism, as outlined below.

THC or Sativex reduced neuropathic pain in patients with traumatic nerve injury or multiple sclerosis in randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover trials (Wade et al., 2003; Notcutt et al., 2004). Modest, but clinically relevant analgesic effects were reported in 21 multiple sclerosis patients treated with dronabinol, in a randomized, controlled clinical trial (Svendsen et al., 2004). Effective pain relief by orally administered cannabis extract or THC was also reported in a randomized, controlled, multicenter trial involving 611 multiple sclerosis patients (Zajicek et al., 2003). Moreover, in a recent study of 66 multiple sclerosis patients, Sativex was effective in reducing central neuropathic pain (Rog et al., 2005). A preview of as-yet-unpublished human studies gave an account of a significant benefit of Sativex over placebo in peripheral neuropathic pain characterized by allodynia, in central pain associated with multiple sclerosis, and in opiate-resistant, intractable pain due to cancer (Russo, 2006). A multicenter dose-escalation study of the analgesic and adverse effects of an oral cannabis extract (Cannador) in patients with postoperative pain demonstrated significant dose-related improvements in rescue analgesia requirements and significant trends across the escalating dose groups for decreasing pain intensity (Holdcroft et al. 2006). THC (Marinol) was found to suppress otherwise intractable cholestatic pruritus in a case report (Neff et al., 2002). An analysis of pain questionnaires from 523 patients with HIV infections revealed that 90 to 94% of the subjects using cannabis experienced improvement in muscle and neuropathic pain (Woolridge et al., 2005). The therapeutic potential of cannabinoids in pain associated with trigeminal neuralgia and migraine has also been the

subject of several recent reviews (Liang et al., 2004; Russo, 2004, 2006). Preclinical studies (Burststein et al., 1998, 2004; Burststein, 2000, 2005; Dyson et al., 2005; Mitchell et al., 2005; Salim et al., 2005) and a recent clinical trial of 24 patients with neuropathic pain of varying etiologies demonstrated that ajulemic acid, a major metabolite of THC with CB₁ agonist activity, was effective in reducing pain without causing cannabinoid-like CNS side effects, the first evidence for the separability of the psychotropic and analgesic effects of a THC analog in humans (Karst et al., 2003). Numerous additional human studies are ongoing to determine the effectiveness of THC or cannabis-based extracts against various forms of pain (reviewed in Ware and Beaulieu, 2005, 2006).

Multiple lines of evidence support the important role of the cannabinoid signaling system in the modulation of immune function and inflammation (reviewed in Klein et al., 1998, 2003; Walter and Stella, 2004; Klein, 2005). First, cannabinoid receptors are present on immune cells, where their expression is modulated by microbial antigens or other stimuli that induce immune activation. Second, stimulation of immune cells by bacterial toxins such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS) increases the cellular levels of endocannabinoids and their degrading enzyme(s). Third, cannabinoid agonists modulate immune function both in vitro and in vivo via cannabinoid receptor-dependent and -independent mechanisms.

The anti-inflammatory effects of cannabinoids are complex and may involve modulation of cytokine (e.g., TNF- α , IL-12, IL-1, IL-6, and IL-10) and chemokine production (e.g., CCL2, CCL5, CXCL8, and CXCL10), modulation of adenosine signaling (Carrier et al., 2006), expression of adhesion molecules (e.g., ICAM-1, P-intercellular adhesion molecule-1 and P-selectin), and the migration, proliferation, and apoptosis of inflammatory cells (reviewed in Klein et al., 1998, 2003; Walter and Stella, 2004; Klein, 2005). To the extent that pain and inflammation accompany many of the disorders discussed in the rest of this review, cannabinoids would be expected to provide significant benefit due to their analgesic and anti-inflammatory properties.

C. Central Nervous System Disorders

The emerging role of the endocannabinoid system in a variety of CNS disorders should not come as a surprise given the very high level of expression of CB₁ receptors in the brain. The particularly high density of CB₁ receptors in the cortex, cerebellum, hippocampus, and basal ganglia had drawn early attention to diseases affecting movement, mood and anxiety disorders, and conditions related to altered brain reward mechanisms, as well as processes of memory and learning. The classic behavioral effects of marijuana also provided early clues about potential therapeutic targets, such as the control of pain or appetite. The role of the endocannabinoid system in

the pathogenesis and treatment of specific CNS diseases is discussed below.

1. Neurotoxicity and Neurotrauma. The endocannabinoid system plays an important role in neuroprotection both in acute neuronal injury (e.g., traumatic brain injury, stroke, and epilepsy) and in chronic neurodegenerative disorders, such as multiple sclerosis, Parkinson's disease, Huntington's disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, and Alzheimer's disease (reviewed in Glass, 2001; Mechoulam et al., 2002a,b; Grundy, 2002; Croxford, 2003; Drysdale and Platt, 2003; Jackson et al., 2005a; Ramos et al., 2005). Although the underlying mechanisms are not fully understood, multiple cannabinoid receptor-dependent as well as receptor-independent processes have been implicated. These include, but are not limited to 1) modulation of excitatory glutamatergic transmissions and synaptic plasticity via presynaptic CB₁ receptors (Molina-Holgado et al., 1997; Marsicano and Lutz, 1999; Gerdeman et al., 2002; reviewed in Alger, 2002; Robbe et al., 2002; Azad et al., 2003; Freund et al., 2003; Gerdeman and Lovinger, 2003; Piomelli, 2003; Mato et al., 2004), 2) modulation of immune responses and the release of inflammatory mediators by CB₁, CB₂, and non CB₁/CB₂ receptors on neurons, astrocytes, microglia, macrophages, neutrophils and lymphocytes (Watzl et al., 1991; Zheng et al., 1992; Fischer-Stenger et al., 1993; Cabral and Fischer-Stenger, 1994; Kusher et al., 1994; Burnette-Curley and Cabral, 1995; Cabral et al., 1995; reviewed in Friedman et al., 1995; Zheng and Specter, 1996; Shohami et al., 1997; Newton et al., 1998; Srivastava et al., 1998; Gallily et al., 2000; Klein et al., 2000a,b, 2003; Smith et al., 2000; Carlisle et al., 2002; Germain et al., 2002; Killestein et al., 2003; Kaplan et al., 2005; Ramirez et al., 2005; reviewed in Friedman et al., 1995; Stella, 2004; Walter and Stella, 2004; Correa et al., 2005; Croxford and Yamamura, 2005; Klein, 2005;), 3) activation of cytoprotective signaling pathways (Grigorenko et al., 2002), such as protein kinase B/Akt (Molina-Holgado et al., 2002), protein kinase A (Kim et al., 2005), or neurotrophic factors (Khaspekov et al., 2004), 4) modulation of excitability and calcium homeostasis via effects on Ca²⁺, K⁺, and Na⁺ channels, N-methyl D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors, gap junctions, and intracellular Ca²⁺ stores (Caulfield and Brown, 1992; Mackie and Hille, 1992; Mackie et al., 1993; Nadler et al., 1995; Venance et al., 1995; Shohami et al., 1997; Hampson et al., 2000b; Oz et al., 2000, 2004; Chemin et al., 2001; Maingret et al., 2001; Nogueron et al., 2001; Robbe et al., 2001; Wilson and Nicoll, 2001; Wilson et al., 2001; Nicholson et al., 2003; Guo and Ikeda, 2004; del Carmen et al., 2005; del Carmen Godino et al., 2005; Zhuang et al., 2005), 5) antioxidant properties of cannabinoids (Eshhar et al., 1995; Hampson et al., 1998; Chen and Buck, 2000; reviewed in Hampson et al., 2000a; Marsicano et al., 2002a), and 6) CB₁ receptor-mediated hypothermia, possibly by reducing metabolic rate and oxygen demand (Leker et al., 2003).

Excitotoxicity, the toxic effects of an overactivation of glutamate receptors, and the resulting oxidative stress may contribute to the pathological processes eventually leading to cellular dysfunction or death in both acute and chronic forms of neurodegeneration (Coyle and Puttfarcken, 1993; McNamara, 1999; Lutz, 2004). Dexanabinol (HU-211), a behaviorally inactive cannabinoid and noncompetitive antagonist of NMDA receptors, protects primary rat neuronal cultures against NMDA and glutamate exposure in vitro (Eshhar et al., 1993; Nadler et al., 1993a,b). THC protects primary cultured neurons against kainate-mediated toxicity in a CB₁-dependent manner (Abood et al., 2001), similar to protectin by WIN 55,212-2 against low extracellular magnesium-induced cell death (Shen and Thayer, 1998). Palmitoylethanolamide also improves neuronal survival in a glutamate-induced cell death model (Skaper et al., 1996). Intracerebral injection of NMDA in neonatal rats results in a 13-fold increase of cortical anandamide concentrations (Hansen et al., 2001a,b). Both THC and anandamide exerted CB₁-mediated neuroprotective effects in an ouabain-induced rat model of in vivo excitotoxicity (van de Stelt et al., 2001a,b). Anandamide and synthetic agonists of CB₁ receptors also protected the newborn brain against AMPA-kainate receptor-mediated excitotoxic damage in mice (Shouman et al., 2006).

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is one of the leading causes of disability and mortality in young individuals (Holm et al., 2005), yet the available therapy is very limited (Faden, 2002; Maas et al., 2004). TBI is characterized by cerebral edema, axonal and neuronal injury, increased permeability of the blood-brain barrier, and post-traumatic changes in cognitive and neurological functions (Bayir et al., 2003). TBI can trigger glutamate-induced excitotoxicity, oxidative stress, release of inflammatory cytokines from brain-resident cells (microglia, neurons, and astrocytes), programmed cell death, and cortical blood flow dysregulation (reviewed in Wang and Feuerstein, 2000; Gentleman et al., 2004).

The protective effect of cannabinoids in traumatic brain injury was first indicated in studies with the non-psychotropic cannabinoid dexamabinol (HU-211) (Fig. 1b). These studies have demonstrated reduced brain damage and improved motor and cognitive function in HU-211-treated animals in a rat model of TBI. The favorable effects of a single injection of HU-211 on learning and neurological deficits lasted up to 30 days and could be achieved within a therapeutic window of 6 h (Shohami et al., 1993, 1995). Beneficial effects of HU-211 were also demonstrated in an axonal injury model (Yoles et al., 1996; Zalish and Lavie, 2003). These protective effects were attributed, at least in part, to NMDA receptor blockade, attenuation of Ca²⁺ influx and decreased TNF- α levels (Nadler et al., 1995; Shohami et al., 1997; reviewed in Mechoulam et al., 2002a,b; Biegon, 2004). In mice with closed head injury, brain levels of 2-AG increased, and exogenous 2-AG administered 1 h

after the head injury reduced infarct size and improved neurological outcome (Panikashvili et al., 2001). Neuroprotection by 2-AG was attributed to CB₁ receptor-mediated inhibition of nuclear factor- κ B and of the early expression of proinflammatory cytokines TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-6 (Panikashvili et al., 2005, 2006). In a rat model of TBI, BAY 38-7271, a CB₁/CB₂ agonist with predominant action at CB₁ receptors, caused a marked, 70% reduction in infarct volume when administered as a 4-h infusion immediately after induction of subdural hematoma, and even when it was applied with a 3-h delay, infarct volume was reduced by 59% (Mauler et al., 2002).

A multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phase II trial conducted in 67 patients with severe closed head injury found dexamabinol to be safe and well tolerated. The treated patients achieved significantly better intracranial pressure/cerebral perfusion pressure control without jeopardizing blood pressure, and a trend toward faster improvement and better neurological outcome was also observed (Knoller et al., 2002). However, a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phase III clinical trial of dexamabinol, conducted in 15 countries in 86 specialized centers and involving 861 patients failed to demonstrate any favorable effects (Maas et al., 2006).

2. Stroke. Ischemic stroke is the most common form of stroke, mostly caused by a transient interruption of blood supply to the brain by thrombotic occlusion of blood vessels. It is an important cause of death and disability in industrialized countries, affecting up to 0.2% of the population each year (Klijn and Hankey, 2003; Pinto et al., 2004). One in six patients die in the 1st month after ischemic stroke, and half of the survivors are permanently disabled despite the best efforts to rehabilitate them and to prevent complications (Klijn and Hankey, 2003).

One of the first indications of the neuroprotective effect of cannabinoids came from the field of stroke research, using various in vitro and in vivo models of ischemic injury. Anandamide, 2-AG, and WIN 55,212-2 protected cultured cortical neurons against hypoxia and glucose deprivation (Nagayama et al., 1999; Sinor et al., 2000). The effects of various cannabinoid ligands were also investigated in in vivo models of global cerebral ischemia induced by two-vessel occlusion with hypotension or by four-vessel occlusion, or in focal ischemia induced by occlusion of the middle cerebral artery (MCAo), with or without reperfusion. Dexamabinol at doses of 2 to 10 mg/kg decreased infarct size and histological damage and improved neurological score in rat and gerbil models of both global and focal cerebral ischemia (Bar-Joseph et al., 1994; Vered et al., 1994a,b; Belayev et al., 1995a,b,c; Leker et al., 1999; Lavie et al., 2001; Teichner et al., 2003). Importantly, this protective effect was observed even when the drug was administered 60 to 180 min after the insult (Vered et al., 1994;

Belayev et al., 1995a,b,c; Leker et al., 1999; Lavie et al., 2001; Teichner et al., 2003).

WIN 55,212-2, at doses of 0.03 and 1 mg/kg but not 3 mg/kg decreased hippocampal neuronal loss after transient global cerebral ischemia in rats. It also reduced infarct size after permanent focal cerebral ischemia induced by MCAo, when given 40 min before 30 min after the occlusion, and these effects were prevented by SR141716 (Nagayama et al., 1999). WIN 55,212-2 also protected cultured cerebral cortical neurons from in vitro hypoxia and glucose deprivation, but in contrast to the receptor-mediated neuroprotection observed in vivo, this in vitro effect was not stereoselective and was insensitive to CB₁ and CB₂ receptor antagonists (Nagayama et al., 1999). BAY38-7271 also decreased infarct size in rats with permanent MCAo even when given intravenously 4 h after the occlusion (Mauler et al., 2002). Similarly, HU-210 reduced infarct size by up to 77% and improved motor disability in a rat model of permanent MCAo (Leker et al., 2003). The protective effect of HU-210 was partially reversed by pretreatment with SR141716, indicating CB₁ receptor involvement. Surprisingly, all protection could be abolished by warming the animals to the body temperature of controls, indicating that CB₁-mediated hypothermia contributed to the neuroprotection (Leker et al., 2003). Likewise, CB₁-mediated hypothermia was responsible for the neuroprotective effects of THC in a mouse transient MCAo model (Hayakawa et al., 2004) and perhaps also in a rat model of global cerebral ischemia (Louw et al., 2000). Consistent with these findings, CB₁ knockout mice had increased mortality from permanent focal cerebral ischemia, increased infarct size, more severe neurological deficits after transient focal cerebral ischemia, and decreased cerebral blood flow in the ischemic penumbra during reperfusion, compared with wild type controls subjected to the same insult (Parmentier-Batteur et al., 2002). NMDA neurotoxicity was also increased in CB₁^{-/-} mice compared with wild-type littermates (Parmentier-Batteur et al., 2002). Further evidence for a role of CB₁ receptors is their increased expression on neurons in the arterial boundary zone of cortical infarction (Jin et al., 2000). Finally, brain levels of endocannabinoids are increased during ischemic (Schmid et al., 1995; Schabitz et al., 2002; Berger et al., 2004; Muthian et al., 2004) and other types of brain injury (Sugiura et al., 2000; Hansen et al., 2001a,b; Panikashvili et al., 2001).

Other studies do not support the neuroprotective role of CB₁ receptor activation. For example, CB₁ antagonists by themselves had no effect on the outcome of injury, and in two recent reports, SR141716 and LY320135 were found to actually reduce infarct size and to improve neurological function in a rat model of MCAo (Berger et al., 2004; Muthian et al., 2004), whereas low doses of WIN 55,212-2 had no protective effect (Muthian et al., 2004). Thus, it appears that both CB₁ agonists and antagonists can be neuroprotective in cerebral ischemia.

The reason for the opposite effects of pharmacological blockade versus genetic knockout of CB₁ receptors is not clear and may be related to the CB₁ receptor-independent effects of antagonists (Begg et al., 2005; Pertwee, 2005b,c). Clearly, evaluating the potential usefulness of cannabinoid ligands in the treatment of stroke warrants future studies.

3. Multiple Sclerosis and Spinal Cord Injury. Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a complex, immune-mediated, inflammatory disease of the white matter of the brain, which compromises impulse conduction due to the loss of the myelin sheath of neurons and the secondary axonal loss (Sospedra and Martin, 2005). MS affects 2 to 5 million people worldwide and commonly presents with an unpredictable, relapsing-remitting course and a range of clinical symptoms depending on where the demyelination and axonal loss have occurred (Compston and Coles, 2002). Some patients become disabled within a short period of time, whereas others can live their entire lives with only negligible or no disability. The symptoms of MS typically involve tremor, ataxia, visual loss, double vision, weakness or paralysis, difficulty in speaking, loss of bladder control and constipation, cognitive impairment, and painful muscle spasms. Muscle spasticity often leads to reduced mobility, considerable distress from pain, and interference with daily living activities. Spasticity, neuropathic and nociceptive pain, and some of the above symptoms are also common in spinal cord injury (SCI). Although there are numerous drugs available that target the immune system to slow down the progression of the disease, they are only moderately effective, and the treatment of MS remains mostly symptomatic and far from satisfactory (Killestein and Polman, 2005).

Cannabis had been used in ancient Greece, Rome, China, and India for relieving muscle cramps, spasm, and pain (reviewed in Mechoulam, 1986; Mechoulam et al., 1998; Mechoulam and Hanus, 2000) and its therapeutic application in MS is a topic of recent lively debate (Grundy, 2002; Pertwee, 2002; Baker and Pryce, 2003; Croxford, 2003; Killestein et al., 2004; Sirven and Berg, 2004; Jackson et al., 2005a; Pryce and Baker, 2005; Robson, 2005; Smith, 2005). Lyman et al. (1989) examined the effects of parenteral THC in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) in rats, a laboratory model of MS. THC treatment not only reduced CNS inflammation and improved neurological outcome but also improved survival compared with placebo. Δ^8 -THC, a less psychotropic and more stable analog of THC, also reduced the severity and incidence of neurological deficits in rats with EAE (Wirguin et al., 1994). The non-psychotropic dexamabinol also suppressed inflammatory responses in the brain and spinal cord of rats with EAE and improved their neurological symptoms (Achiron et al., 2000). Although CB₁ receptor density is decreased in the striatum and cortex of EAE rats, this is compensated for by increased coupling to G protein-mediated signal-

ing, ensuring the effectiveness of treatment with cannabinoid agonists (Berrendero et al., 2001).

In a mouse model of chronic relapsing EAE, intravenous administration of THC, WIN 55,212-2, JWH-133, or methanandamide reduced spasticity and tremor, whereas the same symptoms were exacerbated by treatment with either CB₁ or CB₂ antagonists (Baker et al., 2000). These mice with EAE had increased levels of anandamide, 2-AG, and palmitoylethanolamide (PEA) in areas associated with nerve damage (Baker et al., 2001). Furthermore, spasticity could be relieved not only by administration of exogenous anandamide, 2-AG, or PEA but also by selective inhibitors of endocannabinoid transport or hydrolysis, which suggests tonic control of muscle tone by the endocannabinoid system in EAE (Baker et al., 2001; Ligresti et al., 2006a). Additional evidence for this has emerged through the use of CB₁-deficient mice, which tolerated inflammatory and excitotoxic insults poorly and developed substantial neurodegeneration after the induction of EAE (Pryce et al., 2003). Jackson et al. (2005b) reported that the absence of CB₁ receptors was associated with increased caspase activation and a greater loss of myelin and axonal/neuronal proteins after the induction of chronic EAE. Interestingly, CB₁ knockout mice had increased caspase 3 levels before the induction of EAE, suggesting a neuroprotective tone mediated by CB₁ receptors (Jackson et al., 2005a,b). In mice with EAE, WIN 55,212-2 inhibited leukocyte/endothelial interactions via activation of CB₂ receptors (Ni et al., 2004). Interestingly, a recent study suggests that the high levels of IFN- γ present in the CNS of mice with EAE can counteract endocannabinoid-mediated neuroprotection by disrupting P2X₇ purinergic receptor signaling, a key step in endocannabinoid production by glia (Witting et al., 2006).

Another murine model of MS is Theiler's murine encephalomyelitis virus-induced demyelinating disease. In mice with Theiler's murine encephalomyelitis virus-induced demyelinating disease, treatment with WIN 55,212-2 slowed the progression of symptoms, down-regulated delayed-type hypersensitivity reactions and interferon- γ production, and inhibited the expression of proinflammatory cytokines in the CNS (Croxford and Miller, 2003). In another study using this model, treatment with WIN 55,212-2, ACEA, or JWH-015 caused long-lasting improvements in neurological deficits in the established disease and reduced microglial activation, abrogated major histocompatibility complex class II antigen expression, and decreased the number of CD4⁺ infiltrating T cells in the spinal cord. These changes were paralleled by extensive remyelination (Arevalo-Martin et al., 2003). Treatment of Theiler's murine encephalomyelitis virus-infected mice with the transport inhibitors OMDM1 and OMDM2 enhanced anandamide levels, down-regulated inflammatory responses in the spinal cord, and ameliorated motor symptoms (Mestre et al., 2005), and similar findings were reported using the

transport inhibitor UCM707 (Ortega-Gutierrez et al., 2005). In these two studies, the treatments were also shown to reduce the surface expression of major histocompatibility complex class II molecules, the production of the proinflammatory cytokines (TNF α , IL-1 β , and IL-6), and the expression of inducible NO synthase.

Consistent with the animal data, cannabinoids have shown promise in the treatment of MS in humans (Table 1). A possible underlying mechanism is suggested by a recent study in which the endocannabinoid system was found to be highly activated during CNS inflammation in MS patients and to protect neurons from inflammatory damage by activating a negative feedback loop in microglial cells via CB_{1/2}-mediated epigenetic regulation of mitogen-activated protein kinase phosphatase 1 expression (Eljaschewitsch et al., 2006).

There have been anecdotal reports of the effectiveness of marijuana smoking in relieving symptoms of MS and SPI (Grinspoon and Bakalar, 1993, 1998), which were supported by the results of early open or single-blind observations with orally given THC or smoked marijuana, involving small numbers of patients (Dunn and Davis, 1974; Petro, 1980; Petro and Ellenberger, 1981; Clifford, 1983; Meinck et al., 1989; Brenneisen et al., 1996; Schon et al., 1999). The most consistent finding was a subjective improvement in spasticity, although benefits for mobility, tremor, nystagmus, mood, and bladder control were also reported. In a double-blind crossover study of a single MS patient, nabilone treatment improved muscle spasms, nocturia, and general well-being (Martyn, 1995). In contrast, Greenberg et al. (1994) reported impairments of both balance and posture after a single dose of smoked cannabis in a placebo-controlled study of 10 MS patients and 10 normal subjects. In an anonymous survey of 112 MS patients who self-medicated with cannabis, 30 to 97% of the subjects reported relief from a wide variety of symptoms by smoking marijuana (Consroe et al., 1997). These encouraging reports have triggered numerous larger, population-based clinical trials of cannabis-based medicines in MS, which have yielded mixed results.

Using a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover design, Killestein et al. (2002) have evaluated the effects of oral THC, two doses of 2.5 to 5 mg/day or a *Cannabis sativa* plant extract administered over a 4-week period, in 16 MS patients with severe spasticity. Spasticity and disability, quantified using the objective Ashworth scale (Ashworth, 1964) and the Expanded Disability Status Scale were not improved. However, a significant improvement in the subjective rating of spasm frequency and trends toward improved mobility were noted, with no effect on tremor, sleep quality, or lower urinary tract symptoms. Both THC and the plant extract worsened the patients' global impression of their conditions, with plant extracts causing more adverse side effects. It should be mentioned, however, that the dose of THC used was lower than that in subsequent

studies with more positive outcome, and as was noted in an accompanying editorial (Thompson and Baker, 2002), the study was not powered to detect efficacy.

A large multicenter study involving 33 clinical centers and 660 MS patients in the United Kingdom and United States and supported by the UK Medical Council aimed to explore the effects of cannabis extract (Cannador) or synthetic THC (Marinol) versus placebo on spasticity, pain, tremor, bladder function, and cognitive function [Cannabinoids in Multiple Sclerosis (CAMS) study; Zajicek et al., 2003, 2004]. There was no change in Ashworth score, tremor, irritability, depression, or tiredness after 15 weeks of treatment with Marinol or Cannador. However, there were significant improvements in patient-reported spasticity, pain, and sleep quality. Unexpectedly, there was also a reduction in hospital admissions for relapse in the two active treatment groups. Adverse side effects were generally minor and similar to those with placebo. Remarkably, in the 12-month follow-up of the original CAMS study of 657 patients, muscle spasticity measured by the Ashworth scale was significantly improved in the THC-treated group. The Rivermead Mobility Index was also improved, indicative of reduced disability. The effect of Cannador on tremor was also studied in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover trial in 14 patients with MS. Consistent with an earlier report (Zajicek et al., 2003), no significant therapeutic effects were noted (Fox et al., 2004). In another study of similar design, administration of oral capsules containing 2.5 mg of Δ^9 -THC plus 0.9 mg of CBD (maximal dose of 30 mg of Δ^9 -THC/day) caused improvements in spasm frequency and mobility in 37 MS patients who received at least 90% of their prescribed dose (Vaney et al., 2004).

In a double-blind, placebo-controlled study involving 18 patients with MS, THC and CBD decreased self-reported spasticity and pain and improved bladder symptoms, whereas spasticity measured by the Ashworth scale was not significantly improved (Wade et al., 2003). The therapeutic effect of Sativex delivered by oromucosal spray (2.7 mg of THC and 2.5 mg of CBD at each actuation) was evaluated in 160 outpatients with MS (Smith, 2004). Patients were allowed to self-titrate the dose to achieve optimal effects, up to a maximal daily dose of 120 mg of THC and CBD. Efficacy was assessed by using a modified Ashworth scale to assess spasticity, whereas daily living, mobility, cognitive function, and tremor were quantified through the use of various scales and questionnaires (Wade et al., 2004). There was no significant difference in the Ashworth scale, tremor, and pain at 6 weeks between the Sativex and placebo groups. However, visual analog scales showed significant improvement in patients whose primary symptom had been spasticity (Wade et al., 2004). Sativex was well tolerated and effective against central neuropathic pain and sleep disturbances associated with MS in a randomized, controlled trial involving 66 patients (Rog et al.,

2005). Sativex was approved and launched in Canada in 2005 for the treatment of neuropathic pain associated with MS and is currently being investigated for various other therapeutic indications (Russo, 2004, 2006).

In a recent case report, a 46-year-old woman was diagnosed with MS after having entered treatment with the CB₁ receptor antagonist rimonabant for obesity, and recovery to near normal was noted within weeks after discontinuation of the treatment (van Oosten et al., 2004). This report, coupled with the more severe neurodegenerative process when MS is induced in CB₁ knockout mice or in mice treated with a CB₁ receptor antagonist, could suggest that CB₁ antagonism may exacerbate inflammatory demyelinating diseases in humans (van Oosten et al., 2004). However, the occurrence of MS in this one patient may have been purely coincidental.

Although the results of the above clinical studies (Table 1) are somewhat equivocal, patients treated with cannabis experienced improvements in the most disturbing symptoms including pain and spasticity compared with those receiving placebo, without experiencing significant side effects. These studies also suggest that the Ashworth scale as a primary measure of spasticity in MS does not accurately assess the complex collection of symptoms associated with spasticity, which may be more accurately evaluated using subjective measures. Indeed, the use of the Ashworth scale as a primary measure of spasticity in MS has often been criticized, and many commonly used antispasticity medications have also failed to generate statistically significant improvements according to this scale (Hinderer and Gupta, 1996; Shakespeare et al., 2003). Accurate assessment of the clinical effectiveness of cannabinoids in MS may be complicated by the difficulty in achieving the most appropriate individual oral dose (Table 1). Peak plasma concentrations and their timing vary greatly because of the low water solubility of cannabis components and the large variability in their absorption from the gastrointestinal tract. An additional disadvantage of oral administration is the hepatic first-pass effect. This can result in the formation of large quantities of the psychoactive metabolite 11-OH-THC, which may be responsible for some of the side effects observed (Table 1). Delivery of cannabis-based extracts as an oromucosal spray may minimize these drawbacks and may allow patients to better optimize their individual daily dose by self-titration (Russo, 2006).

In conclusion, controlled clinical trials with cannabinoids have demonstrated their efficacy in eliciting symptomatic improvements in MS patients. These results suggest that there is place for the use of cannabis in the treatment of MS, which should be confirmed in further larger-scale clinical trials.

4. Movement Disorders (Basal Ganglia Disorders).

Endocannabinoid involvement in the central regulation of motor functions and in movement disorders is

based on multiple lines of evidence. First, CB₁ receptors are highly expressed in the basal ganglia, especially in the substantia nigra and in the cerebellum (Herkenham et al., 1990, 1991a,b; Mailleux and Vanderhaeghen, 1992; Tsou et al., 1998; Hohmann and Herkenham, 2000; Moldrich and Wenger, 2000; Howlett et al., 2002), areas involved in motor control. Second, endocannabinoids are also abundant in these brain regions (Bisogno et al., 1999a; Di Marzo et al., 2000). Third, endogenous, plant-derived, and synthetic cannabinoids have potent, mostly inhibitory, effects on motor activity (Crawley et al., 1993; Fride and Mechoulam, 1993; Wickens and Pertwee, 1993; Smith et al., 1994; Romero et al., 1995a,b, 2002b; reviewed in Sañudo-Peña et al., 1999b). Fourth, CB₁ receptor and endocannabinoid levels are altered in the basal ganglia both in experimental models (Zeng et al., 1999; Page et al., 2000; Romero et al., 2000; Lastres-Becker et al., 2001a,b, 2002a,b; Gonzalez et al., 2006) and human forms of movement disorders (Glass et al., 1993, 2000, 2004; Lastres-Becker et al., 2001a; reviewed in Romero et al., 2002b). Fifth, the endocannabinoid system interacts with several neurotransmitter pathways at various levels of the basal ganglia circuitry (Glass et al., 1997a; Miller et al., 1998; Sañudo-Peña and Walker, 1998; Giuffrida et al., 1999; Rodriguez De Fonseca et al., 2001; Brotchie, 2003; van der Stelt and Di Marzo, 2003; de Lago et al., 2004a).

a. Parkinson's disease and levodopa-induced dyskinesia. Parkinson's disease (PD) is the second most common neurodegenerative disease of adult onset, with incidence of 16 to 19/100,000 people worldwide (Twelves et al., 2003). PD is caused by a severe loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr), resulting in reduced dopamine levels and a loss of dopaminergic neurotransmission in the striatum, which interferes with motor function and coordination. Although excitotoxicity, oxidative stress, inflammation, mitochondrial dysfunction, and environmental and hereditary factors have all been implicated in the pathogenesis of PD, the exact cause of the loss of dopaminergic neurons remains elusive (Hattori and Mizuno, 2004; Eriksen et al., 2005). Clinically, PD is characterized by the classic triad of resting tremor, muscular rigidity, and bradykinesia/akinesia (slowness of movement or postural immobility). Current therapies include oral dopamine replacement via the dopamine precursor levodopa, anticholinergic agents, and monoamine oxidase B inhibitors (Horn and Stern, 2004). Although dopamine replacement therapy can be effective in most patients by controlling the symptoms in the short term, their long-term use is associated with diminishing efficacy and severe side effects such as levodopa-induced dyskinesia (LID) (involuntary movements), which often lead to treatment discontinuation and severe disability.

In PD, there are secondary abnormalities in nondopaminergic transmission within the basal ganglia that are thought to contribute to the inhibition of motor func-

tion. Inhibitory GABAergic transmission from the striatum to the external region of the globus pallidus (GPe) is increased, making the GPe hypoactive. This results in decreased GABAergic input from the GPe to the subthalamic nucleus which, together with increased activity of glutamatergic efferents to this nucleus, results in its hyperactivity. In turn, the hyperactive subthalamic nucleus increases the activity of the SNr and internal globus pallidus (GPi) through glutamatergic efferents. Because both the SNr and GPi provide inhibitory output to motor nuclei outside the basal ganglia (e.g., motor thalamus and brain stem locomotor regions), this mechanism is thought to contribute to the excessive motor inhibition in PD (Obeso et al., 2000; Bezard et al., 2001). In general, changes opposite to those described above are likely to be involved in LID. The final outcomes of the dysregulation of neuronal circuits are abnormal patterning, firing rate, and synchronization of basal ganglia outputs (Obeso et al., 2000; Bezard et al., 2001). Importantly, nondopaminergic mechanisms may counterbalance the loss of dopamine and are probably responsible for the lack of parkinsonian symptoms until the loss of >80% of striatal dopamine. They may also attenuate the severity of symptoms once symptoms develop. As discussed below, the endocannabinoid system may play an important regulatory role in PD, PD and LID as well as in the compensatory mechanisms.

Overactivity of endocannabinoid transmission, as reflected by increased tissue levels of endocannabinoids and CB₁ receptors as well as decreased rates of endocannabinoid transport and degradation by FAAH, have been found in the basal ganglia in the 6-hydroxydopamine-lesioned or reserpine-treated rat models of PD (Mailleux and Vanderhaeghen, 1993; Romero et al., 2000; Gubellini et al., 2002; Centonze et al., 2005; Fernandez-Espejo et al., 2005; Gonzalez et al., 2006). In basal ganglia from 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine-lesioned marmosets, a primate model of PD, and in basal ganglia of PD patients, the density of striatal CB₁ receptors and CB₁ receptor-G-protein coupling were found to be increased (Lastres-Becker et al., 2001a). The above changes in 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine-treated marmosets and 6-hydroxydopamine-lesioned rats were reversible by chronic L-dopa treatment, which indicates that the similar changes observed in PD patients were unlikely to have been induced by the replacement therapy (Lastres-Becker et al., 2001a; Maccarrone et al., 2003). There is broad agreement that the endocannabinoid system becomes overactive in the basal ganglia in PD (reviewed in Brotchie, 2003), although some studies report a reduction (Silverdale et al., 2001) or no change in CB₁ receptor expression (Herkenham et al., 1991a) or a dependence on L-DOPA cotreatment of the increased CB₁ receptor expression in the basal ganglia of animals with experimental PD (Zeng et al., 1999).

If the enhanced CB₁ receptor signaling in the striatum is viewed as an attempt of the dopamine-deficient brain to normalize striatal function, the pharmacological amplification of this signaling might alleviate symptoms of PD, e.g., by reducing striatal glutamate release (Gerdeman and Lovinger, 2001; Gerdeman et al., 2002; Gubellini et al., 2002). On the other hand, enhanced CB₁ receptor signaling, if focused on the other part of the circuitry (e.g., GPe), can enhance GABA transmission, leading to inhibition of GPe and thereby contributing to the symptoms of PD. Likewise, CB₁ antagonism could have either pro-parkinsonian effects, if it targets the striatum, or antiparkinsonian effects, if it targets the GPe. Accordingly, both agonists and antagonists might have therapeutic potential, both in PD and LID (reviewed in Brotchie, 2003).

Treatment with CB₁ receptor agonists can decrease the tremor associated with overactivity of the subthalamic nucleus (Sañudo-Peña et al., 1998, 1999b), improve motor impairment seen with dopaminergic agonists (Anderson et al., 1995; Maneuf et al., 1997; Sañudo-Peña et al., 1998), protect against dopaminergic cell death (Lastres-Becker et al., 2005), and delay or reduce the incidence of LID (Sieradzan et al., 2001; Fox et al., 2002a; Ferrer et al., 2003; Gilgun-Sherkiet et al., 2003). However, cannabinoid agonists are unlikely to be used for reducing bradykinesia in PD because of their hypokinetic profile both in primates and humans (Consroe, 1998; Müller-Vahl et al., 1999a; Romero et al., 2002; Brotchie, 2003; Croxford, 2003; Croxford and Miller, 2003).

On the other hand, dysfunction of nigrostriatal dopaminergic neurons can be associated with overactivity of endocannabinoid transmission in the basal ganglia (see above). CB₁ receptor antagonists may therefore be useful for alleviating the bradykinesia of PD or LID, because they attenuate CB₁ signaling in GPe or GPi. (Mailleux and Vanderhaeghen, 1993; Di Marzo et al., 2000; Lastres-Becker et al., 2001a,b; Gubellini et al., 2002; reviewed in Brotchie, 2003; Fernandez-Espejo et al., reviewed in Brotchie, 2003; 2005; Fernandez-Ruiz and Gonzalez, 2005). Notwithstanding the above, studies using SR141716 in rat (Di Marzo et al., 2000) and primate models of PD or LID (Meschler et al., 2001; van der Stelt et al., 2005) provided conflicting results. Rimonabant treatment also failed to influence dyskinesia in the first small-scale, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled human study (Mesnage et al., 2004). However, the dose used in this human study was approximately 10-fold lower (0.3 mg/kg versus 3 mg/kg), than in a recent primate study with positive outcome (van der Stelt et al., 2005). As suggested by a recent report (Fernandez-Espejo et al., 2005), it is also possible that CB₁ receptor blockade is effective only at the very advanced stages of the disease. More recently, using Park-2 knockout mice, a genetic model of early PD, Gonzalez et al. (2005) observed gender-dependent differ-

ences for both the levels of CB₁ receptors and motor responses to agonists or antagonists, extending earlier data obtained in humans and in animal models of PD.

Taken together, although the above studies do not offer a complete understanding of the role of endocannabinoids and cannabinoid receptors in PD and LID, they support the notion that the endocannabinoid system plays an important role in movement disorders, including PD, and may provide the framework for novel therapeutic approaches in the future.

b. Huntington's disease. Huntington's disease (HD) is an inherited, autosomal dominant, progressive neuropsychiatric disorder of the midlife, caused by an unstable expansion of a trinucleotide polyglutamine repeat in the N-terminal domain of a protein termed huntingtin, which leads to degeneration of neurons in the basal ganglia and cortical regions. The disease is characterized by motor disturbances, such as chorea (involuntary movements) and dystonia, psychiatric symptoms, and dementia (Melone et al., 2005). The prevalence of HD is similar to that of ALS (see below), but much lower than that of most of the other neurodegenerative illnesses discussed above or below. The therapy of HD is very limited and includes antidopaminergic drugs to reduce the hyperkinesias and antiglutamatergic agents to reduce excitotoxicity (Melone et al., 2005).

It has been clearly demonstrated, both in postmortem human tissue (Glass et al., 1993, 2000; Richfield and Herkenham, 1994) and in chemically induced and transgenic animal models (Denovan-Wright and Robertson, 2000; Page et al., 2000; Lastres-Becker et al., 2001, 2002a,b; Sieradzan and Mann, 2001; Behrens et al., 2002; Glass et al., 2004; McCaw et al., 2004) that a decrease in CB₁ receptor level and signaling activity in the basal ganglia is one of the earliest changes in HD, preceding nerve loss and clinical symptoms. Furthermore, decreased levels of anandamide and 2-AG in the striatum and an increase of anandamide in the ventral mesencephalon, where the substantia nigra is located, have been documented in a rat model of HD (Lastres-Becker et al., 2001). Thus, it appears that endocannabinoid signaling in the basal ganglia is hypofunctional in HD, which probably contributes to the hyperkinesia associated with the disease. These studies also suggest that the endocannabinoid system is involved in the pathogenesis and/or progression of HD, and cannabinoid agonists could be of significant therapeutic benefit in HD because of their antihyperkinetic and neuroprotective effects (reviewed in Lastres-Becker et al., 2003b). A recent study identified a novel population of progenitor cells expressing CB₁ receptors in the subependymal layer of the normal and Huntington's diseased human brain. This finding raises the intriguing possibility that these cells could be a source of replacement of cells lost due to neurodegenerative disease (Curtis et al., 2006).

Indeed, data from animal models demonstrated that both CB₁ agonists and inhibitors of endocannabinoid

transport are able to reduce hyperkinesia (Lastres-Becker et al., 2002b, 2003a). Interestingly, direct agonists of CB₁ receptors, such as CP55,940, only produced a very modest effect compared with the anandamide transport inhibitor AM404, which also exhibits affinity for the VR₁ receptor (Zygmunt et al., 2000). This latter property of AM404 may account for its ability to reduce hyperkinesia (Lastres-Becker et al., 2002b, 2003a), as other transport inhibitors such as VDM11 and AM374, which are not active at TRPV₁ receptors, were devoid of antihyperkinetic effects in HD rats (Lastres-Becker et al., 2003a), and the most potent transport inhibitor to date, UCM707, only produced a modest effect (de Lago et al., 2002, 2004b, 2006). Arvanil, a hybrid endocannabinoid and vanilloid compound, was also reported to alleviate hyperkinesias in a rat model of HD (de Lago et al., 2005). These results suggest that TRPV₁ receptors alone, or in combination with CB₁ receptors, might represent novel therapeutic targets in HD (reviewed in Lastres-Becker et al., 2003b).

There have been few human trials on the effects of cannabinoid agonists in HD, and the results do not live up to the promise of the animal data. Small trials with the synthetic THC analog nabilone and with the non-psychoactive cannabidiol showed no efficacy or even increased choreic movements in HD patients (Consroe et al., 1991; Müller-Vahl et al., 1999b). These negative results could be related to dosing issues, to the lack of TRPV₁ receptor activity of the compounds tested, or to the advanced stage of the disease. Nevertheless, further studies are warranted to explore the therapeutic potential of cannabinoids in HD.

c. Gilles de la Tourette's syndrome, tardive dyskinesia, and dystonia. Based on its ubiquitous presence in motor regions of the brain, the endocannabinoid system might be involved in other extrapyramidal disorders such as Gilles de la Tourette's syndrome (TS), tardive dyskinesia, and dystonia. TS is a neurological syndrome that becomes evident in early childhood and is characterized by multiple motor and vocal tics lasting for more than 1 year. Plant-derived cannabinoids have been found to be effective in the treatment of tics and behavioral problems in TS (Müller-Vahl et al., 1997, 1998, 1999c, 2002, 2003a,b; Müller-Vahl, 2003). Beneficial effects of cannabinoids have been also reported in dystonia, both in animal models (Richter and Löscher, 1994, 2002) and in humans (Fox et al., 2002b; Jabusch et al., 2004). In addition, as described in the sections above, cannabinoids have potential in the management of the LID in PD and of the spasticity and tremor in MS. On the other hand, in patients chronically treated with neuroleptic drugs, a correlation between chronic cannabis use and the presence of tardive dyskinesia has been described previously (Zaretsky et al., 1993).

5. Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. ALS (also known as Lou Gehrig's disease) is the most common adult-

onset human motor neuron disease with a prevalence of 5 to 7/100,000. It is characterized by rapid, progressive degeneration of motor neurons in the brain and spinal cord, which ultimately leads to progressive weakness, paralysis, and premature death (Rowland and Shneider, 2001). Although weak, patients are cognitively intact and thus are completely aware of their progressive disability. The disease strikes adults at any age, and most patients die within 3 to 5 years after the onset of symptoms. Although most cases of ALS are sporadic and are probably acquired, approximately 10% are familial, usually inherited in an autosomal dominant pattern. Despite a variety of putative underlying mechanisms, including oxidative stress, neuroinflammation, autoimmunity, a defect in neuronal glutamate transport and glutamate toxicity, neurofilament accumulation, exogenous factors (viruses or toxins), mitochondrial dysfunction, and mutations in the superoxide dismutase (*SOD1*) gene, the pathogenesis of ALS is incompletely understood (Barnham et al., 2004). Tragically, available treatment options are limited and do not prevent disease progression and death (Rowland and Shneider, 2001).

Based on the well-known protective effect of cannabinoids against oxidative cell damage and excitotoxicity (Hampson et al., 1998; Shen and Thayer, 1999; Abood et al., 2001; van der Stelt et al., 2001a), combined with their antispastic effect in MS, Carter and Rosen (2001) have proposed the use of marijuana for the pharmacological management of ALS. Indeed, in a pilot study of the safety and tolerability of THC in ALS patients, symptomatic benefits were seen for spasticity, insomnia, and appetite (Gelinis et al., 2002). Consistent with this clinical report, studies using transgenic mice expressing a mutant form of human *SOD1* (hSOD1^{G93A} mice) as an experimental model of ALS have demonstrated that either THC or WIN55,212-2 administered after the onset of the disease or genetic ablation of *FAAH* delayed disease progression (Raman et al., 2004; Bilsland et al., 2006). Furthermore, THC potently reduced oxidative and excitotoxic damage in spinal cord cultures in vitro and prolonged survival in *SOD1* mutant mice (Raman et al., 2004). Surprisingly, neither WIN55,212-2 nor *FAAH* ablation affected the life span of *SOD1*(G93A) mice, whereas deletion of the CB₁ receptor significantly extended life span without affecting the disease onset (Bilsland et al., 2006). These results suggest that cannabinoids have significant neuroprotective effects in a mouse model of ALS but that these beneficial effects may be mediated by non-CB₁ receptor mechanisms.

6. Alzheimer's Disease. Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder that accounts for the vast majority of age-related dementia and is one of the most serious health problems in the industrialized world. The disease is characterized by the formation of

neuritic plaques rich in β -amyloid ($A\beta$) peptide, neurofibrillary tangles rich in hyperphosphorylated τ protein, gliosis, and a neuroinflammatory response involving astrocytes and microglia, inevitably leading to progressive global cognitive decline (Weksler et al., 2005). These studies have engendered new perspectives on the possible role of the endocannabinoid system in neurodegenerative processes associated with inflammation (reviewed in Walter and Stella, 2004), including those in AD (reviewed in Pazos et al., 2004).

In an in vitro cell culture model of AD, anandamide prevented $A\beta$ -induced neurotoxicity through CB_1 -mediated activation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway (Milton, 2002). In rat microglia cells in culture, CB_1 receptor stimulation also dose dependently inhibited the release of NO, which had been implicated in the neurotoxic effects of $A\beta$ peptide (Waksman et al., 1999). In PC12 cells, protection against $A\beta$ -induced neurotoxicity was also observed with cannabidiol, which does not bind to CB_1/CB_2 receptors (Iuvone et al., 2004). Interestingly, CB_1 receptor blockade by SR141716 improved the memory deficit induced by administration of $A\beta$ peptide in mice, presumably by increasing hippocampal acetylcholine levels (Mazzola et al., 2003). However, analyses of brain tissue samples obtained from AD patients (Westlake et al., 1994) or animal models of AD (Romero et al., 1998; Benito et al., 2003) indicate that CB_1 receptors are not dramatically affected. In contrast, CB_2 receptors and FAAH are overexpressed in microglia associated with neuritic plaques in the brain of AD patients (Benito et al., 2003). Senile plaques in AD patients express both CB_1 and CB_2 receptors together with markers of microglial activation, and CB_1 -positive neurons, present in high numbers in control cases, are greatly reduced in areas of microglial activation (Ramirez et al., 2005). CB_1 receptor protein levels and G protein coupling were also markedly decreased in AD brains, coupled with increased nitration of the CB_1 and CB_2 receptor proteins (Ramirez et al., 2005). Intracerebroventricular administration of WIN 55,212-2 to rats prevented $A\beta$ -induced microglial activation, cognitive impairment and loss of neuronal markers. HU-210, WIN 55,212-2, and JWH-133 blocked $A\beta$ -induced activation of cultured microglial cells, as judged by mitochondrial activity, cell morphology and TNF- α release, and these effects were independent of the antioxidant action of ligands. Furthermore, cannabinoids abrogated microglia-mediated neurotoxicity after addition of $A\beta$ to rat cortical cocultures (Ramirez et al., 2005). Although there are no data available on the endocannabinoid content in AD brain tissue are available, increased levels have been reported in the brain after inflammatory events and in neurodegenerative disorders associated with inflammation (reviewed in Walter and Stella, 2004 and see also sections above).

Based on the above, one might hypothesize that $A\beta$ deposition induces the release of endocannabinoids from neurons and glia, which activate CB_1 -mediated neuroprotective pathways and modulate the release of inflammatory mediators in microglia through CB_2 receptors. If this hypothesis is confirmed by future studies, the beneficial effects of CB_1/CB_2 agonists and FAAH antagonists in AD could be explored. Intriguingly, in a recent open-label pilot study of six patients in the late stages of dementia (five patients with AD and one patient with vascular dementia), treatment with 2.5 mg of dronabinol daily for 2 weeks significantly improved the Neuropsychiatric Inventory total score and the subscores for agitation and aberrant motor and nighttime behaviors (Walther et al., 2006).

7. Epilepsy. If the balance between inhibitory and excitatory communications among neurons is disturbed, the intensity of excitatory transmission may exceed a certain threshold, leading to epileptic seizures. Stimulation of postsynaptic neurons is known to trigger the on-demand synthesis of endocannabinoids via an increase in intracellular calcium and/or stimulation of metabotropic receptors (reviewed in Piomelli, 2003; Lutz, 2004). Thereafter, endocannabinoids are released and reach presynaptic CB_1 receptors retrogradely to modulate both inhibitory GABAergic and excitatory glutamatergic transmissions via multiple mechanisms (Marsicano and Lutz, 1999; Alger, 2002, 2004; Gerdeman et al., 2002; Robbe et al., 2002; Azad et al., 2003; Freund et al., 2003; Gerdeman and Lovinger, 2003; Kim and Alger, 2004; Isokawa and Alger, 2005).

Multiple pathways, eventually culminating in neuronal death, are triggered by excessive excitatory activity through a process known as excitotoxicity (McNamara, 1999). Excitotoxicity is believed to contribute to the progression of numerous degenerative central nervous system disorders such as Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease, and various forms of epilepsy. More than 1% of the human population is affected by epilepsy and the incidence is highest in elderly persons or during the first years of life (reviewed in Holmes and Ben-Ari, 1998; McCormick and Contreras, 2001). Epileptic syndromes are classified as generalized seizures, which affect the entire forebrain, or partial seizures, which occur within localized brain regions. Conventional antiepileptic treatment is not fully effective in ~30% of patients, therefore justifying the search for new targets (LaRoche and Helmers, 2004).

Cannabis has been used to treat epilepsy for centuries. Hashish was reported to cure the sick son of the chamberlain of the Caliphate Council in Baghdad by the medieval Arab writer Ibn al-Badri (Mechoulam, 1986; Iversen, 2000). Almost four centuries later, W. B. O'Shaughnessy, an Irish physician and scientist working at the Medical College of Calcutta, confirmed the benefit of hashish for treating pain, emesis, mus-

cle spasms, and convulsions (reviewed in Karler and Turkanis, 1981; Mechoulam, 1986). The benefit of cannabis in epilepsy was also reported by a British neurologist (Reynolds, 1890), but the medicinal use of cannabis was prohibited in the early 20th century in most countries.

After the identification of the structure of THC (Gaoni and Mechoulam, 1964), several groups investigated its antiepileptic effects (reviewed in Gordon and Devinsky, 2001; Lutz, 2004). THC was originally characterized as an anticonvulsant, but it has a variety of excitatory and depressant effects, ranging from convulsions to ataxia, depending on the dose, experimental model, and the animal species used (Karler and Turkanis, 1981; reviewed in Gordon and Devinsky, 2001; Lutz, 2004). Further complicating the picture, animal studies also document a rebound effect to THC with enhanced CNS excitability and increased sensitivity to convulsions (Chiu et al., 1979; Karler and Turkanis, 1981; Karler et al., 1986). This withdrawal hypersensitivity implies that in susceptible patients, the use of marijuana may be associated with withdrawal seizures (Karler and Turkanis, 1981).

Only case reports on the effects of THC in epileptic patients are currently available. Two reports described decreased seizure frequency after marijuana use (Consroe et al., 1975; Ellison et al., 1990) and an epidemiological study found that chronic marijuana use is protective against seizures (Ng et al., 1990). According to a questionnaire completed by 215 epileptic patients using marijuana regularly, 7.4% experienced a reduction, 2.3% an increase, and 90.2% no change in seizure frequency (Gordon and Devinsky, 2001). In contrast, marijuana smoking was associated with an increase in seizure frequency in another study (Keeler and Reifler, 1967). Small-scale clinical studies have shown that the nonpsychotropic cannabidiol either reduced seizure frequency or had no significant effect on it (Cunha et al., 1980; Ames and Cridland, 1986; Gordon and Devinsky, 2001).

As in human studies, cannabinoids were found to exert both anti- and proconvulsive activity in animal models of epilepsy, largely depending on the stimulus applied to induce seizures (chemical, electrical, light, or fever) and the species used (Johnson et al., 1975; Ten Ham et al., 1975; Wada et al., 1975a,b; Corcoran et al., 1978; Chiu et al., 1979; Duncan and Dagirmanjian, 1979; Fish et al., 1981; Karler and Turkanis, 1981; Colasanti et al., 1982; Fish and Consroe, 1983; Karler et al., 1984, 1986; Consroe and Mechoulam, 1987; Pertwee et al., 1991; Hayase et al., 2001a,b; reviewed in Gordon and Devinsky, 2001; Lutz, 2004).

Anandamide and its metabolically stable analog, O-1812, dose dependently inhibited electroshock-induced seizures in rats, and this effect was abolished by SR141716 (Wallace et al., 2002). In a rat model of febrile seizures, the expression of presynaptic CB₁ receptors in

hippocampal GABAergic interneurons was increased (Chen et al., 2003), and the CB₁ receptor-mediated DSI was enhanced (Alger, 2002), suggesting that the endogenous cannabinoid system is protective. Remarkably, in a rat model of pilocarpine-induced status epilepticus, CB₁ receptor agonists were more effective in reducing seizure frequency than clinically used anticonvulsants, such as phenytoin or phenobarbital. Consistently, CB₁ receptor blockade increased seizure frequency, and the seizure activity was associated with increased brain levels of CB₁ receptors and 2-AG (Wallace et al., 2003a).

With use of the kainic acid-induced excitotoxic epileptiform seizure model in wild type and CB₁ knockout mice, recent studies have established that the seizure-induced increase of intracellular calcium, a hallmark of epilepsy (Raza et al., 2001), triggers the synthesis of anandamide, which activates CB₁ receptors in glutamatergic neurons in the hippocampus and cerebral cortex (Marsicano et al., 2003; Khaspekov et al., 2004). Such "on-demand" activation of CB₁ receptors was suggested to protect against excitotoxicity by various mechanisms, including inhibition of calcium channels and stimulation of potassium channels to decrease neuronal excitability and the activation of extracellular signal regulated kinases (Marsicano et al., 2003; Khaspekov et al., 2004). In contrast to these findings, FAAH knockout mice or mice treated with a CB₁ agonist were found to have increased sensitivity to kainic acid-induced seizures (Clement et al., 2003). The lack of protection in this latter study may be related to the nonselective activation of CB₁ receptors on both inhibitory (proconvulsive effect) and excitatory neurons (anticonvulsive effect) and by the life-long rather than on-demand activation of CB₁ receptors present in FAAH knockout animals.

In summary, the use of cannabinoids for the treatment of epilepsy is still controversial, although recent experimental studies provide some new insight. To date, there have been no large-scale, controlled clinical trials to examine the beneficial effects of cannabinoids in various forms of epilepsy. The potential use of the nonpsychotropic cannabidiol and of inhibitors of anandamide transport or degradation warrants further investigation.

8. Mental Disorders. The well-known psychotropic effects of cannabinoids and the distribution of cannabinoid receptors across important emotional circuits in the brain suggest that the endocannabinoid system may be involved in various psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia and mood disorders (reviewed in van der Stelt and Di Marzo, 2003; Hall et al., 2004; Leweke et al., 2004; Manzanares et al., 2004; Ujike and Morita, 2004; Ashton et al., 2005; Gambi et al., 2005; Semple et al., 2005; Vinod and Hungund, 2005).

a. Schizophrenia. Schizophrenia is the second most common mental disorder with a lifetime prevalence of approximately 0.2 to 2% worldwide (Ban, 2004). The disease usually begins in early adulthood or late adoles-

cence and is characterized by psychotic episodes with positive symptoms including delusions and/or hallucinations, loose associations, and distortion of perception. The psychotic episodes are separated by periods with negative symptoms consisting of apathy, anhedonia, reduced social drive, loss of motivation, poverty of speech and thought, and blunting of affect. With disease progression, behavioral impairment can lead to complete social isolation. Although recent advances in the pharmacotherapy of schizophrenia produced great improvement in the clinical symptoms and the quality of life of patients, there is room for further improvements (Ban et al., 2004; Moller, 2005).

Numerous theories have been put forth regarding the etiology of schizophrenia, ranging from developmental or neurodegenerative processes, environmental factors, neurotransmitter abnormalities (dopamine or glutamate), and infectious or autoimmune processes, but also including the cannabinoid hypothesis (reviewed in Thaker and Carpenter, 2001; Lewis et al., 2005). It appears that hypoglutamatergic and hypodopaminergic transmission in the prefrontal cortex is involved in the negative symptoms, whereas hyperactivity of dopamine neurotransmission in the mesencephalic projections to the nucleus accumbens may underlie the positive symptoms (Thaker and Carpenter, 2001; Lewis et al., 2005).

According to the endocannabinoid hypothesis of schizophrenia, overactivity of the endocannabinoid system may lead to a hyperdopaminergic and hypoglutamatergic state, which may underlie some of the symptoms (Emrich et al., 1997, reviewed in Ujike and Morita, 2004; Laviolette and Grace, 2006). The endocannabinoid hypothesis is supported by multiple lines of evidence. First, the use of large amounts of cannabis and THC may produce psychotic symptoms in normal individuals, including delusions, hallucinations, and cognitive impairment, which resemble schizophrenia (Spencer, 1971; Halikas et al., 1972; Chopra and Smith, 1974; McGuire et al., 1994; Emrich et al., 1997; Johns, 2001; D'Souza et al., 2004). Second, cannabis and THC may worsen psychotic symptoms in schizophrenic patients, contribute to poor outcome, increase the possibility of relapse, and decrease the effectiveness of antipsychotic drugs (Breakey et al., 1974; Treffert, 1978; Negrete, 1989; Turner and Tsuang, 1990; Linszen et al., 1994; Martinez-Arevalo et al., 1994; Voruganti et al., 2001; D'Souza et al., 2005). Third, the use of cannabis may precipitate the onset of schizophrenia in individuals susceptible to psychosis (Andreasson et al., 1987; Miller et al., 2001). Fourth, postmortem radioligand studies document increased CB₁ receptor density in the dorsolateral and anterior cingulate regions and subregions of the prefrontal cortex in schizophrenia (Dean et al., 2001; Zavitsanou et al., 2004; Newell et al., 2006). Fifth, the levels of anandamide are increased in cerebrospinal fluid or blood from schizophrenic patients (Leweke et al., 1999; De Marchi et al., 2003; Giuffrida et al., 2004).

Sixth, treatment with neuroleptics appears to normalize the imbalance in endocannabinoid signaling in blood cells in schizophrenic patients (De Marchi et al., 2003) and also decreases CB₁ receptor binding in the rat nucleus accumbens (Sundram et al., 2005). Last, the hebephrenic type of schizophrenia shows a strong association with polymorphisms in the *CNR1* gene encoding CB₁ receptors (Leroy et al., 2001; Ujike et al., 2002).

Taken together, the above evidence suggests that the endocannabinoid system may be a novel therapeutic target in schizophrenia. It is also tempting to speculate that CB₁ antagonists may be beneficial against some, most likely the negative, symptoms of the disease. Some preclinical and clinical evidence also suggests that cannabidiol may have antipsychotic potential (reviewed in Zuardi et al., 2006).

b. Anxiety and depression. Mood disorders such as generalized anxiety or panic disorder, major depressive disorder and bipolar disorder (manic depressive illness) are very common, often serious, and potentially life-threatening conditions. More than 20% of the adult population experiences a mood disorder at some point during their life. In up to 15% of individuals with major depressive disorder the cause of death is suicide. According to a World Health Organization forecast, by the year 2020 depression will become the second leading cause of premature death and disability worldwide (Pacher and Kecskemeti, 2004). Although significant advances have been made in the treatment of mood disorders during the past decades, ~30% of the population do not respond to current therapies, and the search for novel pharmacological approaches continues (reviewed in Pacher and Kecskemeti, 2004).

Many of the psychological effects of cannabis and THC are biphasic and bidirectional, depending on mode of administration, dose, personality, time frame, degree of tolerance, and various other environmental and individual factors (Paton and Pertwee, 1973; Ashton et al., 1981, 2005; Viveros et al., 2005). The acute effects in normal subjects can range from euphoria, relaxation, excitation, heightened perception, and increased motor activity to dysphoria, anxiety, sedation, perceptual distortion, and incoordination. THC, under certain conditions and at certain doses, exerts anxiolytic, antidepressant, and hypnotic effects in patients suffering from pain associated with cancer or multiple sclerosis and improves mood and general well-being in normal subjects (Regelson et al., 1976; Glass et al., 1980; Ashton et al., 1981; Fabre and McLendon, 1981; Ilaria et al., 1981; Martyn et al., 1995; Ashton, 1999; Wade et al., 2003). However, under different conditions and at higher doses, cannabis or THC can produce dysphoric reactions, anxiety, panic paranoia, and psychosis (Spencer, 1971; Halikas et al., 1972; Chopra and Smith, 1974; Ashton et al., 1981, 2005; McGuire et al., 1994; Emrich et al., 1997; Johns, 2001; Patton et al., 2002; Tournier et al., 2003;

Dannon et al., 2004; D'Souza et al., 2004; reviewed in Hollister, 1986; Hall and Solowij, 1998).

CBD also possesses anxiolytic, antipsychotic and anticonvulsant properties, which are not mediated by classic cannabinoid receptors (Carlini et al., 1975; Consroe and Wolkin, 1977; Consroe et al., 1981; Zuardi et al., 1982, 1995, 2006; Ames and Cridland, 1986; Martin et al., 1987; Guimaraes et al., 1990, 1994; reviewed in Mechoulam et al., 2002c; Grotenhermen, 2003; Long et al., 2006). The mode of action of CBD is not completely understood; it may involve blockade of anandamide and serotonin reuptake (Bisogno et al., 2001; McPartland and Russo, 2001), inhibition of the enzymatic hydrolysis of anandamide (Mechoulam et al., 2002), or an interaction with as yet unidentified receptors (Járai et al., 1999; Pertwee et al., 2002).

Animal studies yielded further support to the biphasic and bidirectional effects of cannabinoids on anxiety, with low doses being anxiolytic and high doses being anxiogenic. Indeed, low doses of CP55,940 (Genn et al., 2003; Marco et al., 2004), nabilone (Onaivi et al., 1990), and THC (Berrendero and Maldonado, 2002) exerted anxiolytic-like effects in the light-dark crossing test and in the elevated plus-maze in adult rodents. Low-dose CP55,940 was also anxiolytic in other models of anxiety in adult, juvenile, or infant rodents (Romero et al., 2002a; Borcel et al., 2004; Genn et al., 2004). In contrast, at medium to high doses, CP55,940 or HU-210 displayed anxiogenic effects in the same or other experimental paradigms in adult as well as in juvenile or infant animals (McGregor et al., 1996a,b; Rodriguez de Fonseca et al., 1996; Giuliani et al., 2000; Arevalo et al., 2001; Marin et al., 2002; Romero et al., 2002; Genn et al., 2003; 2004; Marin Marco et al., 2004). Although several hypotheses have been proposed to explain the biphasic effects of cannabinoids on anxiety, including distinct receptors (Haller et al., 2004a,b) or neuroanatomically separated CB₁ receptors with a differential sensitivity to the anxiolytic versus anxiogenic effects of cannabinoids, these need to be confirmed in future studies (reviewed in Viveros et al., 2005).

The high level of CB₁ receptors in the hippocampus, amygdala, and prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortex, key regions in the regulation of anxiety, may suggest that the endocannabinoid system plays a role in the control of anxiety (Herkenham et al., 1990, 1991a,b; Glass et al., 1997b; Katona et al., 2001; Hájos and Freund, 2002; Tzavara et al., 2003; Pistis et al., 2004). Further support of this theory came from studies using CB₁ receptor antagonists or CB₁ receptor knockout mice. SR141716 produced anxiogenic effects in the elevated plus-maze and the defensive withdrawal tests in adult rats (Navarro et al., 1997; Arevalo et al., 2001). Furthermore, SR141716 not only reversed the anxiolytic effects of the CB₁ agonist CP55,940 but also was anxiogenic in the ultrasonic vocalization test in rat pups when administered alone (McGregor et al., 1996a). In con-

trast, Haller et al. (2002) found SR141716 to be anxiolytic in the plus-maze in mice, but this effect was not mediated by CB₁ receptors as indicated by its presence in CB₁ knockout mice. Furthermore, another selective CB₁ receptor antagonist, AM251, increased anxiety-like behavior in wild-type mice but had no effect in the knockouts, in support of a CB₁ receptor-mediated anxiolysis. As discussed before, SR141716, but not AM251, also inhibits a CB₁-like receptor that mediates presynaptic inhibition of glutamate release in the hippocampus (Hájos and Freund, 2002). Thus, the findings of Haller et al. (2002) could suggest that the anxiolytic effect of SR141716 is mediated by such a CB₁-like receptor, activation of which would be anxiogenic.

CB₁ knockout mice displayed increased anxiogenic responses in the light-dark box, plus-maze, and social interaction tests, an increased aggressive response in the resident-intruder test, and marked alterations in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis coupled with impaired action of known anxiolytic drugs such as buspiron and bromazepam (Haller et al., 2002, 2004b; Martin et al., 2002; Urigüen et al., 2004). However, Marsicano et al. (2002) were unable to demonstrate anxiogenic-like response in CB₁ knockout mice in the plus-maze. This may be related to differences in the genetic background of the CB₁ knockout mice used and/or different experimental conditions. The importance of the latter is also indicated by the confounding effect of stress on anxiogenic behaviors and their modulation by endocannabinoids (Haller et al., 2004a; Patel et al., 2005). Stress-induced down-regulation of hippocampal endocannabinoid signaling may contribute to problems in behavioral flexibility and may play a role in the development of perseveratory and ruminatory behaviors in stress-related neuropsychiatric disorders (Hill et al., 2005). Collectively, a majority of evidence supports a role for CB₁ receptors in the control of emotional behavior and suggests the existence of an anxiolytic endocannabinoid tone. Facilitation of such a tone by inhibiting the degradation of endocannabinoids *in vivo* may be therapeutically exploited, as indicated by the reduced anxiety-like behavior and potent antidepressant-like effects in mice and rats treated with a FAAH or anandamide transport inhibitor and the blockade of this effect by SR141716 or AM281 (Kathuria et al., 2003; Gobbi et al., 2005; Bortolato et al., 2006; Rutkowska et al., 2006).

The mechanisms responsible for the effects of cannabinoids on anxiety-related responses are complex and may involve modulation of numerous neurotransmitter systems. For example, stimulation of CB₁ receptors in rodents activates the HPA axis through the release of CRH (Weidenfeld et al., 1994; Wenger et al., 1997; Martin-Calderon et al., 1998; Manzanares et al., 1999a; Marco et al., 2004), which could account for the anxiogenic effects of high doses of cannabinoids (Rodriguez de Fonseca et al., 1996; Marin et al., 2002). In contrast, there are also examples of negative modulation of HPA

function by endocannabinoids (Di et al., 2003; Patel et al., 2004). Cannabinoids also modulate GABAergic transmission and the release of the peptide cholecystokinin, which may contribute to both anxiolytic and anxiogenic effects (Onaivi et al., 1990; Katona et al., 1999, 2001; Marsicano and Lutz, 1999; Tsou et al., 1999; Beinfeld and Connolly, 2001; Rotzinger and Vaccarino, 2003). Furthermore, cannabinoids enhance the release of endogenous opioids and a functional interplay between the endocannabinoid and opioid systems modulates analgesic responses and is involved in antidepressant-like effects and in various addiction-related processes (Pugh et al., 1997; Manzanares et al., 1999b; Houser et al., 2000; Zimmer et al., 2001; Ghozland et al., 2002). From studies with THC and CP55,940, it appears that μ - and δ -opioid receptors mediate certain anxiolytic effects, whereas activation of κ -opioid receptors leads to increased anxiety (Pugh et al., 1997; Houser et al., 2000; Zimmer et al., 2001; Berrendero and Maldonado, 2002; Ghozland et al., 2002; Marin et al., 2003). There are also interactions between the endocannabinoid and serotonergic systems (Arevalo et al., 2001; Malone and Taylor, 2001; Fride and Shohami, 2002; Marin et al., 2003; Marco et al., 2004; Steffens and Feuerstein, 2004; reviewed in Viveros et al., 2005), although their role in anxiety-like behaviors has not been explored.

In contrast to earlier dogma, recent findings indicate that neurogenesis occurs in the adult brain. Furthermore, stress and depression decrease neurogenesis, particularly in the hippocampus, whereas electroconvulsive therapy and chronic treatment with conventional antidepressants increases this process (reviewed in Pacher et al., 2004a). It has been recently demonstrated that the endocannabinoid system drives neural progenitor cell proliferation (Aguado et al., 2005, 2006), and cannabinoids promote neurogenesis (Berghuis et al., 2005; Jiang et al., 2005). Furthermore, CB₁ receptors appear to be required for neuronal survival in the hippocampus (Bilkei-Gorzo et al., 2005). These findings are particularly exciting, as they raise the possibility of a role for endocannabinoids in antidepressant drug action. Indeed, CB₁ receptor density in the hippocampus and hypothalamus is increased by chronic tricyclic antidepressant treatment (Hill et al., 2006), and the amplification of the actions of endocannabinoids by the FAAH inhibitor URB597 was found to produce antidepressant-like effects in the mouse tail-suspension and rat forced-swim tests, without eliciting reward-related effects indicative of addictive potential (Gobbi et al., 2005). It should not be surprising, however, that based on the basis of the bimodal action of cannabinoids on mood and anxiety, a case could be made for the opposite, i.e., for the antidepressant potential of CB₁ antagonism. CB₁ antagonists were reported to elicit antidepressant-like behavioral effects in rodents and can increase the synaptic concentration of biogenic amines, much like antidepressants do (reviewed in Witkin et al., 2005). Thus, pharmacological

modulation of the endocannabinoid system holds considerable promise in the treatment of both anxiety-related and mood disorders.

The results of a recent study implicated endocannabinoids and CB₁ receptors in the extinction of aversive memories by demonstrating that CB₁ knockout mice show impaired extinction in auditory fear-conditioning tests, and this could be mimicked in wild-type mice by treatment with SR141716 (Marsicano et al., 2002b). These exciting findings raise the possibility that pharmacological amplification of CB₁ signaling, for example, by FAAH inhibitors, may have therapeutic value in obsessive-compulsive disorder or post-traumatic shock syndrome.

9. Insomnia. Insomnia, the most common sleep disorder, is defined as difficulty with the initiation, maintenance, duration, or quality of sleep that results in the impairment of daytime functioning, despite adequate opportunity and circumstances for sleep (Silber, 2005). The cause for insomnia is often not known, but frequently it may be a consequence of a chronic disease associated with pain or depression.

Early studies documented the fact that marijuana and THC affect sleep patterns both in humans (Freemon, 1972, 1982; Pivik et al., 1972; Barratt et al., 1974; Feinberg et al., 1975, 1976) and in experimental animals (Monti, 1977; Buonamici et al., 1982). More recently, Nicholson et al. (2004) have studied the effects of cannabis extracts on nocturnal sleep, early-morning performance, memory, and sleepiness in a placebo-controlled, double-blind, crossover study in eight healthy volunteers. They found that 15 mg of THC was sedative, whereas 15 mg of CBD had alerting properties as it increased wake activity during sleep and counteracted the residual sedative activity of THC (Nicholson et al., 2004).

Anandamide was also found to modulate sleep by increasing slow-wave sleep two and rapid eye movement sleep in a CB₁ receptor-dependent manner in rats (Murillo-Rodriguez et al., 1998, 2001). Moreover, CB₁ receptor expression in the pons of rats was modulated by the light/dark cycle and by sleep (Martinez-Vargas et al., 2003), and endocannabinoids and CB₁ receptors were also implicated in rapid eye movement sleep rebound (Navarro et al., 2003). Interestingly, a recent study has demonstrated that anandamide not only induced sleep but also increased levels of the sleep-inducing substance adenosine in the basal forebrain, and both of these effects were blocked by SR141716 (Murillo-Rodriguez et al., 2003).

Oleamide is a fatty acid amide with a variety of in vitro effects, including inhibition of gap junction-mediated cell-cell communication (Boger et al., 1998a,b), modulation of 5-HT₁, 5-HT_{2A,C}, and 5-HT₇ receptors (Thomas et al., 1997, 1999; Hedlund et al., 1999), and modulation of inhibitory ionotropic receptors such as the GABA_A receptor (Coyne et al., 2002). Oleamide accumu-

lates in the cerebrospinal fluid of sleep-deprived cats (Cravatt et al., 1995) and rats (Basile et al., 1999) and induces sleep, an effect which could be blocked by SR141716 (Mendelson and Basile, 1999). Initially, it was suggested that inhibition of anandamide degradation by FAAH rather than the activation of CB₁ receptors was responsible for the sleep-inducing effect of oleamide (Boring et al., 1996; Mechoulam et al., 1997), but this is a matter of dispute (Fowler, 2004; Lees and Dougalis, 2004; Leggett et al., 2004).

Although little is known about the role of the endocannabinoid system in the pathophysiology of sleep disorders, clinical studies uniformly report significantly improved sleep quality in patients taking cannabinoids for symptomatic treatment of multiple sclerosis, cancer, chronic pain, or intractable pruritus. Although psychotropic cannabinoids are unlikely to gain acceptance for the treatment of insomnia, FAAH inhibitors were shown to enhance certain endocannabinoid-mediated behaviors without evidence for addictive properties (Kathuria et al., 2003). The sleep-inducing property of some potent FAAH inhibitors, such as the endogenous lipid 2-octyl γ -bromoacetoacetate (Boger et al., 1998a), could therefore be therapeutically exploited.

10. Nausea and Emesis. Nausea and vomiting can present as symptoms of a variety of diseases or as secondary consequences of chemotherapy or radiotherapy of cancer. It is for this latter indication that THC has gained acceptance as a highly efficacious therapeutic agent, often effective in cases resistant to other, more conventional, medications (reviewed by Martin and Wiley, 2004; Aapro, 2005; Hall et al., 2005). Emesis is thought to involve activation of specific receptors on sensory nerve endings in the gut and also in brainstem regions including the medullary chemoreceptor trigger zone and the lateral reticular formation. Activation of 5-HT₃ receptors appears to play a dominant role in acute emesis, whereas activation of NK₁ (substance P) receptors is more important in the delayed emesis after chemotherapy, as indicated by the effectiveness of the respective receptor antagonists in controlling these different stages of the emetic response (Aapro, 2005). Although the mechanism of the antiemetic action of cannabinoids is not quite clear, an interaction with 5-HT₃ is suggested by the colocalization of CB₁ and 5-HT₃ receptors on GABAergic neurons where they have opposite effects on GABA release (Morales et al., 2004). Also, cannabinoids may directly inhibit 5-HT₃-gated ion currents by a mechanism not involving CB₁ receptors (Fan, 1995; Barann et al., 2002). Such a CB₁ receptor-independent effect is also suggested by the ability of cannabidiol, a natural constituent of marijuana which does not bind to the CB₁ receptor, to reduce lithium-induced vomiting in the house musk shrew (Parker et al., 2004). Nevertheless, the involvement of CB₁ receptors is clearly indicated by the ability of SR141716 to reverse the effects of THC and synthetic agonists in

suppressing vomiting caused by cisplatin (Darmani, 2001b) or lithium chloride (Parker et al., 2004), or by the ability of these agonists to reverse the emesis elicited by SR141716 in the least shrew (Darmani, 2001a). These latter findings suggest that the emetic circuitry is tonically controlled by endocannabinoids.

In line with such a possibility, a recent human study found an association between chronic marijuana use, which probably results in desensitization of cannabinoid receptors, and cyclical hyperemesis: in the 19 subjects studied, the hyperemetic episodes subsided upon discontinuation of cannabis use and reappeared upon rechallenge with cannabis (Allen et al., 2005). A meta-analysis of 30 randomized comparisons of cannabis (nabilone, dronabinol, or levonantradol) with placebo or standard antiemetics, involving a total of 1366 patients, concluded that cannabinoids are slightly more effective than conventional antiemetics, and the patients prefer them because of their mood enhancing and sedative effects. However, they were also more toxic, with dizziness, dysphoria, hallucinations, and paranoia being the most prominent undesirable side effects (Tramèr et al., 2001). This led to the recommendation to limit the use of cannabinoids as antiemetics to patients with chemotherapy-related sickness, in whom their mood-enhancing effects would be of added benefit.

11. Drug Addiction and Alcohol Disorders. The positive reinforcing effect of natural rewards, such as those derived from eating, drinking, work, or sexual activity, are mediated by the brain's reward circuitry. Neuroanatomically, this circuitry consists of three series of coupled pathways. First-order neurons project from structures in the ventral limbic forebrain (orbitofrontal cortex and anterior cingulate area) to the mesencephalic ventral tegmental area (VTA) where they synapse onto dopaminergic neurons. These second-order neurons project primarily to neurons in the shell of the nucleus accumbens (nAc), but also to cortical areas and to the amygdala. Third-order neurons in the nAc, some of which are GABAergic, project to the ventral pallidum and other regions involved in mediating reward-related behaviors (recently reviewed by Lupica et al., 2004; Gardner, 2005). It is believed that addictive drugs activate or "hijack" the same pathway. Genetic vulnerability to drug addiction has been linked to a functional deficiency in the second-order dopaminergic neurons at their interface with third-order neurons in the nAc (Nestler, 2003). In human subjects prone to addiction, a deficiency in D₂ dopamine receptors in the nAc could be documented by brain imaging (Volkow et al., 1997, 1999).

A common denominator among different addictive drugs interacting with distinct receptors is their ability to activate the mesolimbic dopaminergic reward pathway and increase dopamine levels in the nAc, which is believed to be responsible for their addictive properties (Koob, 1992; Wise, 2004). Similar to other drugs of abuse, THC increases extracellular dopamine levels in

the nAc via activation of CB₁ receptors (Chen et al., 1990; Tanda et al., 1999) and also lowers the reward threshold for electrical brain stimulation (Gardner et al., 1988), a phenomenon known to involve activation of the mesolimbic dopamine system. THC also increases the firing rate of the second-order VTA-nAc dopaminergic neurons via CB₁ but not opiate receptors (French, 1997), and withdrawal from THC increases corticotropin-releasing factor levels in the central nucleus of the amygdala (Rodriguez de Fonseca et al., 1997), another hallmark of drugs of abuse (Koob, 1996).

THC and related synthetic cannabinoid agonists also fulfill the reward-related behavioral criteria for drugs of abuse: they support conditioned place preference (CPP) under appropriate conditions (Lepore et al., 1995; Valjent and Maldonado, 2000; Zangen et al., 2006), they are self-administered intravenously or intracerebrally in a CB₁ antagonist-sensitive manner (Martellotta et al., 1998; Ledent et al., 1999; Braida et al., 2001; Zangen et al., 2006), and they reinstate cocaine- or heroine-seeking behavior in rats previously extinguished from self-administration (De Vries et al., 2001).

An issue of intense interest is the location of the CB₁ receptors mediating these effects. Similar to cannabinoids, opiates also increase the activity of dopaminergic neurons in the VTA. This effect has been shown to result from μ receptor-mediated inhibition of GABA release from the terminals of inhibitory GABAergic interneurons, i.e., through a “disinhibitory” mechanism (Johnson and North, 1992). A similar mechanism has been postulated for cannabinoids by Cheer et al. (2000), who reported that local application of the cannabinoid agonist HU-210 to brain slices containing the VTA increased dopaminergic neuronal activity, which could be blocked by the GABA_A antagonist bicuculline. In line with this, WIN 55,212-2 was found to suppress electrically evoked, but not muscimol-induced, inhibitory postsynaptic currents via CB₁ receptors in brain slices containing the VTA (Szabo et al., 2002). However, cannabinoids also inhibit glutamate release in the VTA, which would have an opposite effect on dopaminergic activity (Melis et al., 2004a). There is evidence for additional sites of action, such as CB₁ receptors on the terminals of GABAergic projection neurons that target GABA_B receptors on VTA dopamine neurons resulting in their disinhibition (Riegel and Lupica, 2004). This pathway may be activated by ethanol, as indicated by the ability of the GABA_B agonist baclofen to antagonize the increase in ethanol drinking caused by WIN 55,212-2 treatment of alcohol-preferring rats (Colombo et al., 2004). Activation of CB₁ receptors on glutamatergic terminals in the nAc was reported to inhibit glutamate release onto GABAergic neurons in the nAc that project to the VTA, which may also result in disinhibition of VTA dopaminergic neurons (Robbe et al., 2001). Indeed, both the VTA and the nAc may be sites of the rewarding effects of cannabinoids, as documented by

the propensity of rats to self-administer THC into either site (Zangen et al., 2006).

Regardless of the exact location of presynaptic CB₁ receptors, their natural activation occurs through retrograde transmission, with their endogenous ligands being released from postsynaptic cells (Kreutzner and Regehr, 2001; Ohno-Shosaku et al., 2001; Wilson and Nicoll, 2001). This mechanism has also been implicated in LTD (Gerdeman et al., 2002; Robbe et al., 2002), a form of synaptic plasticity that can be initiated by drugs of abuse (Thomas et al., 2001), and may be involved in certain features of compulsive drug use (Gerdeman et al., 2003). A further indication that endocannabinoids may be involved in mechanisms of drug reward is findings that the neurochemical and behavioral responses to different classes of drugs of abuse can be inhibited by the CB₁ receptor antagonists. These findings suggest that endocannabinoid activation of CB₁ receptors in the mesolimbic reward pathway may be part of a “common pathway” of drug reward (reviewed in De Vries and Schoffelmeer, 2005; Maldonado et al., 2006). Examples of this are discussed below.

a. Opiates. There is a large body of evidence indicating a reciprocal relationship between the endocannabinoid and endogenous opioid systems in drug dependence (recently reviewed by Fattore et al., 2005; Vigano et al., 2005a,b). This fact is not surprising, given that opioids and cannabinoids have a similar pharmacological profile at both the behavioral level (e.g., analgesia, hypothermia, catalepsy, and motor impairment) and cellular/molecular levels (both CB₁ and opiate μ receptors are predominantly presynaptic, they are coupled to and share the same pool of G_i/G_o proteins, and have an overlapping brain distribution). There are numerous examples for opioid or cannabinoid reward-related effects being inhibited by both CB₁ and opiate μ antagonists (Fattore et al., 2005; Gardner, 2005; Vigano et al., 2005a,b). The mechanisms underlying these reciprocal interactions are not clear, but they may involve heterodimerization of CB₁ and μ opiate receptors, depletion of shared G protein pools and/or utilization of common postreceptor signaling pathways. In addition, the opiate/cannabinoid synergism observed in nAc/striatal neurons appears to require adenosine and A2a receptor signaling (Yao et al., 2006).

Here we will only review evidence that pertains to the potential involvement of endocannabinoids in the addictive, reward-related actions of opioids. Such evidence is based on the ability of pharmacological or genetic ablation of CB₁ receptors to prevent or inhibit opioid effects. CB₁ knockout mice were reported to be unable to acquire morphine self-administration (Ledent et al., 1999; Cossu et al., 2001), to have reduced morphine withdrawal symptoms (Ledent et al., 1999), and not to develop CPP for morphine (Martin et al., 2000). A possible neurochemical correlate of these changes is the lack of morphine-induced dopamine release in the nucleus accum-

bens of CB₁ receptor knockout mice (Mascia et al., 1999), although more recently CB₁ blockade was found to reverse the morphine-induced decrease in ventropallidal GABA overflow without affecting the morphine-induced increase in dopamine release in the nAc (Caillé and Parsons, 2006). Treatment of wild-type mice and rats with a CB₁ antagonist elicits similar phenotypes (Rubino et al., 2000; Mas-Nieto et al., 2001; Navarro et al., 2001, 2004). These observations raise the therapeutic potential of chronic treatment with a CB₁ receptor antagonist in preventing or reversing the development of opiate dependence.

b. Nicotine. Nicotine is the main neuroactive component in tobacco smoke and is responsible for its addictive properties. Nicotine's rewarding effects are mediated by the same mesolimbic dopaminergic pathway that is involved in the rewarding effects of many other addictive drugs (Pontieri et al., 1996). Therefore, it should not be unexpected that there is a positive synergism between nicotine and THC in paradigms used to reveal reinforcing effects (Valjent and Maldonado, 2000). A role of endocannabinoids in the rewarding effects of nicotine is indicated by the absence of nicotine-induced CPP in CB₁ knockout mice (Castane et al., 2002), although the acquisition of nicotine self-administration was not affected by the absence of CB₁ receptors in another study using an acute reinforcement paradigm (Cossu et al., 2001). On the other hand, SR141716 was reported to decrease nicotine operant self-administration (Cohen et al., 2002) and nicotine-induced CPP in rats (Le Foll and Goldberg, 2004; Forget et al., 2006) and also to inhibit nicotine-induced dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens shell (Cohen et al., 2002). SR141716 also inhibited nicotine self-administration sustained by nicotine-associated cues in the absence of nicotine itself (Cohen et al., 2005), and chronic exposure to nicotine was reported to induce endocannabinoid release (Gonzalez et al., 2002). Furthermore, SR141716 abolished the anxiolytic effects of low-dose nicotine in mice and potentiated its anxiogenic effects at higher doses (Balerio et al., 2006). Together, these findings justified testing rimonabant in clinical trials to promote smoking abstinence. Indeed, the results of a recent multicenter phase III clinical trial in the United States indicate that a 10-week treatment of smokers with a daily oral dose of 20 mg of rimonabant with a follow-up period of 42 weeks doubled the odds of quitting smoking, was well tolerated, and also reduced the post-cessation weight gain by >80% (Dale and Anthenelli, 2004).

c. Cocaine. Unlike THC, opiates and nicotine, cocaine does not increase the activity of dopaminergic neurons in the VTA but elevates synaptic levels of dopamine in the nAc by blocking dopamine reuptake at the dopamine transporter (Giros et al., 1996). Therefore it is not surprising that cocaine-induced increases in dopamine in the nAc were found to be unaffected by genetic ablation of CB₁ receptors (Soria et al., 2005). Accordingly,

CB₁ receptors do not appear to participate in the acute rewarding properties of cocaine, as indicated by the preserved acute cocaine self-administration and cocaine-induced CPP in CB₁ knockout mice (Martin et al., 2000; Cossu et al., 2001; Lesscher et al., 2005; Soria et al., 2005) or in mice treated with SR141716 (Tanda et al., 2000; De Vries et al., 2001; Caillé and Parsons, 2006). SR141716 treatment also did not affect the threshold-lowering effect of cocaine in the intracranial self-stimulation paradigm, although treatment with WIN 55,212-2 was able to achieve this, suggesting that CB₁ receptor stimulation might inhibit the reinforcing properties of cocaine (Fattore et al., 1999; Vlachou et al., 2003).

Other studies indicate, however, that endocannabinoid activation of CB₁ receptors may mediate the reinforcing effects of cocaine. SR141716 treatment decreased the sensitivity of rats to the reinforcing effects of cocaine in an intracranial self-stimulation paradigm (Deroche-Gamonet et al., 2001). The ability to acquire operant self-administration of cocaine was reduced in CB₁ knockout mice or in SR141716-treated wild-type mice, which also displayed a reduced maximal effort to obtain cocaine infusion in a progressive ratio schedule, compared with untreated wild-type mice (Martin et al., 2000; Soria et al., 2005). Furthermore, prior use of cannabis was found to enhance the "high" elicited by subsequent use of cocaine in humans (Foltin et al., 1993; Lukas et al., 1994) and also to hasten relapse in abstinent former cocaine users (Rawson et al., 1986). Furthermore, a recent genetic study found an association between an (AAT)_n triplet repeat polymorphism in the *CNR1* gene encoding the CB₁ receptor with cocaine addiction in an African-Caribbean population (Ballon et al., 2006). Treatment with HU-210 promoted reinstatement of cocaine-seeking behavior in rats, whereas treatment with SR141716 prevented reinstatement (De Vries et al., 2001). Thus, the endocannabinoid system may be involved in the acquisition and consolidation of cocaine addiction as well as in relapse, through mechanisms other than an effect on the cocaine-induced increase in dopaminergic transmission in the nAc. These latter studies also predict the possible effectiveness of rimonabant in the treatment of cocaine addiction.

d. Alcohol. Several lines of evidence indicate the involvement of the endocannabinoid system in alcohol drinking behavior (recently reviewed by Colombo et al., 2005). Chronic alcohol intake increases endocannabinoid levels in the limbic forebrain (Gonzalez et al., 2002) and decreases CB₁ receptor binding and signaling (Basavarajappa and Hungund, 2002). Studies in the late 1990s indicated the effectiveness of SR141716 in reducing voluntary ethanol intake in rodent models of ethanol drinking (Arnone et al., 1997; Colombo et al., 1998b; Freedland et al., 2001), whereas cannabinoid agonists promoted drinking (Gallate et al., 1999; Colombo et al., 2002). Operant self-administration of ethanol and relapse to drinking are also inhibited by SR141716 (Cipp-

itelli et al., 2005; Economidou et al., 2006) and potentiated by chronic exposure to a cannabinoid agonist (Lopez-Moreno et al., 2005).

The possible role of the endocannabinoid system in ethanol preference was further indicated by observations of reduced voluntary ethanol drinking in CB₁ knockout compared with wild-type mice (Hungund et al., 2003; Poncelet et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2003; Lallemand and de Witte, 2004; Naassila et al., 2004; Thanos et al., 2005), although no difference was noted in one study (Racz et al., 2003). Sensitivity to alcohol is inversely related to the chance of becoming an alcoholic among humans (Schuckit, 1997), and the same inverse relationship was noted in CB₁ knockout mice and their wild-type littermates (Naassila et al., 2004). The reduced voluntary ethanol intake in CB₁ knockout mice was associated with reduced alcohol-induced CPP (Houchi et al., 2004; Thanos et al., 2005), a further indication of the role of CB₁ receptors in the rewarding effects of alcohol.

Similar to cannabinoids and other drugs of abuse, alcohol intake can also result in increased dopamine release in the nAc (Weiss et al., 1993; Campbell and McBride, 1995). The reported absence of such release in CB₁ knockout mice and the ability of SR141716 to block ethanol-induced dopamine release in wild-type mice further suggest the involvement of endocannabinoids in the reinforcing effects of ethanol. However, the brain site where ethanol-induced endocannabinoid release and CB₁ receptor activation occur is not yet known. The recent observation that microinjection of SR141716 into the prefrontal cortex of alcohol-preferring AA rats inhibited ethanol self-administration suggests that this region may be one of the sites involved (Hansson et al., 2006). In the same study, FAAH activity and CB₁ signaling were both reduced in the same brain region of AA rats compared with their nonpreferring ANA counterparts, and microinjection of the FAAH inhibitor URB597 increased ethanol self-administration (Hansson et al., 2006). Analogous findings in female FAAH knockout mice are their increased voluntary ethanol intake and decreased alcohol sensitivity (Basavarajappa et al., 2006). These findings suggest that increased anandamide tone secondary to decreased FAAH activity in the prefrontal cortex may be causally linked to high alcohol preference. Such a scenario would be compatible with evidence for an association between problem drug and alcohol use and a missense mutation in the human FAAH gene (Sipe et al., 2002).

A number of mediators have been implicated in the control of appetite for both food and alcohol. In the case of endocannabinoids, the regulation is "unidirectional", i.e., endocannabinoids promote both food intake (see section III.A.3.) and alcohol drinking. Because both food intake and alcohol drinking activate the brain reward pathways, one might postulate that the role of endocannabinoids in promoting drinking behavior would be most prominent in the type of alcoholics who drink for the

rewarding effects of alcohol, such as young binge-drinkers. The high alcohol preference of C57BL6 mice and the role of the endocannabinoid system mediating it were found to be age-dependent (Wang et al., 2003), which is compatible with such a possibility. In contrast, the effects of NPY and CRH on food intake and ethanol consumption are bidirectional: NPY increases food intake (Clark et al., 1984) but reduces ethanol consumption (Thiele et al., 1998), whereas CRH is anorectic (Britton et al., 1982) but promotes ethanol drinking (George et al., 1990). The effects of NPY and CRH on alcohol preference correlate with their effects on anxiety-like behaviors, NPY being anxiolytic (Heilig et al., 1989) and CRH being anxiogenic (Koob and Thatcher-Britton, 1985). We would predict that CB₁ antagonists will be more effective in reducing the drive to drink in younger people who drink for the rewarding effects of alcohol, whereas CRH antagonists or NPY agonists would be more effective in older, chronic alcoholics who more likely drink to suppress the negative affect and anxiety of alcohol withdrawal. This hypothesis may be tested by appropriately designed clinical trials. Studies to test the safety and efficacy of rimonabant in the treatment of alcoholism and alcohol abuse are currently underway at the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism.

e. Psychostimulants. 3,4-Methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA, Ecstasy) is a psychostimulant abused for its euphorogenic and stimulant properties, and it is often used in combination with marijuana. Intracerebral self-administration of MDMA was found to be reduced in the presence of the cannabinoid agonist CP55,940 and increased after treatment with SR141716. These findings were interpreted to indicate synergism between the reinforcing effects of cannabinoids and MDMA and a reduction in the motivational value of MDMA by CB₁ blockade (Braidia and Sala, 2002). In another study, the authors found that SR141716 blocked MDMA-induced CPP (Braidia et al., 2005). Amphetamine-induced long-term synaptic depression in the amygdala could be blocked by the CB₁ antagonist AM251, mimicked by the agonist WIN 55,212-2, and occluded by the transport inhibitor AM404, suggesting that amphetamine-induced LTD and related behavioral effects may be mediated via endocannabinoid release (Huang et al., 2003). Together, these findings suggest that CB₁ antagonists may be of value in the treatment of addiction to psychostimulants, including amphetamine and MDMA.

D. Cardiovascular and Respiratory Disorders

Besides their well known neurobehavioral and immunological actions, cannabinoids and their endogenous and synthetic analogs exert important cardiovascular effects. The underlying mechanisms are complex, involving direct effects on the vasculature (Gebremedhin et al., 1999; Járai et al., 1999; Wagner et al., 2001b; Wagner et al., 2005) and myocardium (Bonz et al., 2003; Maslov et

al., 2004; Sterin-Borda et al., 2005), as well as modulation of autonomic outflow through sites of action in the central (Niederhoffer and Szabo, 2000; Pfitzer et al., 2004) and the peripheral nervous systems (Ishac et al., 1996; Malinowska et al., 1997; Szabo et al., 2001; Niederhoffer et al., 2003). As for endogenous cannabinoids, their effects are also complicated by their rapid metabolism, which liberates arachidonic acid that can be further metabolized into vasoactive prostanoids (reviewed in Mechoulam et al., 1998; Kunos et al., 2000; Randall et al., 2002; Ralevic et al., 2002).

Studies to date indicate that CB₁ receptors are much more important than CB₂ receptors in cardiovascular regulation, the latter so far being implicated only in ischemic preconditioning and ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) injury of the myocardium (see below). CB₁ receptors have been detected in the human, rat, and mouse myocardium where they mediate negative inotropy (Bonz et al., 2003; Bátkaï et al., 2004b; Pacher et al., 2004b, 2005a,b,d; Engeli et al., 2005; Wagner et al., 2005) and also in vascular tissues (Gebremedhin et al., 1999; Liu et al., 2000), where their activation leads to vasodilation, and both of these effects appear to be involved in the hypotensive effect of anandamide (Wagner et al., 2001a,b; Bátkaï et al., 2004a,b; Pacher et al., 2004b, 2005a,b,d) in anesthetized rodents. Sympathetic nerve terminals contain presynaptic CB₁ receptors, stimulation of which inhibits norepinephrine release (Ishac et al., 1996), which contributes to the bradycardic effects of anandamide in vivo (Wagner et al., 2001b). Anandamide-induced cardiovascular depressor effects are devoid of a centrally mediated component (Varga et al., 1996), in contrast to the effects of certain synthetic cannabinoids, which cause centrally mediated sympathoexcitation (Niederhoffer and Szabo, 2000; Gardiner et al., 2001, 2002b).

The vasorelaxant effect of endocannabinoids and synthetic cannabinoids in vitro are complex and display tissue and interspecies differences. They may involve CB₁ and TRPV₁ receptor- and NO-mediated or NO-independent mechanisms and also as yet undefined endothelial site(s) of action. A detailed discussion of these in vitro vasodilatory effects can be found in recent reviews (Hillard, 2000; Kunos et al., 2000, 2002; Ralevic et al., 2002; Randall et al., 2002, 2004; Begg et al., 2005; Pacher et al., 2005a,b) and is beyond the scope of this review.

Compared with the growing body of information on the vascular effects of cannabinoids, less is known about cannabinoid-induced direct cardiac effects. Anandamide, *R*-methanandamide, and HU-210 dose dependently decrease contractile performance in isolated, electrically paced human atrial muscle, an effect blocked by the potent CB₁ antagonist AM251, whereas the involvement of CB₂ receptors, NO, or prostanoids could be excluded (Bonz et al., 2003). HU-210 also decreased left ventricular developed pressure in isolated perfused rat hearts

through CB₁ receptor activation (Maslov et al., 2004; Krylatov et al., 2005). Another study using isolated, perfused, rat Langendorff heart preparations to study the effects of anandamide, *R*-methanandamide, and palmitoylethanolamide on coronary perfusion pressure and left ventricular developed pressure suggested the involvement of a cardiac site of action distinct from CB₁ and CB₂ receptors (Ford et al., 2002).

Several studies have examined the in vivo hemodynamic effects of endocannabinoids and their synthetic analogs in rodents (recently reviewed in Begg et al., 2005; Pacher et al., 2005a,b). Intravenous administration of anandamide causes a triphasic blood pressure response in anesthetized mice and rats, in which a prolonged hypotensive effect (phase III) is preceded by a transient, vagally mediated, fall in heart rate, cardiac and contractility, and blood pressure and an increase in total peripheral resistance (phase I) followed by a brief, pressor response (phase II) associated with increased cardiac contractility (Varga et al., 1995; Lake et al., 1997b; Pacher et al., 2004b, 2005d). Inhibition of the phase I bradycardic response by TRPV₁ receptor antagonists in rats (Malinowska et al., 2001) and the absence of both phase I and phase II responses in TRPV₁^{-/-} mice (Pacher et al., 2004) imply that these components are mediated by TRPV₁ receptors. Additional central and vascular mechanisms may also be involved in the brief pressor response (phase II) in anesthetized rats (Kwolek et al., 2005). The third, prolonged hypotensive phase (phase III) is characterized by marked decreased cardiac contractility and slightly decreased total peripheral resistance, and it lasts up to 10 min in anesthetized mice (Pacher et al., 2004b, 2005d), similar to the hypotensive effect previously described in anesthetized but not conscious rats (Stein et al., 1996; Varga et al., 1996; Lake et al., 1997a,b; Gardiner et al., 2002a; Bátkaï et al., 2004b) and also observed with synthetic cannabinoids (Vidrio et al., 1996; Lake et al., 1997a; Pacher et al., 2005d).

The anandamide-induced phase III hypotension and decreased cardiac contractility, as well as similar hemodynamic responses to synthetic cannabinoids, are mediated by CB₁ receptors. First, these effects are prevented or reversed by selective CB₁ antagonists both in normal rodents (Varga et al., 1995, 1996; Calignano et al., 1997; Pacher et al., 2004b, 2005a,d) and in mice lacking FAAH, which exhibit increased sensitivity to hypotensive and cardiodepressant effects of anandamide (Pacher et al., 2005d). Second, there is a positive correlation between the concentrations of various cannabinoid agonists in producing half-maximal hypotensive and bradycardic responses (EC₅₀) and in their affinity constants for binding to CB₁ receptors in the brain (Lake et al., 1997a). Third, cannabinoid-induced hypotension and bradycardia are absent in mice lacking the CB₁ receptor (Járai et al., 1999; Ledent et al., 1999). The involvement of the endocannabinoid system in various cardiovascular disorders is reviewed below.

1. Hypertension. Chronic use of cannabis in humans as well as both acute and prolonged administration of THC to experimental animals elicits a long-lasting decrease in blood pressure and heart rate (Rosenkratz and Braude, 1974; Benowitz and Jones, 1975), whereas the acute effect of smoking cannabis usually increases heart rate with no consistent change in blood pressure (Kanakakis et al., 1976). In a recent study conducted in 63 male cannabis smokers, 22% of subjects experienced symptomatic hypotension, which could be reversed by the administration of 30 or 90 mg but not lower doses of rimonabant, indicating that CB₁ receptors mediate the hypotensive effect of cannabis smoking in humans (Gorelick et al., 2006).

More than three decades ago, several studies explored the potential use of cannabinoids to treat hypertension (Birmingham, 1973; Archer, 1974; Varma and Goldbaum, 1975; Adams et al., 1977; Crawford and Merritt, 1979; Zaugg and Kyncl, 1983). Unfortunately, the initial high anticipation was tempered by a report of the development of rapid tolerance to the hypotensive and bradycardic effects of THC (Adams et al., 1976) and by the failure to separate the cardiovascular and neurobehavioral effects of cannabinoids. Albeit a later study in spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHR) demonstrated no tolerance to the same effects during a 10-day treatment period (Kosersky, 1978), interest in this issue had vanished for the next two decades.

As with many other effects of marijuana, the discovery of endocannabinoids has focused attention on their possible role in cardiovascular regulation. Studies with SR141716 indicated that the hypotensive/bradycardic effects of exogenous anandamide, THC, and potent synthetic cannabinoids are mediated by CB₁ receptors (Varga et al., 1995; Lake et al., 1997a). CB₁ receptor knockout mice have normal blood pressure (Járai et al., 1999; Ledent et al., 1999) and the blood pressure of normotensive mice and rats is unaffected or slightly reduced by CB₁ antagonists (Varga et al., 1995; Lake et al., 1997a; Varga-Bátkai et al., 2004b). In anesthetized rats, anandamide elicits only a modest and short-lasting hypotensive response (Varga et al., 1995; Lake et al., 1997a), whereas in conscious normotensive rats it has no hypotensive effect at all (Stein et al., 1996; Lake et al., 1997b; Gardiner et al., 2002). Furthermore, inhibitors of anandamide transport or FAAH do not lower blood pressure in normotensive animals (Calignano et al., 1997; Bátakai et al., 2004b), and mice deficient in FAAH have normal baseline hemodynamic characteristics and baroreceptor reflex function (Pacher et al., 2005d). As pointed out by a recent editorial (Awumey et al., 2005), these observations indicate a lack of involvement of endogenous cannabinoids in cardiovascular regulation under normal conditions.

In contrast, a number of observations indicate that endocannabinoids are involved in cardiovascular regulation in hypertension. Both THC (Kosersky, 1978) and

anandamide (Lake et al., 1997b; Bátakai et al., 2004b) induce larger and longer lasting hypotension in anesthetized SHR compared with normotensive controls, and the hypotensive effect of anandamide is preserved in conscious SHR (Lake et al., 1997b). Interestingly, inhalation of THC also resulted in a greater and longer lasting decrease of arterial blood pressure in hypertensive compared with normotensive individuals (Crawford and Merritt, 1979). By using a sophisticated pressure-volume analysis system, the hemodynamic effects of cannabinoid agonists and antagonists were evaluated in three different models of experimental hypertension (Bátkai et al., 2004b). In anesthetized SHR, the CB₁ antagonists AM251 and SR141716 both caused marked and sustained further increases in blood pressure and cardiac contractility (Fig. 5). Conversely, preventing the degradation or uptake of endogenous anandamide by treatment with the FAAH inhibitor URB597 or the transport inhibitor OMDM2 reduced blood pressure, cardiac contractility, and vascular resistance to levels observed in normotensive controls, and these effects were prevented by pretreatment with a CB₁ antagonist. Similar effects were seen in Dahl salt-sensitive rats and rats with angiotensin II-induced hypertension, whereas in the respective normotensive controls the same parameters remained unaffected by any of these treatments (Bátkai et al., 2004b) (Fig. 5). Anandamide and HU-210 induced more pronounced and longer lasting hypotension in SHR than in WKY rats. Unexpectedly, decreased cardiac contractility rather than a reduction in peripheral resistance was primarily responsible for the antihypertensive effect of anandamide, which was fully prevented by CB₁ antagonists, but was unaffected by the TRPV₁ antagonist capsazepine. In the same study, the expression of CB₁ receptors was found to be increased in the myocardium and the aortic endothelium of SHR compared with WKY rats.

These findings point to the existence of an endocannabinoid tone in hypertension that limits the elevation of blood pressure and cardiac contractile performance through tonic activation of cardiac and probably vascular CB₁. A possible underlying mechanism is the observed up-regulation of cardiac and vascular CB₁ in SHR compared with their normotensive controls, although increased coupling of these CB₁ receptors may also contribute to the augmented sensitivity to the cardiovascular effects of anandamide (Bátkai et al., 2004b). A proposed alternative mechanism would involve up-regulation of vascular TRPV₁ receptors in hypertension, based on the reported ability of capsazepine to partially inhibit the hypotensive effect of anandamide and *R*-methanandamide in hypertensive but not in normotensive rats (Li et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2005). However, capsazepine is known to have nonspecific effects even at low concentrations (Ray et al., 2003), and up-regulation of TRPV₁ cannot account either for the increased hypotensive potency of HU-210 (Bátkai et al., 2004b), which




FIG. 5. Effects of anandamide, URB597, SR141716, and AM251 on left ventricular (LV) function in normotensive and spontaneously hypertensive rats. Representative left ventricular pressure-volume (PV) loops from WKY rats (a, d, and g) and SHR (b, c, e, f, h, and i) before (black) and after (red) treatment with indicated agents or their combinations. A leftward shift of PV loops and an increase in amplitude (pressure) indicate increased LV contractility, whereas a rightward shift and decrease in amplitude indicate decreased LV function. Experiments were repeated in three more animals in each treatment group with similar results. AEA, anandamide. Reproduced with permission from Bátakai et al. (2004) *Circulation* 110:1996–2002; © Lippincott Williams and Wilkins.

is not a ligand for TRPV₁ receptors (Zygmunt et al., 1999), or for the dominant cardiac component in the hypotensive effect of exogenous or endogenous anandamide (Bátakai et al., 2004b). Also, physiological concentrations of endogenous anandamide are at least an order of magnitude lower than the micromolar concentrations required to activate TRPV₁ receptors.

A practical implication of these findings is that enhancing endocannabinoid tone by blocking the enzymatic degradation or cellular uptake of anandamide could be a novel therapeutic approach in the treatment of hypertension. Such a strategy has a number of desirable features: 1) unlike the generalized activation of CB₁ receptors by direct acting agonists, inhibition of FAAH causes a more restricted profile of cannabinoid-like effects with no indication of psychoactivity (Kathuria et al., 2003; Gobbi et al., 2005), probably related to the discrete distribution of FAAH in the brain; 2) FAAH or transport inhibitors have no hemodynamic effects under normotensive conditions, which predicts the absence of postural hypotension or other side effects; and 3) having

a major effect on the inappropriately increased cardiac contractility, such treatment may be effective in reversing the cardiac hypertrophy that usually accompanies chronic hypertension.

2. Circulatory Shock. The profound hypotension that can be elicited through pharmacological activation of CB₁ receptors (Lake et al., 1997a) triggered numerous studies to investigate the role of the endocannabinoid system in the hypotension associated with various forms of shock, including hemorrhagic (Wagner et al., 1997; Cainazzo et al., 2002), endotoxic (Varga et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2003a; Bátakai et al., 2004a; Gardiner et al., 2005; Kadoi et al., 2005), and cardiogenic shock (Wagner et al., 2001a, 2003), and the shock associated with necrotizing pancreatitis (Matsuda et al., 2005). Initial studies demonstrated that the putative CB₁ receptor antagonist SR141716 prevented or reversed the hypotension associated with hemorrhagic, endotoxic, and cardiogenic shock (Wagner et al., 1997, 2001a,b; Varga et al., 1998). Likewise, SR141716 reversed the hypotension associated with advanced liver

cirrhosis (Bátkai et al., 2001; Ros et al., 2002), which is possibly secondary to the endotoxemia frequently found in patients with late-stage cirrhosis (Lumsden et al., 1988). Observations that circulating macrophages and platelets from endotoxemic or cirrhotic animals or humans had elevated levels of endocannabinoids and, when isolated and injected into normal rats, these cells elicited SR141716-sensitive hypotension also pointed toward the involvement of CB₁ receptors in many of these conditions (Wagner et al., 1997; Varga et al., 1998; Bát-kai et al., 2001; Maccarrone et al., 2001, 2002; Ros et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2003a).

Several recent reports demonstrated that anandamide and some atypical cannabinoids can cause both cardiodepressant and vasodilatory effects via as-yet-undefined receptors sensitive to inhibition by SR141716 but not by AM251 (Járai et al., 1999; Ford et al., 2002; Ho and Hiley, 2003; O'Sullivan et al., 2004b), a selective CB₁ antagonist equipotent with SR141716 (Gatley et al., 1997). A recent study compared the effects of SR141716 and AM251 in rats on the acute hypotensive effect of bacterial endotoxin (LPS) administered as an intravenous bolus. Hypotension in this model is fully attributable to the decreased cardiac contractility, whereas peripheral vascular resistance is increased, indicating vasoconstriction (Biber et al., 1988; Cheng et al., 2003). Using this model, the cardiodepressant and hypotensive effects of LPS were inhibited by SR141716 but not by AM251. Furthermore, LPS induced SR141716-sensitive hypotension in wild-type mice and in mice deficient in CB₁ or both CB₁ and CB₂ receptors, suggesting that receptors distinct from CB₁ or CB₂ are primarily responsible for the observed hypotension (Bátkai et al., 2004a). Interestingly, another recent study has demonstrated that the CB₁-selective cannabinoid antagonist AM281 prevented the hemodynamic changes induced by acute LPS injection in rats (Kadoi et al., 2005a). Other results indicate that endocannabinoids may also contribute to endotoxin-induced hypotension indirectly, through CB₁-mediated prejunctional inhibition of sympathoexcitation (Godlewski et al., 2004). In a different shock model in which continuous infusion of LPS in conscious rats causes marked peripheral vasodilatation and increased cardiac output, AM251 attenuated the tachycardic and hind quarter vasodilator effects of LPS. This result was attributed to modulation of β -adrenergic vasodilation, rather than suppression of a direct vasodilator effect by endocannabinoids (Gardiner et al., 2005). Interestingly, in a recent study, Matsuda et al. (2005) demonstrated that AM251 improved mean arterial pressure and survival rate in models of severe acute necrotizing pancreatitis without affecting inflammatory changes, which suggests the involvement of cardiac or vascular CB₁ receptors in the hypotension associated with this condition.

In hemorrhagic, cardiogenic, and endotoxic shock, the cannabinoid agonists HU-210, WIN 55,212-2, and THC

improved endothelial function and/or survival (Wagner et al., 1997, 2001a, 2003; Varga et al., 1998; Smith et al., 2000, 2001). Surprisingly, the use of cannabinoid receptor antagonists, including SR141716, AM281, AM251, and SR144528, also leads to survival benefits in endotoxic and septic shock or necrotizing pancreatitis (Varga et al., 1998; Smith et al., 2000, 2001; Cainazzo et al., 2002; Kadoi et al., 2005a,b; Matsuda et al., 2005). In contrast, CB₁ receptor blockade increased mortality in hemorrhagic (Wagner et al., 1997) and cardiogenic shock (Wagner et al., 2001a, 2003), despite the increase in blood pressure. In these latter conditions, endocannabinoid-mediated vasodilation may have survival value through improving tissue oxygenation by counteracting the excessive sympathetic vasoconstriction triggered by hemorrhage or myocardial infarction, and this would be removed by CB₁ blockade. In contrast, CB₁ blockade may improve survival in endotoxic shock by preventing the primary hypotensive response to LPS (reviewed in Kunos et al., 2000; Hiley and Ford, 2003, 2004; Pacher et al., 2005a,c).

It should also be kept in mind that in most of the above conditions, hemodynamic changes are triggered by overwhelming inflammatory reaction, increased oxidative stress, and activation of downstream effector pathways, eventually leading to cardiovascular dysfunction and failure (reviewed in Evgenov and Liaudet, 2005; Pacher et al., 2005e). Therefore, the well known immune-modulatory, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant effects of cannabinoids should not be overlooked in these conditions. Indeed, endocannabinoids and synthetic cannabinoid agonists decrease inflammatory cytokine release in endotoxin-stimulated cells and in endotoxin-challenged animals (reviewed in Walter and Stella, 2004; Klein et al., 2005). Surprisingly, SR141716 and the CB₂ antagonist SR144528 were also reported to have anti-inflammatory effects (Smith et al., 2000, 2001), which may be attributed to their inverse agonist properties or to CB_{1/2} receptor-independent mechanisms (reviewed in Begg et al., 2005; Pertwee, 2005b,c).

Collectively, it appears that both cannabinoids and antagonists of cannabinoid receptors may exert some beneficial effects in various rodent shock models. Further studies should establish the specificity of these effects and the relevance to various forms of circulatory shock in humans.

3. Myocardial Reperfusion Injury. The endocannabinoid system has been implicated in endotoxin-induced preconditioning against myocardial I/R injury (Lagneux and Lamontagne, 2001). In this study, the effects of 90 min of low-flow ischemia followed by 60 min of reperfusion at normal flow were compared in isolated hearts from rats pretreated with LPS or saline. Endotoxin pretreatment enhanced functional recovery on reperfusion and reduced infarct size compared with controls, and pretreatment with the CB₂ antagonist SR144528 but not the CB₁ antagonist SR141716 abolished the benefi-

cial effects of preconditioning (Lagneux and Lamonagne, 2001). In a follow-up study, SR144528 but not SR141716 also abolished the infarct size-reducing effect of preconditioning induced by heat stress (Joyeux et al., 2002). These initial studies have suggested that the protection was mediated by endocannabinoids acting on CB₂ receptors. In preconditioning induced by a brief period of ischemia (5 min), either CB₂ or CB₁ receptor blockade abolished the protection, and both CB₁ and CB₂ receptors were implicated in the preservation of endothelium-dependent, 5-HT-induced vasodilation by ischemic preconditioning (Bouchard et al., 2003). Perfusion of isolated rat hearts with PEA or 2-AG but not anandamide afforded protection against ischemia by improving myocardial recovery and decreasing myocardial damage and infarct size (Lepicier et al., 2003). The cardioprotective effect of both PEA and 2-AG were completely blocked by SR144528, whereas SR141716 partially inhibited the effect of 2-AG only (Lepicier et al., 2003). Likewise, the selective CB₁ agonist ACEA and the selective CB₂ agonist JWH-015 both reduced infarct size in this model, and the CB₂ receptor-mediated cardioprotection by PEA involved activation of p38/extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1 and 2 and protein kinase C (Lepicier et al., 2003). In another study using isolated perfused rat hearts subjected to ischemia and reperfusion, reduction of the infarct size by anandamide could be equally well antagonized by CB₁ or CB₂ antagonists but could not be mimicked by selective CB₁ or CB₂ agonists, suggesting the involvement of a site distinct from CB₁ or CB₂ receptors (Underdown et al., 2005).

Others have used whole animal models of I/R injury induced by coronary occlusion/reocclusion in anesthetized rats. In this model, anandamide and HU-210 both decreased the incidence of ventricular arrhythmias and reduced infarct size through activation of CB₂ but not CB₁ receptors (Krylatov et al., 2001, 2002a,b,c; Ugdzhekoova et al., 2001, 2002). The moderately CB₂-selective agonist WIN 55,212-2 also reduced the extent of leukocyte-dependent myocardial damage in a more recent mouse study of myocardial I/R in vivo. This effect was abolished by the selective CB₂ receptor antagonist AM630 but was unaffected by AM251 (Di Filippo et al., 2004). In summary, evidence to date indicates that endocannabinoids protect against myocardial ischemic injury models predominantly via CB₂ receptors.

4. Atherosclerosis. Chronic inflammation and the associated oxidative-nitrosative stress are key players in atherosclerosis and cardiovascular aging, and pharmacological modulation of these processes could be of therapeutic benefit (reviewed in Csiszar et al., 2005; Libby and Theroux, 2005). Using the apolipoprotein E knockout mouse model of atherosclerosis, Steffens et al. (2005) reported that orally administered THC significantly inhibited disease progression. Furthermore, CB₂ receptor expressing immune cells were present both in human and mouse atherosclerotic plaques, lymphoid cells iso-

lated from THC-treated mice had diminished proliferation capacity and decreased interferon- γ production, and THC inhibited macrophage chemotaxis in vitro. Most importantly, all of these effects were completely blocked by a selective CB₂ receptor antagonist, suggesting that targeting CB₂ receptors may offer a new approach in the treatment of atherosclerosis (Roth, 2005; Steffens et al., 2005).

5. Asthma. The effect of marijuana on airway functions was among the first to be explored for potential therapeutic benefit (reviewed in Lemberger, 1980; Tashkin et al., 2002). Smoking marijuana and ingesting THC were both found to increase airway conductance in normal, healthy subjects (Tashkin et al., 1973; Vachon et al., 1973), and these effects lasted longer than the bronchodilator effect of the β -adrenergic agonist isoproterenol. Bronchodilation induced by smoked marijuana and oral THC was also documented in subjects with mild to moderate asthma and in asthmatic patients with methacholine- or exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (Tashkin et al., 1974, 1975). Bronchodilation without side effects was observed in asthmatic patients after a low dose (0.2 mg) of nebulized THC (Williams et al., 1976; Hartley et al., 1978). In contrast, aerosols containing larger doses of THC (5–20 mg) caused paradoxical bronchoconstriction attributed to local irritation (Tashkin et al., 1977). In another study of normal and asthmatic subjects, orally administered THC elicited only minimal and inconsistent bronchodilation associated with significant CNS side effects (Abboud and Sanders, 1976). Nevertheless, most of these initial observations had suggested some therapeutic benefit of using cannabinoids in asthma.

As for the mechanisms underlying THC-induced bronchodilation, the potential involvement of β -adrenergic and muscarinic receptors on airway smooth muscle could be excluded (Kelly and Butcher, 1973; Shapiro et al., 1977; Lemberger, 1980). This conclusion was supported by the inability of THC to relax isolated rings of resting or precontracted human bronchioles (Orzelek-O'Neil et al., 1980a,b), suggesting a more proximal site of action in the lung (Cavero et al., 1972) or a central mechanism.

More recently, Calignano et al. (2000) reported that CB₁ receptors are present on axon terminals innervating airway smooth muscle, and anandamide inhibited capsaicin-induced bronchospasm and cough in guinea pigs in an SR141716-sensitive manner. They also documented calcium-induced biosynthesis of anandamide in lung tissue, suggesting that locally generated anandamide participates in the intrinsic control of airway responsiveness by inhibiting prejunctional acetylcholine release. Indeed, SR141716 treatment was found to enhance capsaicin-evoked bronchospasm and cough. Interestingly, when airway smooth muscle was completely relaxed by vagotomy and atropine treatment, anandamide caused dose-dependent bronchoconstriction, which

could be also prevented by CB₁ blockade. This effect was tentatively attributed to direct stimulation of putative cannabinoid receptors on the airway smooth muscle or a CB₁-mediated corelease of bronchoconstrictor neurotransmitters from nerve endings in the lung. In a follow-up study, presynaptic CB₁ receptors in the guinea pig lung were only found on noradrenergic terminals where their stimulation by WIN 55,212-2 inhibited norepinephrine release (Vizi et al., 2001), consistent with the lack of a mediated CB₁-mediated effect on acetylcholine release in guinea pig trachea (Spicuzza et al., 2000). In contrast to the findings of Calignano et al. (2000), Stengel et al. (1998) reported that anandamide given either intravenously or in aerosol did not affect airway resistance in guinea pigs, but possessed modest anti-inflammatory properties. It should be noted, however, that in this study bronchoconstriction was induced by a calcium ionophore rather than capsaicin. In an in vitro study of guinea pig airway smooth muscle (Yoshihara et al., 2005), anandamide and palmitoylethanolamide inhibited contractions elicited by electrical field stimulation but not by neurokinin A, and also blocked capsaicin-capsaicin-induced release of substance P-like immunoreactivity. These effects were selectively inhibited by a CB₂ but not a CB₁ antagonist, or by maxi-K⁺ channel blockers, suggesting that CB₂ agonists may have therapeutic value in asthma (Yoshihara et al., 2005). In a recent study, inhibition of anandamide transport potentially suppressed capsaicin-induced cough in mice, suggesting that the anandamide transporter may be a target for peripherally acting antitussive medications (Kamei et al., 2006). Diverse effects of endocannabinoids and synthetic agonist have also been reported on respiratory function and pulmonary circulation both in vivo and in vitro (Schmid et al., 2003; Wahn et al., 2005).

Allergic asthma is currently viewed as a complex inflammatory disorder characterized by recruitment of eosinophils into the lung, mucus hypersecretion by goblet cells, elevated serum IgE, and airway hyperresponsiveness (reviewed in Wills-Karp, 1999). Given the well known anti-inflammatory effects of cannabinoids, these effects could also be of therapeutic value. Indeed, in a murine model of allergic airway disease induced by ovalbumin sensitization, pretreatment with cannabinal or THC blunted the increase in IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 mRNA expression and decreased mucus overproduction and serum IgE levels (Jan et al., 2003). Anti-inflammatory effects of WIN 55,212-2, THC, anandamide, and palmitoylethanolamide were also reported in a mouse model of LPS-induced pulmonary inflammation (Berdyshev et al., 1998).

In conclusion, the effects of cannabinoids on respiratory function are rather complex, and evidence for their therapeutic potential in asthma is equivocal. The possibility remains that novel, nonpsychoactive cannabinoid analogs with long-lasting anti-inflammatory activity

turn out to be useful adjuncts in the treatment of allergic asthma.

E. Eye Disorders (*Glaucoma and Retinopathy*)

Glaucoma, the leading cause of irreversible blindness in the United States, is characterized by an increase in intraocular pressure and consequent damage to the optic nerve. Despite the multitude of effective medications that can be used to decrease ocular hypertension (e.g., cholinergic agonists, β - and α_2 -adrenoceptor agonists, dopaminergic agonists, prostaglandins, and carbonic anhydrase inhibitors), some patients remain refractory to these drugs and may eventually become blind (reviewed in Alward, 1998; Crowston and Weinreb, 2005).

A decrease in intraocular pressure in a small number of healthy marijuana smokers was a serendipitous finding (Hepler and Frank, 1971), subsequently confirmed in a placebo-controlled, double-blind study of healthy volunteers who smoked either natural marijuana of known THC content or ingested synthetic THC (Hepler et al., 1972). THC or marijuana decreased intraocular pressure whether administered orally, topically, or intravenously, with no major tolerance to their effect reported (Shapiro, 1974; Purnell and Gregg, 1975; Cuenet et al., 1976; Hepler et al., 1976; Brown et al., 1977; Merritt et al., 1980, 1981a,b). Most of these studies also reported various systemic side effects, such as hypotension, tachycardia, euphoria, and dysphoria, as well as other ocular effects, such as changes in pupil size, decreased tear production, and conjunctival hyperemia. Endocannabinoids and synthetic cannabinoid ligands have also been reported to reduce intraocular pressure when given topically or systemically, both in animals and humans (Shapiro, 1974; ElSohly et al., 1981, 1984; Colasanti et al., 1984a,b,c; Pate et al., 1995; Porcella et al., 1998; Buchwald et al., 2002; Laine et al., 2002a,b; reviewed in Jarvinen et al., 2002; Chien et al., 2003; Tomida et al., 2004).

Early investigations into the mechanisms of the intraocular pressure-lowering effect of marijuana and THC implicated the sympathetic and central nervous systems in this effect (Green and Pederson, 1973; Green and Podos, 1974; Green et al., 1977a,b). However, in subsequent studies, the effect of a unilateral topical application of cannabinoids was limited to the treated eye, pointing toward a local site of action (Colasanti et al., 1984a,b,c). Indeed, a CNS site of action could be ruled out by the lack of change in intraocular pressure upon intracerebroventricular or ventriculocisternal application of THC in rabbits (Liu and Dacus, 1987).

Multiple lines of evidence suggest that endocannabinoids and cannabinoid receptors, in particular CB₁, play an important role in the regulation of intraocular pressure, and topically applied cannabinoids and cannabinoid ligands may be of significant benefit in the treatment of glaucoma (reviewed in Jarvinen et al., 2002; Tomida et al., 2004). First, CB₁ receptors are expressed

in the rat ciliary body (Porcella et al., 1998), in human ciliary epithelium, ciliary muscle, ciliary body vessels, trabecular meshwork, Schlemm's canal, and retina (Straiker et al., 1999a; Porcella et al., 2000; Stamer et al., 2001), and the retina of a variety of species (Straiker et al., 1999b; Yazulla et al., 1999, 2000). Second, ocular CB₁ receptors are functionally active, as CB₁ receptor agonists (CP55,940 and WIN 55,212-2) applied topically lower intraocular pressure both in animals and humans, and their effect can be antagonized by SR141716 (Pate et al., 1998; Song and Slowey, 2000; Porcella et al., 2001; Chien et al., 2003; Stumpff et al., 2005; reviewed in Jarvinen et al., 2002). The CB₂ receptor agonist JWH-133 did not modify the intraocular pressure, suggesting that CB₂ receptors may play only a minor, if any, role (Laine et al., 2003). CB₁ receptor signaling is also operational in the ocular trabecular meshwork (Stumpff et al., 2005), and ciliary muscle (Lograno and Romano, 2004). Third, endocannabinoids are detectable in ocular tissues including the retina, ciliary body, and choroids plexus (Bisogno et al., 1999b; Straiker et al., 1999a,b; Stamer et al., 2001; Chen et al., 2005), and the levels of anandamide and especially 2-AG are significantly decreased in patients with glaucoma (Chen et al., 2005).

The cellular/molecular mechanisms responsible for the intraocular pressure-reducing effect of cannabinoids are not completely understood but may involve direct effects on ciliary processes such as vasodilation and reduction of capillary pressure and secretion and do not seem to be related to systemic reduction of arterial blood pressure (Green and Pederson, 1973; Korczyn, 1980). Cannabinoids may also inhibit calcium influx through presynaptic ion channels, thereby reducing norepinephrine release in the ciliary body, resulting in decreased aqueous humor production (Sugrue, 1997). In addition, cannabinoids may improve the uveoscleral outflow by dilating blood vessels of the anterior uvea (Porcella et al., 1998), most likely by induction of several outflow-facilitating mediators (Rosch et al., 2006). Some evidence implicates prostanooids in the intraocular pressure-reducing effect of certain cannabinoids and anandamide (Pate et al., 1996; Green et al., 2001; Rosch et al., 2006).

Endocannabinoids as well as functional CB₁ receptors are present in the retina (Bisogno et al., 1999b; Straiker et al., 1999a,b; Fan and Yazulla, 2003; Savinainen and Laitinen, 2004). Cannabinoids exert neuroprotective effects against retinal neurotoxicity (El-Remessy et al., 2003), and cannabidiol helps to preserve the blood-retinal barrier in experimental diabetes (El-Remessy et al., 2006). These effects could suggest their usefulness in various retinopathies. Unlike CB₁ receptors, CB₂ receptors were undetectable in human retina, although they were found in the rat retina (Lu et al., 2000; Porcella et al., 2000).

Taken together, these findings indicate that cannabinoids may have great potential in the treatment of glau-

coma, if the difficulty in formulating a stable and effective topical preparation and the problem of systemic side effects are conquered. Because of their well known neuroprotective, anti-inflammatory, and antiangiogenic effects, cannabinoid analogs may also be considered for the treatment of inflammatory and degenerative eye disorders and diabetic retinopathy.

F. Cancer

The palliative effects of cannabinoids in cancer patients are well known and may include appetite stimulation, inhibition of nausea and emesis associated with chemo- or radiotherapy, pain relief, mood elevation, and relief from insomnia (reviewed in Walsh et al., 2003; Hall et al., 2005) (Table 1). Δ^9 -THC (dronabinol, Marinol) and its synthetic derivative nabilone have been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration to control nausea in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy and to stimulate appetite in patients with AIDS (Walsh et al., 2003; Hall et al., 2005).

Numerous recent studies have suggested that cannabinoids might directly inhibit cancer growth (reviewed in Parolaro et al., 2002; Guzmán et al., 2002; Guzmán, 2003; Jones and Howl, 2003; Velasco et al., 2004; Patsos et al., 2005). The proposed mechanisms are complex and may involve induction of apoptosis in tumor cells, antiproliferative action, and an antimetastatic effect through inhibition of angiogenesis and tumor cell migration (reviewed in Bifulco and Di Marzo, 2002; Parolaro et al., 2002; Guzmán et al., 2002; Guzmán, 2003; Jones and Howl, 2003; Velasco et al., 2004; Patsos et al., 2005).

Various cannabinoids, including cannabidiol, anandamide, and 2-AG, and endocannabinoid transport inhibitors have been shown to induce apoptotic cell death and to inhibit proliferation and migration in numerous murine and human tumor cell lines including glioma (C6, U87, U373, and H4), oligodendroglioma (Gos3), glioblastoma multiforme, astrocytoma (U373-MG, U87MG, and human grade IV astrocytoma), neuroblastoma (N18 TG2 and CHP100), pheochromocytoma (PC12), breast cancer (MCF-7, EFM-19, T47D, TSA-E1, and MDA-MB-231), prostate cancer (LNCaP, DU145, and PC3), colon carcinoma (SW 480), uterine cervix carcinoma (CxCa), thyroid cancer (KiMol), leukemia (CEM, HEL-92, HL60, and Jurkat cell lines), and lymphoid tumors (EL-4 and P815) tumor cells via CB₁/CB₂- and VR₁ receptor-dependent or independent (e.g., cyclooxygenase) mechanisms (De Petrocellis et al., 1998; Sánchez et al., 1998, 2003; Jacobsson et al., 2000; Maccarrone et al., 2000b; Sarker et al., 2000; McKallip et al., 2002a,b; Fowler et al., 2003; Jonsson et al., 2003; Mimeault et al., 2003; Bifulco et al., 2004; Contassot et al., 2004a,b; Hinz et al., 2004; Joseph et al., 2004; Kogan et al., 2004; Massi et al., 2004; Nithipatikom et al., 2004; Allister et al., 2005; Ellert-Miklaszewska et al., 2005; Herrera et al., 2005, 2006; Lombard et al., 2005; Powles et al., 2005; Sarfaraz et al., 2005; Vaccani et al., 2005; Carracedo et al., 2006;

Grimaldi et al., 2006; Ligresti et al., 2006b). More importantly, systemic or local treatment with cannabinoids inhibited the growth of various types of tumor or tumor cell xenografts in vivo, including lung carcinoma (Munson et al., 1975), glioma (Galve-Roperh et al., 2000; Sánchez et al., 2001a; Massi et al., 2004), thyroid epithelioma (Bifulco et al., 2001), lymphoma (McKallip et al., 2002a), and skin carcinoma (Casanova et al., 2003) in mice.

The proapoptotic effect of cannabinoids in tumor cells is complex and may involve increased synthesis of the proapoptotic sphingolipid ceramide (Galve-Roperh et al., 2000; Gómez del Pulgar et al., 2002a,b), ceramide-dependent up-regulation of the stress protein p8 and several downstream stress-related genes expressed in the endoplasmic reticulum (ATF-4, CHOP, and TRB3; Caracedo et al., 2006), prolonged activation of the Raf-1/mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase/extracellular signal-regulated kinase signaling cascade (Galve-Roperh et al., 2000), and inhibition of Akt (Gómez del Pulgar et al., 2000; Ellert-Miklaszewska et al., 2005), c-Jun NH₂-terminal kinase and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (Galve-Roperh et al., 2000; Sarker et al., 2003; Hinz et al., 2004; Powles et al., 2005). As mentioned above, cannabinoids also inhibit the proliferation of various tumor cells, possibly through inhibition of adenylyl cyclase and the cAMP/protein kinase A pathway (Melck et al., 1999), induction of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p27^{kip1} (Portella et al., 2003), a decrease in epidermal growth factor receptor expression and/or the attenuation of epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase activity (Casanova et al., 2003; Mimeault et al., 2003), and a decrease in the activity and/or expression of nerve growth factor or vascular endothelial growth factor tyrosine kinase receptors and prolactin (De Petrocellis et al., 1998; Melck et al., 2000; Portella et al., 2003). In addition to their proapoptotic and antiproliferative effects in tumor cells, cannabinoids also inhibit the expression of proangiogenic mediators or their receptors (e.g., vascular endothelial growth factor) and reduce vascular hyperplasia and cell migration, which play crucial roles in tumor growth and metastasis formation (Blázquez 2004; et al., 2003, 2004; Casanova et al., 2003; Portella et al., 2003).

In sharp contrast to the above, Hart et al. (2004) have demonstrated that treatment of lung cancer (NCI-H292), squamous cell carcinoma (SCC-9), bladder carcinoma (5637), glioblastoma (U373-MG), astrocytoma (1321N1), and kidney cancer (A498) cells with nanomolar concentrations of cannabinoids such as THC, anandamide, HU-210, and WIN 55,212-2 leads to rapid epidermal growth factor receptor- and metalloprotease-dependent cancer cell proliferation. However, the same study also documented that at micromolar concentrations cannabinoids induced cancer cell apoptosis, in agreement with previous reports (Hart et al., 2004).

These results highlight the bimodal action of cannabinoids on cancer cell growth, with low concentrations being proproliferative and high concentrations having antiproliferative effects.

The key role of the immune system in controlling the development of cancers is supported by findings that immunosuppressed individuals are at increased risk for developing cancer. For example, there is increased incidence of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, Burkitt's lymphoma, Kaposi's sarcoma, and cervical cancer in AIDS patients and increased susceptibility to various lymphomas and solid tumors after organ transplantation (Bhatia et al., 2001; Scadden, 2003; Abu-Elmagd et al., 2004; Oruc et al., 2004). This concept is particularly important, because cannabinoids have well-known immunosuppressant effects (reviewed in Klein, 2005), which may compromise antitumor immune responses. Indeed, THC enhances breast and lung cancer growth and metastasis by suppressing CB₂ receptor-mediated antitumor immune responses (Zhu et al., 2000; McKallip et al., 2005) and can also lead to increased susceptibility to infections with various pathogens such as herpes simplex virus, *Legionella pneumophila*, and Fried leukemia virus (Morañan et al., 1979; Cabral et al., 1986; Specter et al., 1991; Klein et al., 2000b).

Epidemiological studies investigating the relationship of cannabis smoking and various forms of cancer have yielded inconsistent results, thus failing to resolve the conflicting findings in animal models of cancer or in cancer cell lines (Taylor, 1988; Caplan and Brigham, 1990; Kuijten et al., 1992; Grufferman et al., 1993; Sidney et al., 1997; Barsky et al., 1998; Zhang et al., 1999; Efrid et al., 2004; Llewellyn et al., 2004; Rosenblatt et al., 2004; reviewed in Hall et al., 2005). The variability of the effects of cannabinoids in different tumor models may be related to the differential expression of CB₁ and CB₂ receptors. Thus, cannabinoids may be effective in killing tumors that abundantly express cannabinoid receptors, such as gliomas, but may increase the growth and metastasis of other types of tumors, such as breast cancer, with no or low expression of cannabinoid receptors, due to the suppression of the antitumor immune response (McKallip et al., 2005). Nevertheless, the majority of the findings to date are encouraging and suggest that cannabinoids may be useful not only as palliative therapy but also because of their ability to inhibit tumor growth and metastasis.

G. Gastrointestinal and Liver Disorders

Cannabis has been used empirically for centuries to stimulate appetite and decrease emesis and diarrhea. Recent evidence indicates that the endocannabinoid system plays an important role in the control of gastrointestinal motility and secretion both under physiological conditions and in various gastrointestinal disorders (reviewed in Pertwee, 2001; Pinto et al., 2002a,b; Di Carlo and Izzo, 2003; Coutts and Izzo, 2004; Duncan et al.,

2005; Massa et al., 2005). Unexpectedly, recent data also implicate endocannabinoids and their receptors in several aspects of acute and chronic liver disease, including hemodynamic changes, modulation of inflammatory processes, fibrosis, and altered brain function (reviewed in Gabbay et al., 2005; Jimenez, 2005).

Numerous studies using autoradiography, immunohistochemistry, and/or reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction demonstrated colocalization of CB₁ receptors with cholinergic neurons across the enteric nervous system, including sensory and interneuronal as well as motoneuronal cell bodies of the myenteric plexus, in mice (Mascolo et al., 2002; Pinto et al., 2002a,b; Casu et al., 2003; Izzo et al., 2003; Storr et al., 2004), rats (Adami et al., 2002; Coutts et al., 2002; Storr et al., 2002; Burdys et al., 2004), guinea-pigs (Coutts et al., 2002; MacNaughton et al., 2004), and pigs (Kulkarni-Narla and Brown, 2000). CB₁ receptors are also colocalized with neuropeptide Y and vasoactive intestinal peptide in a small population of submucous plexus neurons (Kulkarni-Narla and Brown, 2000; Coutts et al., 2002). CB₁ receptor immunoreactivity was evident in normal human colonic epithelium, smooth muscle, and the submucosal myenteric plexus (Wright et al., 2005). Both CB₁ and CB₂ receptors were found on plasma cells in the lamina propria, whereas only CB₂ were detectable on macrophages (Wright et al., 2005). Endocannabinoids are also present in the gastrointestinal tract. Indeed, 2-AG was originally isolated from gut tissue (Mechoulam et al., 1995), and the intestinal content of anandamide was found to be regulated by feeding status (Gomez et al., 2002).

Although in earlier studies CB₁ receptor expression was undetectable in the liver relative to the brain (Porcella et al., 2002), several recent studies revealed the presence of low levels of both CB₁ mRNA (Bátkai et al., 2001; Michalopoulos et al., 2003; Biecker et al., 2004; Engeli et al., 2005; Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005b; Teixeira-Clerc et al., 2006) and CB₁ immunoreactivity (Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005b) in whole liver or in various types of cells present in the liver, including hepatocytes (Michalopoulos et al., 2003; Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005b), stellate cells (Siegmund et al., 2005; Teixeira-Clerc et al., 2006), and vascular endothelial cells (Bátkai et al., 2001). CB₂ receptor mRNA was also detected in cirrhotic but not in normal liver tissue (Julien et al., 2005). Endocannabinoids are detectable in the liver or liver cells both in animals and humans at levels similar to those in the brain and play an important role under various physiological and pathophysiological conditions (Cravatt et al., 2004; Kurabayashi et al., 2005; Osei-Hyiaman et al., 2005b) (see also section III.A.3.).

A functional role for endocannabinoids and CB₁ receptors in the gastrointestinal tract is supported by pharmacological studies demonstrating that anandamide and various CB₁ agonists (WIN 55,212-2, CP55,940, and

ACEA) but not the CB₂-selective agonists JWH-133 inhibit gastrointestinal motility in rodents in vivo and in isolated ileum and colon from both experimental animals and humans (Shook and Burks, 1989; Pertwee et al., 1995, 1996; Coutts and Pertwee, 1997; McCallum et al., 1999; Mancinelli et al., 2001; Mang et al., 2001; Landi et al., 2002; Manara et al., 2002; Hinds et al., 2006). A similar role for endogenous substrates of FAAH is suggested by recent in vivo findings in mice, documenting inhibition of intestinal motility by the FAAH inhibitors *N*-arachidonoylserotonin and palmitoyliso-propylamide and by the FAAH substrates palmitoylethanolamide, oleamide, and oleoylethanolamide in wild-type but not in FAAH knockout mice (Capasso et al., 2005). Furthermore, the effect of *N*-arachidonoylserotonin was reduced either by the CB₁ receptor antagonist SR141716 or by CB₁ deficiency, but not by the TRPV₁ receptor antagonist 5'-iodoresiniferatoxin (Capasso et al., 2005). Interestingly, in clinical trials using rimonabant for nicotine cessation or for the treatment of obesity, diarrhea was 2 to 2.4 times more frequent among subjects treated with the drug than with placebo, suggesting accelerated transit and/or enhanced secretion caused by CB₁ blockade (Fernandez and Allison, 2004; Van Gaal et al., 2005). This and some of the above experimental reports suggest the existence of an inhibitory endocannabinoid tone in the gastrointestinal tract. Multiple mechanisms, including reduction of acetylcholine release from enteric nerves, inhibition of nonadrenergic/noncholinergic excitatory transmission, activation of apamin-sensitive K⁺ channels, and modulation of adenosine release have been proposed to explain the CB₁-mediated reduction in enteric contractility and peristalsis (reviewed in Coutts and Izzo, 2004).

Activation of both CB₁ and CB₂ receptors may decrease the pathologically increased intestinal motility elicited by an inflammatory stimulus. In a mouse model of croton oil-induced intestinal inflammation, the increased efficacy of cannabinoids in inhibiting intestinal motility was attributed to up-regulation of intestinal CB₁ receptors (Izzo et al., 2001a,b). Conversely, the accelerated gastrointestinal transit induced by bacterial endotoxin in rats could be inhibited by CB₂ but not CB₁ receptor agonists (Mathison et al., 2004). Interestingly, intestinal hypomotility in a mouse model of paralytic ileus has been linked, at least in part, to the enhancement of anandamide levels and CB₁ expression in the gut, and it could be attenuated by CB₁ receptor antagonists (Mascolo et al., 2002). Additionally, there is evidence that CB₁ receptors are involved in the regulation of the lower esophageal sphincter, and CB₁ activation might be beneficial in gastroesophageal reflux disease (reviewed in Coutts and Izzo, 2004; Massa et al., 2005).

The endocannabinoid system has also been implicated in the regulation of gastric acid and intestinal secretions. At high doses, THC decreased histamine-induced gastric acid secretion in isolated stomach preparations

(Rivas-V and Garcia, 1980) and in pylorus-ligated rats (Sofia et al., 1978). Pentagastrin-induced gastric acid secretion was also inhibited by HU-210 and WIN 55,212-2, an effect that could be prevented by CB₁ blockade (Coruzzi et al., 1999; Adami et al., 2002). These studies suggest a role for CB₁ receptors located on preganglionic and postganglionic cholinergic pathways in the regulation of gastric acid secretion. The therapeutic relevance of this regulatory mechanism was highlighted by the CB₁ receptor-mediated antiulcer activity of ACEA or WIN 55,212-2 treatment in a rat model of aspirin- and cold/restraint stress-induced gastric ulcers (Germano et al., 2001; Rutkowska and Fereniec-Goltbiewska, 2006). WIN 55,212-2 also reduced intestinal secretions evoked by electrical field stimulation or capsaicin (MacNaughton et al., 2004). Anandamide, the anandamide transport inhibitor VDM11, and the CB₁ agonist ACEA all inhibited intestinal secretion and fluid accumulation in mice treated with cholera toxin, whereas SR141716 exerted opposite effects (Izzo et al., 2003). The ability of cannabinoids to inhibit gastrointestinal motility and secretion coupled with their anti-inflammatory properties strongly suggests that the modulation of this system could offer significant benefits in the treatment of various gastrointestinal pathological conditions, including inflammatory bowel disease (see below).

1. Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Idiopathic inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) includes ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease, and is characterized by intestinal inflammation presumably of autoimmune origin and a chronic relapsing course associated with local and systemic complications and affects >1 million people in the United States (Loftus, 2004). Although the etiology of IBD remains unclear, it may involve complex genetic, environmental, and immunological interactions. The most common symptoms of IBD are abdominal pain and diarrhea, which eventually lead to malabsorption and malnutrition, and in approximately half of patients surgery is eventually required to remove the affected bowel segment. Despite recent therapeutic advances, patients with IBD are often unresponsive to available treatment options.

As discussed above, the endocannabinoid system plays an important role in the control of gastrointestinal motility and secretion. Studies using animal models of IBD have suggested that targeting the endocannabinoid system may offer significant benefits in the treatment of IBD. Several studies have indicated that chemically induced intestinal inflammation is associated with the up-regulation of intestinal CB₁ receptors, which may represent a compensatory, protective mechanism. For example, in croton oil-treated mice, the ability of CB₁ agonists to inhibit intestinal motility is increased compared with that in control animals (Izzo et al., 2001a). More importantly, the anandamide transport inhibitor

VDM11 was also shown to inhibit gastrointestinal motility and secretions in cholera toxin-treated mice, which implicates endocannabinoids in this action and holds out the promise of a nonpsychoactive form of treatment (Izzo et al., 2003). In a mouse model of colitis induced by 2,4-dinitrobenzene sulfonic acid and dextrane sulfate, Massa et al. (2004) have confirmed the up-regulation of CB₁ receptors in experimental colitis. Furthermore, they demonstrated that the inflammation was more severe in mice deficient in CB₁ receptors than in wild-type mice, whereas genetic ablation of FAAH resulted in protection against this chemically induced colitis (Massa et al., 2004). In a recent study, the anandamide reuptake inhibitor VDM11 afforded protection against colitis in mice, and elevated anandamide levels have been measured in biopsy material from patients with ulcerative colitis (D'Argenio et al., 2006). These findings strongly support the natural protective role of the endocannabinoid system in this form of experimental IBD. In contrast, Croci et al. (2003) have reported a CB₁ receptor-independent protective effect of SR141716 against indomethacin-induced inflammation and ulcer formation in the small intestine of rats. Elevated levels of anandamide and desensitization of the presynaptic neural CB₁ receptor found in colonic longitudinal muscle strips from patients undergoing surgery for complicated diverticulitis suggest that the endocannabinoid system may be also involved in the pathophysiology of this frequent complication of colitis and/or colon cancer (Guagnini et al., 2006).

Taken together, most of the above studies suggest that the endocannabinoid system in the gut is activated during inflammation, and endogenous anandamide may counteract inflammation (Kunos and Pacher, 2004) (Fig. 6). The findings of Massa et al. (2004) and D'Argenio et al. (2006) also suggest that inhibitors of FAAH or anandamide reuptake may amplify the natural protective action of endogenous anandamide, which warrants further studies to test such inhibitors in the treatment of experimental and, ultimately, human IBD (Kunos and Pacher, 2004). Future studies should further explore the mechanisms of the anti-inflammatory effects of cannabinoids and the potential role of CB₂ receptors as therapeutic targets (Mathison et al., 2004; Wright et al., 2005).

2. Acute and Chronic Liver Disease (Hepatitis and Liver Cirrhosis). Endocannabinoids and CB₁ receptors have been implicated in the systemic and portal vasodilation and hypotension associated with chronic liver cirrhosis (Bátkai et al., 2001; Garcia et al., 2001; Ros et al., 2002). These studies demonstrated that CB₁ receptor blockade with SR141716 reversed the hypotension and low peripheral resistance and decreased the elevated mesenteric blood flow and portal pressure in rats with biliary and carbon tetrachloride-induced cirrhosis, whereas these hemodynamic parameters were unaffected by SR141716 in noncirrhotic control subjects




FIG. 6. Cellular source and proposed targets of anti-inflammatory endocannabinoids in inflammatory bowel disease. a, cross-section of inflamed bowel with leukocyte infiltration [polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMN), lymphocytes (Ly), macrophages, and mast cells]. b, in macrophages, LPS induces the production of TNF- α and chemokines (such as MIP-2, macrophage inflammatory protein-2 and CXCL-8) as well as anandamide. Anandamide is released to act as an autocrine mediator to inhibit TNF- α and chemokine production via CB₁ or CB₂ receptors or both. Activation of CB₁ and CB₂ receptors may similarly inhibit TNF- α production in mast cells, with these effects resulting in decreased leukocyte infiltration and inflammation. Paracrine activation of CB₁ receptors on extrinsic and intrinsic enteric neurons inhibits acetylcholine (ACh) and tachykinin release, respectively, resulting in inhibition of gut motility. These effects are amplified by treatment with a FAAH inhibitor, which prevents the breakdown of anandamide. Reproduced with permission from Kunos and Pacher (2004) *Nat Med* 10:678–679. © Nature Publishing Group.

(Bátkai et al., 2001; Ros et al., 2002). These findings suggested an increased endocannabinoid tone in cirrhosis, which could be attributed to both an up-regulation of CB₁ receptors in hepatic vascular endothelial cells and an increased production of anandamide by circulating monocytes (Bátkai et al., 2001). Increased expression of CB₁ receptors was also reported in whole liver from bile duct-ligated mice (Biecker et al., 2004). This increase was greater when bile duct ligation was performed in NO synthase-3 knockout compared with wild-type mice, which may account for the similar level of portal hypertension in the two strains despite the much higher systemic blood pressure in the knockout mice (Biecker et al., 2004). Increased anandamide-induced vasorelaxation mediated by CB₁ and TRPV₁ receptors was also reported in mesenteric arteries isolated from cirrhotic compared with control rats (Domenicali et al., 2005). The increase in anandamide in monocytes from cirrhotic rats or humans is functionally important, as these cells elicit SR141716-sensitive hypotension when injected into normal recipient rats (Bátkai et al., 2001; Ros et al., 2002). Plasma endotoxin levels progressively increase as liver function deteriorates in cirrhosis (Lumsden et al., 1988; Chan et al., 1997), and this effect is probably responsible for the elevated endocannabinoid production in plasma monocytes and platelets of cirrhotic animals and patients (Bátkai et al., 2001; Ros et al., 2002; Liu et

al., 2003; Fernandez-Rodriguez et al., 2004). There is also recent experimental evidence implicating increased signaling through myocardial CB₁ receptors in the pathogenesis of cirrhotic cardiomyopathy (Gaskari et al., 2005; Pacher et al., 2005c).

Beyond the vasculopathy of end-stage cirrhosis, the endocannabinoid system may also be involved in the pathogenesis of liver fibrosis. Siegmund et al. (2005) have recently reported that anandamide exerts antifibrogenic effects in vitro by inhibiting activated hepatic stellate cells at low micromolar concentrations and by inducing their necrosis at higher concentrations, via CB_{1/2}- and TRPV₁-independent mechanism(s). In a study by Julien et al. (2005), the liver fibrosis induced by carbon tetrachloride was more severe in CB₂ knockout mice compared with their wild-type littermates. Also, the expression of CB₂ receptors was found to be strongly induced in liver biopsy specimens from patients with active cirrhosis of various etiologies, particularly in non-parenchymal cells located within and at the edge of fibrous septa (Julien et al., 2005). Furthermore, CB₂ receptor activation triggered growth inhibition and apoptosis in myofibroblasts and in activated hepatic stellate cells, highlighting the antifibrogenic role of CB₂ receptors during chronic liver injury (Julien et al., 2005). However, chronic marijuana use has been associated with hepatotoxicity rather than hepatoprotection as ex-

pected from the above results (Borini et al., 2004), and results of a recent epidemiological study indicate that daily marijuana smoking is a risk factor for progression of fibrosis among people with chronic hepatitis C infection (Hezode et al., 2005). This finding has triggered an investigation into the possible pro-fibrogenic role of CB₁ receptor activation, which is supported by the results of a preliminary study showing that the progression of experimental liver fibrosis induced by carbon tetrachloride is slower in mice with genetic ablation of CB₁ receptors or treated with CB₁ receptor antagonist SR141716 (Teixeira-Clerc et al., 2006). These latter findings suggest a broader role of CB₁ receptors in the pathogenesis of cirrhosis and forecast additional potential benefits from the therapeutic use of a CB₁ antagonist in chronic liver disease.

In contrast to the hepatotoxicity associated with chronic marijuana use, a synthetic, nonpsychotropic cannabinoid derivative (PRS-211,092) was reported to inhibit acute hepatitis induced by concanavalin A via negative cytokine regulation in mice (Lavon et al., 2003). Interestingly, in animal models of acute hepatic failure-induced encephalopathy, both 2-AG and SR141716 have been reported to exert beneficial effects on neurological and cognitive function (Gabbay et al., 2005; Avraham et al., 2006). Cannabinoids may also be beneficial in intractable cholestatic pruritus (Neff et al., 2002), which is associated with severe forms of liver disease, presumably by increasing the nociceptive threshold (Gingold and Bergasa, 2003).

Collectively, the studies discussed in this section highlight the potential regulatory role of the endocannabinoid system in a variety of gastrointestinal and liver disorders, opening new avenues for their pharmacotherapy. It appears that CB₁ agonists and perhaps FAAH antagonists might be beneficial in reducing increased gastrointestinal motility, bowel inflammation, and associated diarrhea, whereas CB₁ antagonists could be used in the treatment of constipation. In chronic liver cirrhosis, CB₁ antagonists may not only attenuate or reverse the adverse hemodynamic consequences of cirrhosis, thus extending life until a suitable liver becomes available for transplantation, but also could have additional benefits by slowing the progression of fibrosis and the neurological decline associated with hepatic encephalopathy. Selective CB₂ receptor agonists might also be expected to protect against progression of liver fibrosis and perhaps against the chronic inflammation associated with IBD.

H. Musculoskeletal Disorders

1. Arthritis. Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic, systemic, inflammatory autoimmune disease affecting ~0.8% of adults worldwide. RA is more common in women, and it leads to joint destruction, deformity, loss of function, chronic pain, and reduced quality of life.

When unchecked, it leads to substantial disability and premature death (O'Dell, 2004). Current medications used to treat rheumatoid arthritis are divided into three main classes: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, corticosteroids, and disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs such as methotrexate (O'Dell, 2004). A better understanding of the cytokine networks that are responsible for the ongoing inflammatory response in RA has led to the successful use of novel therapies that target TNF- α and IL-1.

The immunosuppressant and anti-inflammatory properties of cannabinoids are highly relevant for RA and other autoimmune disorders (e.g., systemic lupus erythematosus, autoimmune vasculitis, Sjögren's syndrome, and ankylosing spondylitis). Indeed, ajulemic acid (THC-11-oic acid, CT-3, IP-751), a potent analog of the acid metabolites of THC (Burststein, 2000, 2005) and cannabidiol have been shown to have analgesic, anti-inflammatory, and immunosuppressive effects in animal models of arthritis (Zurier et al., 1998; Dajani et al., 1999; Malfait et al., 2000). Chronic administration of ajulemic acid attenuated joint inflammation in a murine model of adjuvant-induced arthritis and suppressed prostaglandin production in vitro to a greater extent than the potent nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug, indomethacin (Zurier et al., 1998). In another study, ajulemic acid caused less gastrointestinal ulcerations and was more effective in reducing adjuvant-induced arthritis than common nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents (Dajani et al., 1999). As discussed earlier in this review, ajulemic acid is a high-affinity agonist for human cannabinoid receptors and has CB₁-mediated, potent antihyperalgesic activity in models of chronic neuropathic and inflammatory pain in the rat (Dyson et al., 2005). Ajulemic acid also induces apoptosis in human T lymphocytes (Bidinger et al., 2003) and suppresses IL-1 β production in human monocytes (Zurier et al., 2003), which could contribute to its therapeutic effects in RA and other inflammatory disorders. Treatment with cannabidiol or its more potent dimethylheptyl derivative (HU-320) reduced an LPS-induced increase in serum TNF- α and immune function and effectively blocked the progression of collagen-induced arthritis in mice (Malfait et al., 2000; Sumariwalla et al., 2004). Other studies described the antinociceptive effects of anandamide and THC in rats with arthritis (Sofia et al., 1973; Smith et al., 1998; Cox and Welch, 2004). Mbvundula et al. (2005, 2006) have recently reported that WIN 55,212-2 and HU-210 inhibited IL-1-stimulated NO production in bovine articular chondrocytes, in contrast to AM281 and AM630, which elicited an opposite effect. Anandamide, WIN 55212-2, and HU-210 also inhibited the release of sulfated glycosaminoglycans in bovine cartilage explants and IL-1 α stimulated proteoglycan and collagen degradation (Mbvundula et al., 2005, 2006).

In a survey of 2969 people using cannabis for medicinal purposes, ~25% of subjects mentioned relief of ar-

thrititis symptoms as the main reason for cannabis smoking, which was surpassed only by chronic pain, MS, and depression (Ware et al., 2003). Studies using cannabinoid-based extracts are also underway in patients with RA (Russo, 2006). The potential benefit of cannabinoids in fibromyalgia, a syndrome of widespread musculoskeletal pain, nonrestorative sleep, disturbed mood, and fatigue of unknown etiology, has also been reviewed (Russo, 2004).

2. Osteoporosis. Osteoporosis is a skeletal disorder characterized by low bone mass and microarchitectural deterioration of bone, leading to increased susceptibility to bone fractures. The associated fractures and the subsequent morbidity and mortality make osteoporosis an enormous public health concern. Osteoporosis is no longer considered an age-related disease, as it is increasingly recognized in children. Osteoporosis is thought to be a polygenic disorder, with vulnerability determined by multiple genes and environmental risk factors. It currently affects up to one in three women and 1 in 12 men worldwide (Keen, 2003). Treatment options include general measures on lifestyle, calcium and vitamin D supplements, hormone therapy, raloxifene, and bisphosphonates.

Cannabinoid receptors were first implicated in the regulation of bone mass by Karsak et al. (2004), who found that CB₂ knockout mice had markedly accelerated age-related trabecular bone loss and cortical expansion accompanied by increased activity of trabecular osteoblasts, increased numbers of osteoclasts, and decreased numbers of diaphyseal osteoblast precursors (Ofek et al., 2006). CB₂ receptors were expressed in osteoblasts, osteocytes, and osteoclasts. The selective CB₂ agonist HU-308, but not the CB₁ agonist noladine ether, attenuated ovariectomy-induced bone loss and markedly stimulated cortical thickness through the suppression of osteoclast number and stimulation of endocortical bone formation (Ofek et al., 2006). Furthermore, HU-308 dose dependently increased the number and activity of endocortical osteoblasts and restrained trabecular osteoclastogenesis by inhibiting proliferation of osteoclast precursors (Ofek et al., 2006). These results, coupled with CB₂ but not CB₁ receptor mRNA expression during osteoblastic differentiation, suggested a role for CB₂ receptors in bone remodeling. Such a role of CB₂ but not CB₁ receptors is also supported by a recent genetic association study in human samples of postmenopausal osteoporosis patients and matched female control subjects (Karsak et al., 2005).

In contrast, Idris et al. (2005) have recently reported that CB₁ receptor knockout mice or mice treated with antagonists of either CB₁ or CB₂ receptors were protected from ovariectomy-induced bone loss. Furthermore, cannabinoid antagonists promoted osteoclast apoptosis, inhibited osteoclast activity, and decreased the production of several osteoclast survival factors in vitro,

suggesting that cannabinoid antagonists may be beneficial in the treatment of osteoporosis. Although the reason for the discrepancy between the above studies is not clear; they suggest a role for the endocannabinoid system in the regulation of bone mass.

I. Endocannabinoids and Reproductive Functions

There is abundant evidence that the endocannabinoid system is involved in reproductive functions in both males and females and in both animals and humans, as discussed in more detail in recent reviews (Fride, 2004; Park et al., 2004; Schuel and Burkman, 2005; Tranguch et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2006). In males, marijuana, synthetic cannabinoids, and anandamide adversely affect the fertilizing capacity of sperm, which express functional CB₁ receptors (Rossato et al., 2005; Schuel and Burkman, 2005; Whan et al., 2006). On the other hand, there is preclinical evidence to suggest that blockade of CB₁ may be useful in the treatment of erectile dysfunction (Melis et al., 2004b, 2006).

High levels of functional CB₁ receptor, anandamide, and FAAH are present in the preimplantation embryo and/or in the uterus (Das et al., 1995; Paria et al., 1995, 2001; Schmid et al., 1997; Park et al., 2003; Guo et al., 2005). Anandamide synthesized in the uterus exerts dose- and stage-specific effects on embryo development and implantation. A temporary reduction of anandamide levels is essential for embryo implantation, and higher anandamide levels are associated with uterine nonreceptivity and impairment of blastocyst formation, zona hatching, and trophoblast outgrowth via CB₁ receptors (Das et al., 1995; Paria et al., 1995, 2001, 2002; Schmid et al., 1997; Wang et al., 1999; Guo et al., 2005). Consequently, cannabinoids may retard the development of embryos, eventually leading to fetal loss and pregnancy failure (Bloch et al., 1978; Smith and Asch, 1987; Park et al., 2004). Anandamide levels in the uterus are regulated by FAAH activity (Paria et al., 1995, 1999; Schmid et al., 1997). Accordingly, pregnant women with low FAAH activity in lymphocytes were found to have an increased incidence of miscarriage (Maccarrone et al., 2000c), and low FAAH activity also correlated with failure to maintain pregnancy after in vitro fertilization (Maccarrone et al., 2002b). Finally, cannabinoids may also affect the levels of various hormones crucial for normal fertility and reproduction (Brown and Dobs, 2002; Park et al., 2004; Scorticati et al., 2004; Gammon et al., 2005). Although such findings may suggest the potential usefulness of CB₁ antagonists in the treatment of infertility problems, a note of caution is warranted because CB₁ knockout mice were reported to have impaired oviductal transport of embryos, leading to embryo retention. This suggests that treatment with CB₁ antagonists may facilitate ectopic pregnancy (Wang et al., 2004).

TABLE 1
Clinical trials with cannabinoid-related medications in human disease

Disease/Condition	Sample Size, Design, Target Symptoms	Compound (Dose)	Parameters Studied	Results	Adverse Effects	Reference
MS and SCI						
MS	Nine patients, DB, PL, spasticity	THC (5- and 10-mg single-dose p.o.)	EMG, clinical	Improved spasticity score (objective)	Minimal	Petro and Ellenberger (1981)
MS	Eight patients, SB, PL, tremor, ataxia	THC (5 mg/6 h max three doses p.o.)	Clinical	Improved coordination and sense of well being, decreased tremor (subjective)	Subjective "high" in all patients	Clifford (1983)
MS	13 patients, DB, PL, C, spasticity	THC (2.5–15 mg daily for 5 days p.o.)	Clinical, questionnaire	Reduced spasticity (subjective); objective function tests not improved	Common	Ungerleider et al. (1987)
MS	One patient, OL, spastic tetraparesis	Cigarette smoke marijuana (one cigarette)	Clinical, tremor recording, EMG	Reduced ataxia and spasticity (objective)	None	Meinck et al. (1989)
MS	10 patients, DB, C, spasticity	Cigarette smoke marijuana (one cigarette; 1.54% THC)	Dynamic posturography, objective balance	Impaired posture and balance	Subjective unpleasant "high" in all patients	Greenberg et al. (1994)
MS	One patient, DB, PL, C, spasticity	Nabilone (1 mg/2 days for 16 wk p.o.)	Visual analog scales	Improved painful muscle spasms, mood and well being (subjective); reduced frequency of nocturia	Mild sedation	Martyn et al. (1995)
MS and SCI	Two patients, OL, spasticity	THC (10 or 15 mg p.o. or rectal)	Clinical	Improved walking ability and passive mobility, reduced rigidity, slight pain relief	Temporal deterioration in ability to concentrate and in mood	Brenneisen et al. (1996)
MS	One patient, PL, nystagmus	Cigarette smoke marijuana (inhaled)	Eye movement recording	Reduced nystagmus amplitude and improved visual acuity	None	Schon et al. (1999)
MS	16 patients, DB, PL, C, spasticity	Plant extract of THC (2.5–5 mg b.i.d. for 4 wk p.o.)	Clinical, questionnaires, Ashworth score	No improvement in Ashworth scale, worsening global impression	41 adverse events in 16 patients during plant extract treatment	Killestein et al. (2002)
MS and SCI	24 patients, DB, PL, C, heterogeneous	Plant extract of THC and CBD 1:1 (2.5–120 mg/day for 2 wk sublingual)	Clinical, questionnaires	Improvement of bladder control, muscle spasms, and spasticity and pain relief (subjective) but no in Ashworth scale	4 dropouts due to adverse events	Wade et al. (2003)
MS	630 patients, DB, R, PL, spasticity	Cannabis extract (Cannador: 2.5 mg Δ^9 -THC + 1.25 mg CBD/capsule; Marinol: THC max 25 mg/day for 15 wk p.o.)	Clinical, questionnaires Ashworth score, Rivermead Mobility Index	No change in the Ashworth score, but improvement in the patient-reported spasticity, pain, and sleep quality; unexpected reduction in hospital admission for relapse in the treatment groups; in 12-mo follow-up, THC improved muscle spasticity measured by the Ashworth scale and the Rivermead Mobility Index	Minimal, similar to placebo	Zajicek et al. (2003, 2004)
MS	57 patients, DB, R, PL, C, spasticity, various	Cannabis-based capsules (2.5 mg THC and 0.9 mg CBD; max dose 30 mg/day THC p.o.)	Self-report of spasm frequency and symptoms, Ashworth Scale, Rivermead Mobility Index, 10-m timed walk	Improved spasm frequency and mobility in the 37 patients who received at least 90% of their prescribed dose	Minor adverse events were slightly more frequent in treated group	Vaney et al. (2004)
MS	14 patients, DB, PL, tremor	Cannabis extract (Cannador: 2.5 mg Δ^9 -THC + 1.25 mg CBD/capsule p.o. for 2 wk)	Tremor index, measured using a validated tremor rating scale	No effects on tremor	Minimal	Fox et al. (2004)

TABLE 1
Continued

Disease/Condition	Sample Size, Design, Target Symptoms	Compound (Dose)	Parameters Studied	Results	Adverse Effects	Reference
MS	57 patients, DB, R, PL, C, spasticity, various	Cannabis-based capsules (2.5 mg THC and 0.9 mg CBD; max dose 30 mg/day THC p.o.)	Self-report of spasm frequency and symptoms, Ashworth Scale, Rivermead Mobility Index, 10-m timed walk	Improved spasm frequency and mobility in the 37 patients who received at least 90% of their prescribed dose	Minor adverse events were slightly more frequent in treated group	Vaney et al. (2004)
MS	14 patients, DB, PL, tremor	Cannabis extract (Cannador: 2.5 mg Δ^9 -THC + 1.25 mg CBD/capsule p.o. for 2 wk)	Tremor index, measured using a validated tremor rating scale	No effects on tremor	Minimal	Fox et al. (2004)
MS	160 patients, DB, PL, R, M, VAS score for each patient's most troublesome symptom	GW-1000 (Sativex) delivered by oromucosal spray (2.7 mg Δ^9 -THC and 2.5 mg CBD at each actuation)	VAS score for each patient's most troublesome symptom, Ashworth Scale	No significant difference in the Ashworth scale, tremor, and pain at 6 wk between the Sativex and placebo groups; improved VAS scores for spasticity	Minimal	Wade et al. (2004)
Pain (see also MS above)						
Cancer	10 patients, P, non-R, non-DB, pain	THC (5, 10, 15, or 20 mg p.o.)	Cancer-associated pain	Superior to PL	Common at higher doses	Noyes et al. (1975a)
Cancer	34 patients, P, non-R, C, pain	THC (20 mg, codeine 120 mg p.o.)	Cancer-associated pain	Both superior to PL	Common with THC	Noyes et al. (1975b)
Cancer	45 patients, DB, C, P, pain	NIB (4 mg, codeine 50 mg, secobarbital 50 mg)	Cancer associated pain	NIB equal to codeine, superior to secobarbital and PL	Common	Staquet et al. (1978)
Dental extraction	10 patients, DB, R, PL	THC (0.022, 0.044 mg, diazepam 0.157 mg/kg i.v.)	Surgical pain	THC superior to PL, inferior to diazepam	Not discussed	Raft et al. (1977)
FMF	One patient, DB, R, C	THC (50 mg daily p.o.)	Gastrointestinal pain	Superior to PL	Not discussed	Holdcroft et al. (1997)
MS	One patient, OL, pain	Nabilone (1 mg b.i.d. p.o.)	Questionnaire, various	Complete pain relief	None	Hamann and di Vadi (1999)
MS	66 patients, DB, R, PL, pain, sleep disturbances	Sativex delivered by oromucosal spray (2.7 mg Δ^9 -THC and 2.5 mg CBD at each actuation)	Pain, sleep disturbances, numerical rating scale	Improved central neuropathic pain and sleep disturbances	Minimal	Rog et al. (2005)
Neuropathy of varying etiologies	21 patients, DB, R, C, PL, pain	Ajulemic acid (CT-3, IP-751: 4 or 10 mg p.o. two times daily)	Neuropathic pain, VAS	Significant reduction of chronic neuropathic pain	Minimal	Karst et al. (2003)
HIV	523 patients, cross-sectional questionnaire study	Cannabis	Questionnaire, various	In most patients who used cannabis to treat symptoms (143/523); reduction in muscle and neuropathic pain	Not discussed	Woolridge et al. (2005)

TABLE 1
Continued

Disease/Condition	Sample Size, Design, Target Symptoms	Compound (Dose)	Parameters Studied	Results	Adverse Effects	Reference
Anorexia-cachexia in patients with cancer, HIV, or AIDS						
Cancer	54 patients, R, DB, weight	THC (three doses of 0.1 mg/kg/day p.o.)	Appetite, weight	Improved appetite and increased weight	Dizziness, sedation, confusion	Regelson et al. (1976)
Cancer	19 patients, OL, non-R, weight	THC (three doses of 5 mg/day p.o.)	Appetite, weight	Improved appetite, trends for weight increase	Common, but well-tolerated	Nelson et al. (1994)
HIV/AIDS	10 patients, non-R, weight	THC (three doses of 2.5 mg/day p.o.)	Weight	Increased/stabilized weight	Mild	Gorter et al. (1992)
AIDS	139 patients, R, PL, weight	THC (two doses of 2.5 mg/day p.o.)	VAS for hunger, weight	Improved VAS for hunger but not weight	Mild	Beal et al. (1995)
AIDS	94 patients, non-R, OL, weight	THC (two doses of 2.5 mg/day p.o.)	VAS for hunger, weight	Improved VAS for hunger and weight (only for 1 month)	Sedation, psychosis, dysphoria	Beal et al. (1997)
AIDS	52 patients, weight	THC (two doses of 2.5 mg/day p.o. +/- Megace)	VAS for hunger, weight	Less effective than Megace	Anxiety, euphoria, psychosis, confusion	Timpone et al. (1997)
Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting						
Chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting	Review of 30 randomized trials involving 1366 patients, nausea, vomiting	THC	Nausea, vomiting	Across all trials, cannabinoids were more effective than placebo	Various	Tramèr et al. (2001)
Traumatic brain injury						
Closed head injury	67 patients, R, DB, PL, phase II, M, neurological outcome	HU-211 (dexanabinol: 48 or 150 mg i.v.)	Intracranial, cerebral perfusion and blood pressure, Glasgow scale	Better intracranial pressure/cerebral perfusion pressure control, trends towards better neurological outcome	Similar in all groups, related to severe head trauma	Knoller et al. (2002)
Traumatic brain injury	861 patients, R, PL, phase III, M, neurological outcome	HU-211 (dexanabinol: 150 mg i.v.)	Extended Glasgow scale at 6 months	No improvement	Similar in all groups, related to severe head trauma	Maas et al. (2006)
Parkinson's disease, levodopa-induced dyskinesia						
Parkinson's disease	24 patients, R, DB, PL, motor disability	SR141716 (0.3 mg/kg p.o.); antagonists of NK ₃ R (SR142801) and neurotensin receptors (SR48692)	Motor symptoms and levodopa-induced dyskinesias after a single dose of levodopa	No improvement in parkinsonian motor disability with any of drugs tested	Minimal	Mesnage et al. (2004)
Parkinson's disease	Seven patients, R, DB, PL, C, motor disability	Nabilone	Motor symptoms	Reduces levodopa-induced dyskinesia in PD	Minimal	Sieradzan et al. (2001)
Alzheimer's disease, dementia						
Alzheimer's disease, dementia	Six patients, OL, pilot, neuropsychiatric symptoms	Dronabinol (2.5 mg/day for 2 wk)	Neuropsychiatric Inventory score, subscores for agitation, aberrant motor, and nighttime behaviors	Significant improvement in Neuropsychiatric Inventory total score, subscores for agitation, aberrant motor, and nighttime behaviors	Minimal	Walther et al. (2006)

TABLE 1
Continued

Disease/Condition	Sample Size, Design, Target Symptoms	Compound (Dose)	Parameters Studied	Results	Adverse Effects	Reference
Primary dystonia						
Primary dystonia	15 patients, DB, R, PL, C, dystonia	Nabilone (0.03 mg/kg)	Motor symptoms	No improvement	Minimal	Fox et al. (2002b)
Tourette's syndrome						
Tourette's syndrome	12 patients, R, DB, PL, C, behavioral disorders	THC (one dose of 5, 7.5, or 10 mg)	Vocal and motor tics, various clinical scales	Significant improvement of vocal and motor tics	Minimal	Müller-Vahl et al. (2002)
Tourette's syndrome	24 patients, R, DB, PL, behavioral disorders	THC (5–10 mg)	Vocal and motor tics, various clinical scales	Significant improvement of vocal and motor tics	Minimal	Müller-Vahl et al. (2003a)
Psychosis/schizophrenia/schizoaffective disorder						
Schizophrenia/schizoaffective disorder	481 patients, PL, DB, positive and negative symptoms	SR141716; SR 142801 (NK ₃ R antagonist); SR46349B (5-HT _{2A/2C} R antagonist)	Various symptom scales	No improvement with CB ₁ antagonist, slight improvement with NK ₃ R and 5-HT _{2A/2C} R antagonist	Minimal	Meltzer et al. (2004)
Obesity						
Obesity, metabolic syndrome	1507 patients, R, DB, PL, weight	Rimonabant (5, 20 mg, 12 mo)	Weight, WC, BP, lipids, insulin, glucose	Weight and WC reduction, improved lipids, glucose tolerance, metabolic syndrome	Mild (nausea, arthralgia, diarrhea)	Van Gaal et al. (2005)
Obesity, metabolic syndrome	1036 patients, R, DB, PL, weight	Rimonabant (5, 20 mg, 12 m)	Weight, waist c, lipids, glucose, insulin, leptin	Weight and WC reduction, improved cardiovascular risk, reduced metabolic syndrome; decreased BP, increased plasma adiponectin	Mild (nausea, anxiety, diarrhea, insomnia)	Després et al. (2005)
Obesity, metabolic syndrome	3045 patients, R, DB, PL, M, weight, cardiometabolic risk factors	Rimonabant (5, 20 mg, 2 yr)	Weight, WC, lipids, glucose, insulin, leptin	Weight and WC reduction, improved cardiovascular risk, reduced metabolic syndrome; weight regained in 2nd year with placebo	Mild (nausea, anxiety, diarrhea, insomnia)	Pi-Sunyer et al. (2006)

DB, double blind; PL, placebo-controlled; EMG, electromyography; SB, single blind; C, crossover; OL, open-label; max, maximum; R, randomized; M, multicenter; VAS, visual analog scale; P, prospective; PL, placebo; FMF, familial Mediterranean fever; NIB, nitrogen analog of THC; NK₃ R, neurokinin 3 receptor; SR142801, (R)-(-)-1-[3-(1-benzoyl-3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)piperidin-3-yl)propyl]-4-phenylpiperidin-4-yl]-N-methylacetamide; SR48692, 2-[(1-(7-chloro-4-quinolinyl)-5-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)pyrazol-3-yl)carbonylamino]tricyclo(3.3.1.1)decane-2-carboxylic acid; SR463493B, 1-(Z)-[2-(dimethylamino)ethoxyimino]-1-(2-fluorophenyl)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2(E)-propene; 5-HT_{2A/2C} R, serotonin 2A/2C receptor; WC, waist circumference; BP, blood pressure.

IV. Future Directions

The length of this review, necessitated by the steady growth in the number of indications for the potential therapeutic use of cannabinoid-related medications, is a clear sign of the emerging importance of this field. This is further underlined by the quantity of articles in the public database dealing with the biology of cannabinoids, which numbered ~200 to 300/year throughout the 1970s to reach an astonishing 5900 in 2004. The growing interest in the underlying science has been matched by a growth in the number of cannabinoid drugs in pharmaceutical development from two in 1995 to 27 in 2004, with the most actively pursued therapeutic targets being pain, obesity, and multiple sclerosis (Hensen, 2005). As in any rapidly growing area of research, not all the leads will turn out to be useful or even valid. Nevertheless, it is safe to predict that new therapeutic agents that affect the activity of the endocannabinoid system will emerge and become members of our therapeutic armamentarium. The plant-derived cannabinoid preparation Sativex has already gained regulatory approval in Canada for the treatment of spasticity and pain associated with multiple sclerosis, and the CB₁ receptor antagonist rimonabant has been approved in Europe and is awaiting Food and Drug Administration approval in the United States for the treatment of the metabolic syndrome. Undoubtedly, these will be followed by new and improved compounds aimed at the same or additional targets in the endocannabinoid system. However, it may be only after the widespread therapeutic use of such compounds that some important side effects will emerge. Although this occurrence would be undesirable from a health care perspective, such side effects may shed further light on the biological functions of endocannabinoids in health and disease.

Acknowledgments. We are indebted to Kimberly Bochniak for help with the list of references. P.P. dedicates this review to his beloved mother, Iren.

REFERENCES

Aapro M (2005) Optimising antiemetic therapy: what are the problems and how can they be overcome? *Curr Med Res Opin* **21**:885–897.

Abboud RT and Sanders HD (1976) Effect of oral administration of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol on airway mechanics in normal and asthmatic subjects. *Chest* **70**:480–485.

Abel EL (1975) Cannabis: effects on hunger and thirst. *Behav Biol* **15**:255–281.

Abood ME, Rizvi G, Sallapudi N, and McAllister SD (2001) Activation of the CB₁ cannabinoid receptor protects cultured mouse spinal neurons against excitotoxicity. *Neurosci Lett* **309**:197–201.

Abu-Elmagd KM, Zak M, Stamos JM, Bond GJ, Jain A, Youk AO, Ezzelarab M, Costa G, Wu T, Nalesnik MA, et al. (2004) De novo malignancies after intestinal and multivisceral transplantation. *Transplantation* **77**:1719–1725.

Achiron A, Miron S, Lavie V, Margalit R, and Biegon A (2000) Dexamabinol (HU-211) effect on experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis: implications for the treatment of acute relapses of multiple sclerosis. *J Neuroimmunol* **102**:26–31.

Adami M, Frati P, Bertini S, Kulkarni-Narla A, Brown DR, de Caro G, Coruzzi G, and Soldani G (2002) Gastric antisecretory role and immunohistochemical localization of cannabinoid receptors in the rat stomach. *Br J Pharmacol* **135**:1598–1606.

Adams MD, Chait LD, and Earnhardt JT (1976) Tolerance to the cardiovascular effects of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol in the rat. *Br J Pharmacol* **56**:43–48.

Adams MD, Earnhardt JT, Martin BR, Harris LS, Dewey WL, and Razdan RK (1977) A cannabinoid with cardiovascular activity but no overt behavioral effects. *Experientia (Basel)* **33**:1204–1205.

Aguado T, Monory K, Palazuelos J, Stella N, Cravatt B, Lutz B, Marsicano G, Kokaia

Z, Guzmán M, and Galve-Roperh I (2005) The endocannabinoid system drives neural progenitor proliferation. *FASEB J* **19**:1704–1706.

Aguado T, Palazuelos J, Monory K, Stella N, Cravatt B, Lutz B, Marsicano G, Kokaia Z, Guzmán M, and Galve-Roperh I (2006) The endocannabinoid system promotes astroglial differentiation by acting on neural progenitor cells. *J Neurosci* **26**:1551–1561.

Alger BE (2002) Retrograde signaling in the regulation of synaptic transmission: focus on endocannabinoids. *Prog Neurobiol* **68**:247–286.

Alger BE (2004) Endocannabinoids and their implications for epilepsy. *Epilepsy Curr* **4**:169–173.

Allen JH, de Moore GM, Heddle R, and Twartz JC (2005) Cannabinoid hyperemesis: cyclical hyperemesis in association with chronic cannabis abuse. *Gut* **53**:1566–1570.

Allister SD, Chan C, Taft RJ, Luu T, Abood ME, Moore DH, Aldape K, and Yount G (2005) Cannabinoids selectively inhibit proliferation and induce death of cultured human glioblastoma multiforme cells. *J Neurooncol* **74**:31–40.

Alward WL (1998) Medical management of glaucoma. *N Engl J Med* **339**:1298–1307.

Amaya F, Shimosato G, Kawasaki Y, Hashimoto S, Tanaka Y, and Ji RR (2006) Induction of CB₁ cannabinoid receptor by inflammation in primary afferent neurons facilitates antihyperalgesic effect of peripheral CB₁ agonist. *Pain*, in press.

Ames FR and Cridland S (1986) Anticonvulsant effect of cannabidiol. *S Afr Med J* **69**:14.

Anderson LA, Anderson JJ, Chase TN, and Walters JR (1995) The cannabinoid agonists WIN 55,212-2 and CP 55,940 attenuate rotational behavior induced by a dopamine D₁ but not a D₂ agonist in rats with unilateral lesions of the nigrostriatal pathway. *Brain Res* **691**:106–114.

Andreasson S, Allebeck P, Engstrom A, and Rydberg U (1987) Cannabis and schizophrenia: a longitudinal study of Swedish conscripts. *Lancet* **2**:1483–1486.

Appel LJ, Champagne CM, Harsha DW, Cooper LS, Obarzanek E, Elmer PJ, Stevens VJ, Vollmer WM, Lin PH, Svetkey LP, et al. (2003) Effects of comprehensive lifestyle modification on blood pressure control: main results of the PREMIER clinical trial. *J Am Med Assoc* **289**:2083–2093.

Archer RA (1974) The cannabinoids: therapeutic potentials. *Annu Rev Med Chem* **9**:253–259.

Arevalo C, de Miguel R, and Hernandez-Tristan R (2001) Cannabinoid effects on anxiety-related behaviours and hypothalamic neurotransmitters. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **70**:123–131.

Arevalo-Martin A, Vela JM, Molina-Holgado E, Borrell J, and Guaza C (2003) Therapeutic action of cannabinoids in a murine model of multiple sclerosis. *J Neurosci* **23**:2511–2516.

Arnane M, Maruani J, Chaperon F, Thiebot MH, Poncelet M, Soubrie P, and Le Fur G (1997) Selective inhibition of sucrose and ethanol intake by SR141716, an antagonist of central cannabinoid (CB₁) receptors. *Psychopharmacology* **132**:104–106.

Andersson M, Usiello A, Borgkvist A, Pozzi L, Dominguez C, Fienberg AA, Svenningsson P, Fredholm BB, Borrelli E, Greengard P, et al. (2005) Cannabinoid action depends on phosphorylation of dopamine- and cAMP-regulated phosphoprotein of 32 kDa at the protein kinase A site in striatal projection neurons. *J Neurosci* **25**:8432–8438.

Ashton CH (1999) Adverse effects of cannabis and cannabinoids. *Br J Anaesth* **83**:637–649.

Ashton CH, Moore PB, Gallagher P, and Young AH (2005) Cannabinoids in bipolar affective disorder: a review and discussion of their therapeutic potential. *J Psychopharmacol* **19**:293–300.

Ashton H, Golding J, Marsh VR, Millman JE, and Thompson JW (1981) The seed and the soil: effect of dosage, personality and starting state on the response to Δ^9 tetrahydrocannabinol in man. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **12**:705–720.

Ashworth B (1964) Preliminary trial of carisoprodol in multiple sclerosis. *Practitioner* **192**:540–542.

Attal N, Brasseur L, Guirimand D, Clermond-Gnamien S, Atlami S, and Bouhassira D (2004) Are oral cannabinoids safe and effective in refractory neuropathic pain? *Eur J Pain* **8**:173–177.

Avraham Y, Israeli E, Gabbay E, Okun A, Zolotarev O, Silberman I, Ganzburg V, Dagon Y, Magen I, Vorobia L, et al. (2006) Endocannabinoids affect neurological and cognitive function in thioacetamide-induced hepatic encephalopathy in mice. *Neurobiol Dis* **1**:237–245.

Awumey EM, Howlett AC, and Diz DI (2005) Is there a role for anandamide in cardiovascular regulation? Insights from studies of endocannabinoid metabolism. *Am J Physiol* **289**:H520–H521.

Azad SC, Eder M, Marsicano G, Lutz B, Zieglgänsberger W, and Rammes G (2003) Activation of the cannabinoid receptor type 1 decreases glutamatergic and GABAergic synaptic transmission in the lateral amygdala of the mouse. *Learn Mem* **10**:116–128.

Baker D and Pryce G (2003) The therapeutic potential of cannabis in multiple sclerosis. *Expert Opin Invest Drugs* **12**:561–567.

Baker D, Pryce G, Croxford JL, Brown P, Pertwee RG, Huffman JW, and Layward L (2000) Cannabinoids control spasticity and tremor in a multiple sclerosis model. *Nature (Lond)* **404**:84–87.

Baker D, Pryce G, Croxford JL, Brown P, Pertwee RG, Makriyannis A, Khanolkar A, Layward L, Fezza F, Bisogno T, et al. (2001) Endocannabinoids control spasticity in a multiple sclerosis model. *FASEB J* **15**:300–302.

Balerio GN, Aso E, and Maldonado R (2006) Role of the cannabinoid system in the effects induced by nicotine on anxiety-like behaviour in mice. *Psychopharmacology* **184**:504–513.

Ballon N, Leroy S, Roy C, Bourdel MC, Charles-Nicolas A, Krebs MO, and Poirier MF (2006) (AAT)n repeat in the cannabinoid receptor gene (CNR1): association with cocaine addiction in an African-Caribbean population. *Pharmacogenomics* **6**:126–130.

Ban TA (2004) Neuropsychopharmacology and the genetics of schizophrenia: a

- history of the diagnosis of schizophrenia. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* **28**:753–762.
- Barann M, Molderings G, Brüss M, Bönisch H, Urban BW, and Göthert M (2002) Direct inhibition by cannabinoids of human 5-HT_{3A} receptors: probable involvement of an allosteric modulatory site. *Br J Pharmacol* **137**:589–596.
- Barnham KJ, Masters CL, and Bush AI (2004) Neurodegenerative diseases and oxidative stress. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **3**:205–214.
- Bar-Joseph A, Berkovitch Y, Adamchik J, and Biegon A (1994) Neuroprotective activity of HU-211, a novel NMDA antagonist, in global ischemia in gerbils. *Mol Chem Neuropathol* **23**:125–135.
- Barratt ES, Beaver W, and White R (1974) The effects of marijuana on human sleep patterns. *Biol Psychiatry* **8**:47–54.
- Barsky SH, Roth MD, Kleerup EC, Simmons M, and Tashkin DP (1998) Histopathologic and molecular alterations in bronchial epithelium in habitual smokers of marijuana, cocaine, and/or tobacco. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **90**:1198–1205.
- Basavarajappa BS and Hungund BL (1999) Down-regulation of cannabinoid receptor agonist-stimulated [³⁵S]GTPγS binding in synaptic plasma membrane from chronic ethanol exposed mice. *Brain Res* **815**:89–97.
- Basavarajappa BS and Hungund BL (2002) Neuromodulatory role of the endocannabinoid signaling system in alcoholism: an overview. *Prostaglandins Leukotrienes Essent Fatty Acids* **66**:287–299.
- Basavarajappa BS, Yalamanchili R, Cravatt BF, Cooper TB, and Hungund BL (2006) Increased ethanol consumption and preference and decreased sensitivity in female FAAH knockout mice. *Neuropharmacology* **50**:834–844.
- Basile AS, Hanus L, and Mendelson WB (1999) Characterization of the hypnotic properties of oleamide. *Neuroreport* **10**:947–951.
- Bátkai S, Járαι Z, Wagner JA, Goparaju SK, Varga K, Liu J, Wang L, Mirshahi F, Khanolkar AD, Makriyannis A, et al. (2001) Endocannabinoids acting at vascular CB₁ receptors mediate the vasodilated state in advanced liver cirrhosis. *Nat Med* **7**:827–832.
- Bátkai S, Pacher P, Járαι Z, Wagner JA, and Kunos G (2004a) Cannabinoid antagonist SR141716 inhibits endotoxic hypotension by a cardiac mechanism not involving CB₁ or CB₂ receptors. *Am J Physiol* **287**:H595–H600.
- Bátkai S, Pacher P, Osei-Hyiaman D, Radaeva S, Liu J, Harvey-White J, Offertaler L, Mackie K, Rudd A, Bukoski RD, et al. (2004b) Endocannabinoids acting at CB₁ receptors regulate cardiovascular function in hypertension. *Circulation* **110**:1996–2002.
- Bayir H, Kochanek PM, and Clark RS (2003) Traumatic brain injury in infants and children: mechanisms of secondary damage and treatment in the intensive care unit. *Crit Care Clin* **19**:529–549.
- Beal JE, Olson R, Laubenstein L, Morales JO, Bellman P, Yangco B, Lefkowitz L, Plasse TF, and Shepard KV (1995) Dronabinol as a treatment for anorexia associated with weight loss in patients with AIDS. *J Pain Symptom Manage* **10**:89–97.
- Beal JE, Olson R, Lefkowitz L, Laubenstein L, Bellman P, Yangco B, Morales JO, Murphy R, Powderly W, Plasse TF, et al. (1997) Long-term efficacy and safety of dronabinol for acquired immunodeficiency syndrome-associated anorexia. *J Pain Symptom Manage* **14**:7–14.
- Begg M, Mo FM, Offertaler L, Bátkaı S, Pacher P, Razdan RK, Lovinger DM, and Kunos G (2003) G protein-coupled endothelial receptor for atypical cannabinoid ligands modulates a Ca²⁺-dependent K⁺ current. *J Biol Chem* **278**:46188–46194.
- Begg M, Pacher P, Bátkaı S, Osei-Hyiaman D, Offertaler L, Mo F-M, Liu J, and Kunos G (2005) Evidence for novel cannabinoid receptors. *Pharmacol Ther* **106**:133–145.
- Behrens PF, Franz P, Woodman B, Lindenberg KS, and Landwehrmeyer GB (2002) Impaired glutamate transport and glutamate-glutamine cycling: downstream effects of the Huntington mutation. *Brain* **125**:1908–1922.
- Beinfeld MC and Connolly K (2001) Activation of CB₁ cannabinoid receptors in rat hippocampal slices inhibits potassium-evoked cholecystokinin release, a possible mechanism contributing to the spatial memory defects produced by cannabinoids. *Neurosci Lett* **301**:69–71.
- Belayev L, Bar-Joseph A, Adamchik J, and Biegon A (1995a) HU-211, a nonpsychotropic cannabinoid, improves neurological signs and reduces brain damage after severe forebrain ischemia in rats. *Mol Chem Neuropathol* **25**:19–33.
- Belayev L, Busto R, Watson BD, and Ginsberg MD (1995b) Post-ischemic administration of HU-211, a novel non-competitive NMDA antagonist, protects against blood-brain barrier disruption in photochemical cortical infarction in rats: a quantitative study. *Brain Res* **702**:266–270.
- Belayev L, Busto R, Zhao W, and Ginsberg MD (1995c) HU-211, a novel noncompetitive N-methyl-D-aspartate antagonist, improves neurological deficit and reduces infarct volume after reversible focal cerebral ischemia in the rat. *Stroke* **26**:2313–2320.
- Beltramo M, Stella N, Calignano A, Lin SY, Makriyannis A, and Piomelli D (1997) Functional role of high-affinity anandamide transport, as revealed by selective inhibition. *Science (Wash DC)* **277**:1094–1097.
- Benito C, Nunez E, Tolon RM, Carrier EJ, Rabano A, Hillard CJ, and Romero J (2003) Cannabinoid CB₂ receptors and fatty acid amide hydrolase are selectively overexpressed in neuritic plaque-associated glia in Alzheimer's disease brains. *J Neurosci* **23**:11136–11141.
- Benowitz NL and Jones RT (1975) Cardiovascular effects of prolonged delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol ingestion. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **18**:287–297.
- Ben-Shabat S, Fride E, Sheskin T, Tamiri T, Rhee MH, Vogel Z, Bisogno T, De Petrocellis L, Di Marzo V, and Mechoulam R (1998) An entourage effect: inactive endogenous fatty acid glycerol esters enhance 2-arachidonoyl-glycerol cannabinoid activity. *Eur J Pharmacol* **353**:23–31.
- Bensaid M, Gary-Bobo M, Esclançon A, Maffrand JP, Le Fur G, Oury-Donat F, and Soubrie P (2003) The cannabinoid CB₁ receptor antagonist SR141716 increases Acp30 mRNA expression in adipose tissue of obese *fa/fa* rats and in cultured adipocyte cells. *Mol Pharmacol* **63**:908–914.
- Berdyshev E, Boichot E, Corbel M, Germain N, and Lagente V (1998) Effects of cannabinoid receptor ligands on LPS-induced pulmonary inflammation in mice. *Life Sci* **63**:PL125–PL129.
- Berger C, Schmid PC, Schabitz WR, Wolf M, Schwab S, and Schmid HH (2004) Massive accumulation of N-acyl-ethanolamines after stroke: cell signalling in acute cerebral ischemia? *J Neurochem* **88**:1159–1167.
- Berghuis P, Dobszay MB, Wang X, Spano S, Ledda F, Sousa KM, Schulte G, Ernfor P, Mackie K, Paratcha G, et al. (2005) Endocannabinoids regulate interneuron migration and morphogenesis by transactivating the TrkB receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **102**:19115–19120.
- Berlach DM, Shir Y, and Ware MA (2006) Experience with the synthetic cannabinoid nabiximol in chronic noncancer pain. *Pain Med* **7**:25–29.
- Berman JS, Symonds C, and Birch R (2004) Efficacy of two cannabis based medicinal extracts for relief of central neuropathic pain from brachial plexus avulsion: results of a randomised controlled trial. *Pain* **112**:299–306.
- Berrendero F and Maldonado R (2002) Involvement of the opioid system in the anxiolytic-like effects induced by Delta(9)-tetrahydrocannabinol. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **163**:111–117.
- Berrendero F, Sanchez A, Cabranes A, Puerta C, Ramos JA, Garcia-Merino A, and Fernandez-Ruiz J (2001) Changes in cannabinoid CB₁ receptors in striatal and cortical regions of rats with experimental allergic encephalomyelitis, an animal model of multiple sclerosis. *Synapse* **41**:195–202.
- Berry EM and Mechoulam R (2002) Tetrahydrocannabinol and endocannabinoids in feeding and appetite. *Pharmacol Ther* **95**:185–190.
- Bezard E, Brotchie JM, and Gross CE (2001) Pathophysiology of levodopa-induced dyskinesia: potential for new therapies. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **2**:577–588.
- Bhatia S, Louie AD, Bhatia R, O'Donnell MR, Fung H, Kashyap A, Krishnan A, Molina A, Nademanee A, Niland JC, et al. (2001) Solid cancers after bone marrow transplantation. *J Clin Oncol* **19**:464–471.
- Biber B, Schaefer CF, Smolik MJ, Lerner MR, Brackett DJ, Wilson MF, and Fagraeus L (1988) Improved techniques for cardiovascular monitoring in rats as applied during endotoxemia. *Am J Physiol* **254**:H181–H186.
- Biddinger SB, Almind K, Miyazaki M, Kokkoto E, Ntambi JM, and Kahn CR (2005) Effects of diet and genetic background on sterol regulatory element-binding protein-1c, stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1, and the development of the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes* **54**:1314–1323.
- Bidinger B, Torres R, Rossetti RG, Brown L, Beltre R, Burstein S, Lian JB, Stein GS, and Zurier RB (2003) Ajulemic acid, a nonpsychoactive cannabinoid acid, induces apoptosis in human T lymphocytes. *Clin Immunol* **108**:95–102.
- Biecker E, Sagesser H, and Reichen J (2004) Vasodilator mRNA levels are increased in the livers of portal hypertensive NO-synthase 3-deficient mice. *Eur J Clin Invest* **34**:283–289.
- Biegon A (2004) Cannabinoids as neuroprotective agents in traumatic brain injury. *Curr Pharm Des* **10**:2177–2183.
- Bifulco M and Di Marzo V (2002) Targeting the endocannabinoid system in cancer therapy: a call for further research. *Nat Med* **8**:547–550.
- Bifulco M, Lazzeca C, Portella G, Vitale M, Orlando P, De Petrocellis L, and Di Marzo V (2001) Control by the endogenous cannabinoid system of ras oncogene-dependent tumor growth. *FASEB J* **15**:2745–2747.
- Bifulco M, Lazzeca C, Valenti M, Ligresti A, Portella G, and Di Marzo V (2004) A new strategy to block tumor growth by inhibiting endocannabinoid inactivation. *FASEB J* **18**:1606–1608.
- Bilkei-Gorzo A, Racz I, Valverde O, Otto M, Michel K, Sastre M, and Zimmer A (2005) Early age-related cognitive impairment in mice lacking cannabinoid CB₁ receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **102**:15670–15675.
- Bilsland LG, Dick JR, Pryce G, Petrosino S, Di Marzo V, Baker D, and Greensmith L (2006) Increasing cannabinoid levels by pharmacological and genetic manipulation delay disease progression in SOD1 mice. *FASEB J* **20**:1003–1005.
- Birmingham MK (1973) Reduction by 9-tetrahydrocannabinol in the blood pressure of hypertensive rats bearing regenerated adrenal glands. *Br J Pharmacol* **48**:169–171.
- Bisogno T, Berrendero F, Ambrosino G, Cebeira M, Ramos JA, Fernandez-Ruiz JJ, and Di Marzo V (1999a) Brain regional distribution of endocannabinoids: implications for their biosynthesis and biological function. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **256**:377–380.
- Bisogno T, Delton-Vandenbroucke I, Milone A, Lagarde M, and Di Marzo V (1999b) Biosynthesis and inactivation of N-arachidonoyl ethanolamine (anandamide) and N-docosahexaenoyl ethanolamine in bovine retina. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **370**:300–307.
- Bisogno T, Hanus L, De Petrocellis L, Tchilibon S, Ponde DE, Brandi I, Moriello AS, Davis JB, Mechoulam R, and Di Marzo V (2001) Molecular targets for cannabidiol and its synthetic analogues: effect on vanilloid VR₁ receptors and on the cellular uptake and enzymatic hydrolysis of anandamide. *Br J Pharmacol* **134**:845–852.
- Bisogno T, Howell F, Williams G, Minassi A, Cascio MG, Ligresti A, Matias I, Schiano-Moriello A, Paul P, Williams EJ, et al. (2003) Cloning of the first sn1-DAG lipases points to the spatial and temporal regulation of endocannabinoid signaling in the brain. *J Cell Biol* **163**:463–468.
- Bisogno T, Ligresti A, and Di Marzo V (2005) The endocannabinoid signaling system: biochemical aspects. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **81**:224–238.
- Bisogno T, Maurelli S, Melck D, De Petrocellis L, and Di Marzo V (1997) Biosynthesis, uptake and degradation of anandamide and palmitoylethanolamide in leukocytes. *J Biol Chem* **272**:3315–3323.
- Bisogno T, Melck D, Bobrov MYu, Gretskeya NM, Bezuglov VV, De Petrocellis L, and Di Marzo V (2000) N-Acyl-dopamines: novel synthetic CB₁ cannabinoid-receptor ligands and inhibitors of anandamide inactivation with cannabimimetic activity in vitro and in vivo. *Biochem J* **351**:817–824.
- Bisogno T, Melck D, De Petrocellis L, Bobrov MYu, Gretskeya NM, Bezuglov VV, Sitachitta N, Gerwick WH, and Di Marzo V (1998) Arachidonoylserotonin and other novel inhibitors of fatty acid amide hydrolase. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **248**:515–520.
- Blázquez C, Casanova ML, Planas A, Del Pulgar TG, Villanueva C, Fernandez-Acenero MJ, Aragonés J, Huffman JW, Jorcano JL, and Guzman M (2003) Inhibition of tumor angiogenesis by cannabinoids. *FASEB J* **17**:529–531.
- Blázquez C, Gonzalez-Peria L, Alvarez L, Haro A, Casanova ML, and Guzman M

- (2004) Cannabinoids inhibit the vascular endothelial growth factor pathway in gliomas. *Cancer Res* **64**:5617–5623.
- Bloch E, Thyssen B, Morrill GA, Gardner E, and Fujimoto G (1978) Effects of cannabinoids on reproduction and development. *Vitam Horm* **36**:203–258.
- Bloom AS, Dewey WL, Harris LS, and Brosius KK (1977) 9-Nor-9 β -hydroxyhexahydrocannabinol a cannabinoid with potent antinociceptive activity: comparisons with morphine. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **200**:263–270.
- Boger DL, Henriksen SJ, and Cravatt BF (1998a) Oleamide: an endogenous sleep-inducing lipid and prototypical member of a new class of biological signaling molecules. *Curr Pharm Des* **4**:303–314.
- Boger DL, Miyauchi H, Du W, Hardouin C, Fecik RA, Cheng H, Hwang I, Hedrick MP, Leung D, Acevedo O, et al. (2005) Discovery of a potent, selective, and efficacious class of reversible α -ketoheterocycle inhibitors of fatty acid amide hydrolase effective as analgesics. *J Med Chem* **48**:1849–1856.
- Boger DL, Patterson JE, Guan X, Cravatt BF, Lerner RA, and Gilula NB (1998b) Chemical requirements for inhibition of gap junction communication by the biologically active lipid oleamide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **95**:4810–4815.
- Bonz A, Laser M, Kullmer S, Kniesch S, Babin-Ebell J, Popp V, Ertl G, and Wagner JA (2003) Cannabinoids acting on CB1 receptors decrease contractile performance in human atrial muscle. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* **41**:657–664.
- Borcel E, Perez-Alvarez L, de Ceballos ML, Ramirez BG, Marco EM, Fernandez B, Rubio M, Guaza C, and Viveros MP (2004) Functional responses to the cannabinoid agonist WIN 55,212-2 in neonatal rats of both genders: influence of weaning. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **78**:593–602.
- Boring DL, Berglund BA, and Howlett AC (1996) Cerebrodiene, arachidonyl-ethanolamide, and hybrid structures: potential for interaction with brain cannabinoid receptors. *Prostaglandins Leukotrienes Essent Fatty Acids* **55**:207–210.
- Borini P, Guimaraes RC, and Borini SB (2004) Possible hepatotoxicity of chronic marijuana usage. *Sao Paulo Med J* **122**:110–116.
- Bortolato M, Campolongo P, Mangieri RA, Scattoni ML, Frau R, Trezza V, La Rana G, Russo R, Calignano A, Gessa GL, et al. (2006) Anxiolytic-like properties of the anandamide transport inhibitor AM404. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, in press.
- Bouaboula M, Dussosoy D, and Casellas P (1999) Regulation of peripheral cannabinoid receptor CB2 phosphorylation by the inverse agonist SR 144528: implications for receptor biological responses. *J Biol Chem* **274**:20397–20405.
- Bouaboula M, Perrachon S, Milligan L, Canat X, Rinaldi-Carmona M, Portier M, Barth F, Calandra B, Pecceu F, Lupker J, et al. (1997) A selective inverse agonist for central cannabinoid receptor inhibits mitogen-activated protein kinase activation stimulated by insulin or insulin-like growth factor 1: evidence for a new model of receptor/ligand interactions. *J Biol Chem* **272**:22330–22339.
- Bouchard JF, Lepicier P, and Lamontagne D (2003) Contribution of endocannabinoids in the endothelial protection afforded by ischemic preconditioning in the isolated rat heart. *Life Sci* **72**:1859–1870.
- Bracey MH, Hanson MA, Masuda KR, Stevens RC, and Cravatt BF (2002) Structural adaptations in a membrane enzyme that terminates endocannabinoid signaling. *Science (Wash DC)* **298**:1793–1796.
- Braida D, Iosue S, Pegorini S, and Sala M (2005) 3,4-Methylenedioxymethamphetamine-induced conditioned place preference (CPP) is mediated by endocannabinoid system. *Pharmacol Res* **51**:177–182.
- Braida D, Pozzi M, Parolaro D, and Sala M (2001) Intracerebral self-administration of the cannabinoid receptor agonist CP 55,940 in the rat: interaction with the opioid system. *Neuroscience* **413**:227–234.
- Braida D and Sala M (2002) Role of the endocannabinoid system in MDMA intracerebral self-administration in rats. *Br J Pharmacol* **136**:1089–1092.
- Breakey WR, Goodell H, Lorenz PC, and McHugh PR (1974) Hallucinogenic drugs as precipitants of schizophrenia. *Psychol Med* **4**:255–261.
- Bredt BM, Higuera-Alhino D, Shade SB, Hebert SJ, McCune JM, and Abrams DI (2002) Short-term effects of cannabinoids on immune phenotype and function in HIV-1-infected patients. *J Clin Pharmacol* **42**:82s–89s.
- Breivogel CS, Griffin G, Di Marzo V, and Martin BR (2001) Evidence for a new G protein-coupled cannabinoid receptor in mouse brain. *Mol Pharmacol* **60**:155–163.
- Breivogel CS, Sim LJ, and Childers SR (1997) Regional differences in cannabinoid receptor/G-protein coupling in rat brain. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **282**:1632–1642.
- Brenneisen R, Egli A, Elsohly MA, Henn V, and Spiess Y (1996) The effect of orally and rectally administered Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol on spasticity: a pilot study with 2 patients. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther* **34**:446–452.
- Bridges D, Ahmad K, and Rice AS (2001) The synthetic cannabinoid WIN55,212-2 attenuates hyperalgesia and allodynia in a rat model of neuropathic pain. *Br J Pharmacol* **133**:586–594.
- Britton DR, Koob GF, Rivier J, and Vale W (1982) Intraventricular corticotropin-releasing factor enhances behavioral effects of novelty. *Life Sci* **31**:363–367.
- Brochie JM (2003) CB₁ cannabinoid receptor signalling in Parkinson's disease. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* **3**:54–61.
- Brown A and Wise A (2003) inventors, GlaxoSmithKline, assignee. Identification of modulators of gpr55 activity. U.S. patent 20030113814. Jun 19, 2003.
- Brown B, Adams AJ, Haegerstrom-Portnoy G, Jones RT, and Flom MC (1977) Pupil size after use of marijuana and alcohol. *Am J Ophthalmol* **83**:350–354.
- Brown TT and Dobs AS (2002) Endocrine effects of marijuana. *J Clin Pharmacol* **42**:90S–96S.
- Buchwald A, Derendorf H, Ji F, Nagaraja NY, Wu WM, and Bodor N (2002) Soft cannabinoid analogues as potential anti-glaucoma agents. *Pharmazie* **57**:108–114.
- Buckley NE, McCoy KL, Mezey E, Bonner T, Zimmer A, Felder CC, Glass M, and Zimmer A (2000) Immunomodulation by cannabinoids is absent in mice deficient for the cannabinoid CB₂ receptor. *Eur J Pharmacol* **396**:141–149.
- Buggy DJ, Toogood L, Maric S, Sharpe P, Lambert DG, and Rowbotham DJ (2003) Lack of analgesic efficacy of oral Δ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol in postoperative pain. *Pain* **106**:169–172.
- Buonamici M, Young GA, and Khazan N (1982) Effects of acute Δ^9 -THC administration on EEG and EEG power spectra in the rat. *Neuropharmacology* **21**:825–829.
- Burdyga G, Lal S, Varro A, Dimaline R, Thompson DG, and Dockray DG (2004) Expression of cannabinoid CB1 receptors by vagal afferent neurons is inhibited by cholecystokinin. *J Neurosci* **24**:2708–2715.
- Burnette-Curley D and Cabral GA (1995) Differential inhibition of RAW264.7 macrophage tumoricidal activity by Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol: differential inhibition of RAW264.7 macrophage tumoricidal activity by Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* **210**:64–76.
- Burns TL and Ineck JR (2006) Cannabinoid analgesia as a potential new therapeutic option in the treatment of chronic pain. *Ann Pharmacother* **40**:251–260.
- Burstein S (2005) Ajulemic acid (IP-751): synthesis, proof of principle, toxicity studies, and clinical trials. *AAPS J* **7**:E143–E148.
- Burstein SH (2000) Ajulemic acid (CT3): a potent analog of the acid metabolites of THC. *Curr Pharm Des* **6**:1339–1345.
- Burstein SH, Audette CA, Breuer A, Devane WA, Colodner S, Doyle SA, and Mechoulam R (1992) Synthetic nonpsychotropic cannabinoids with potent antiinflammatory, analgesic, and leukocyte antiadhesion activities. *J Med Chem* **35**:3135–3141.
- Burstein SH, Friderichs E, Kogel B, Schneider J, and Selve N (1998) Analgesic effects of 1',1'-dimethylheptyl- Δ^8 -THC-11-oic acid (CT3) in mice. *Life Sci* **63**:161–168.
- Burstein SH, Karst M, Schneider U, and Zurier RB (2004) Ajulemic acid: a novel cannabinoid produces analgesia without a "high." *Life Sci* **75**:1513–1522.
- Buxbaum DM (1972) Analgesic activity of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol in the rat and mouse. *Psychopharmacology* **25**:275–280.
- Cabral GA and Fischer-Stenger K (1994) Inhibition of macrophage inducible protein expression by Δ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol. *Life Sci* **54**:1831–1844.
- Cabral GA, Mishkin EM, Marciano-Cabral F, Coleman P, Harris L, and Munson AE (1986) Effect of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol on herpes simplex virus type 2 vaginal infection in the guinea pig. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* **182**:181–186.
- Cabral GA, Toney DM, Fischer-Stenger K, Harrison MP, and Marciano-Cabral F (1995) Anandamide inhibits macrophage-mediated killing of tumor necrosis factor-sensitive cells. *Life Sci* **56**:2065–2072.
- Cadas H, di Tomaso E, and Piomelli D (1997) Occurrence and biosynthesis of endogenous cannabinoid precursor N-arachidonoyl phosphatidylethanolamine in rat brain. *J Neurosci* **17**:1226–1242.
- Caillé S and Parsons LH (2006) Cannabinoid modulation of opiate reinforcement through the ventral striatopallidal pathway. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **31**:804–813.
- Cainazzo MM, Ferrazza G, Mioni C, Bazzani C, Bertolini A, and Guarini S (2002) Cannabinoid CB₁ receptor blockade enhances the protective effect of melanocortins in hemorrhagic shock in the rat. *Eur J Pharmacol* **441**:91–97.
- Calignano A, Katona I, Desarnaud F, Giuffrida A, La Rana G, Mackie K, Freund TF, and Piomelli D (2000) Bidirectional control of airway responsiveness by endogenous cannabinoids. *Nature (Lond)* **408**:96–101.
- Calignano A, La Rana G, Beltramo M, Makriyannis A, and Piomelli D (1997) Potentiation of anandamide hypotension by the transport inhibitor: AM404. *Eur J Pharmacol* **337**:R1–R2.
- Calignano A, La Rana G, Giuffrida A, and Piomelli D (1998) Control of pain initiation by endogenous cannabinoids. *Nature (Lond)* **394**:277–281.
- Campbell AD and McBride WJ (1995) Serotonin-3 receptor and ethanol-stimulated dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **51**:835–842.
- Campbell FA, Tramer MR, Carroll D, Reynolds DJ, Moore RA, and McQuay HJ (2001) Are cannabinoids an effective and safe treatment option in the management of pain? A qualitative systematic review. *BMJ* **323**:13–16.
- Cani PD, Montoya ML, Neyrinck AM, Delzenne NM, and Lambert DM (2004) Potential modulation of plasma ghrelin and glucagon-like peptide-1 by anorexigenic cannabinoid compounds, SR141716A (rimonabant) and oleoylethanolamide. *Br J Nutr* **92**:757–761.
- Cannich A, Wotjak CT, Kamprath K, Hermann H, Lutz B, and Marsicano G (2004) CB1 cannabinoid receptors modulate kinase and phosphatase activity during extinction of conditioned fear in mice. *Learn Mem* **11**:625–632.
- Capasso R, Matias I, Lutz B, Borrelli F, Capasso F, Marsicano G, Mascolo N, Petrosino S, Monory K, Valenti M, et al. (2005) Fatty acid amide hydrolase controls mouse intestinal motility in vivo. *Gastroenterology* **129**:941–951.
- Caplan GA and Brigham BA (1990) Marijuana smoking and carcinoma of the tongue: is there an association? *Cancer* **66**:1005–1006.
- Carlini EA, Mechoulam R, and Lander N (1975) Anticonvulsant activity of four oxygenated cannabidiol derivatives. *Res Commun Chem Pathol Pharmacol* **12**:1–15.
- Carlisle SJ, Marciano-Cabral F, Staab A, Ludwick C, and Cabral GA (2002) Differential expression of the CB2 cannabinoid receptor by rodent macrophages and macrophage-like cells in relation to cell activation. *Int Immunopharmacol* **2**:69–82.
- Carracedo A, Lorente M, Egia A, Blazquez C, Garcia S, Giroux V, Malicet C, Villuendas R, Gironella M, Gonzalez-Feria L, et al. (2006) The stress-regulated protein p8 mediates cannabinoid-induced apoptosis of tumor cells. *Cancer Cell* **9**:301–312.
- Carrier EJ, Auchampach JA, and Hillard CJ (2006) Inhibition of an equilibrative nucleoside transporter by cannabidiol: a mechanism of cannabinoid immunosuppression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **103**:7895–7900.
- Carter GT and Rosen BS (2001) Marijuana in the management of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care* **18**:264–270.
- Casanova ML, Blazquez C, Martinez-Palacio J, Villanueva C, Fernandez-Acenero MJ, Huffman JW, Jorcano JL, and Guzman M (2003) Inhibition of skin tumor growth and angiogenesis in vivo by activation of cannabinoid receptors. *J Clin Invest* **111**:43–50.
- Castane A, Valjent E, Ledent C, Parmentier M, Maldonado R, and Valverde O (2002) Lack of CB1 cannabinoid receptors modifies nicotine behavioural responses, but not nicotine abstinence. *Neuropharmacology* **43**:857–867.
- Casu MA, Porcella A, Ruiu S, Saba P, Marchese G, Carai MA, Reali R, Gessa GL, and Pani L (2003) Differential distribution of functional cannabinoid CB1 receptors in the mouse gastroenteric tract. *Eur J Pharmacol* **459**:97–105.

- Caulfield MP and Brown DA (1992) Cannabinoid receptor agonists inhibit Ca current in NG108-15 neuroblastoma cells via a pertussis toxin-sensitive mechanism. *Br J Pharmacol* **106**:231-232.
- Cavero I, Buckley JP, and Jandhyala BS (1972) Parasympatholytic activity of (-)-9-trans-tetrahydrocannabinol in mongrel dogs. *Eur J Pharmacol* **19**:301-304.
- Centonze D, Gubellini P, Rossi S, Picconi B, Pisani A, Bernardi G, Calabresi P, and Baunez C (2005) Subthalamic nucleus lesion reverses motor abnormalities and striatal glutamatergic overactivity in experimental parkinsonism. *Neuroscience* **133**:831-840.
- Chambers AP, Sharkey KA, and Koopmans HS (2003) Cannabinoid (CB1) receptor antagonist, AM 251, causes a sustained reduction of daily food intake in the rat. *Physiol Behav* **82**:863-869.
- Chan CC, Hwang SJ, Lee FY, Wang SS, Chang FY, Li CP, Chu CJ, Lu RH, and Lee SD (1997) Prognostic value of plasma endotoxin levels in patients with cirrhosis. *Scand J Gastroenterol* **32**:942-946.
- Chang L, Luo L, Palmer JA, Sutton S, Wilson SJ, Barbier AJ, Breitenbucher JG, Chaplan SR, and Webb M (2006) Inhibition of fatty acid amide hydrolase produces analgesia by multiple mechanisms. *Br J Pharmacol* **148**:102-113.
- Chapron F, Soubrie P, Puech AJ, and Theibot MH (1998) Involvement of central cannabinoid (CB1) receptors in the establishment of place conditioning in rats. *Psychopharmacology* **135**:324-332.
- Chapman V (1999) The cannabinoid CB1 receptor antagonist, SR141716A, selectively facilitates nociceptive responses of dorsal horn neurones in the rat. *Br J Pharmacol* **127**:1765-1767.
- Cheer JF, Marsden CA, Kendall DA, and Mason R (2000) Lack of response suppression follows repeated ventral tegmental cannabinoid administration: an in vitro electrophysiological study. *Neuroscience* **99**:661-667.
- Chemin J, Montiel A, Perez-Reyes E, Nargeot J, and Lory P (2001) Direct inhibition of T-type calcium channels by the endogenous cannabinoid anandamide. *EMBO (Eur Mol Biol Organ) J* **20**:7033-7040.
- Chen J, Matias I, Dinh T, Lu T, Venezia S, Nieves A, Woodward DF, and Di Marzo V (2005) Finding of endocannabinoids in human eye tissues: implications for glaucoma. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **330**:1062-1067.
- Chen JP, Paredes W, Li J, Smith D, Lowinson J, and Gardner EL (1990) Δ^9 -Tetrahydrocannabinol produces naloxone-blockable enhancement of presynaptic basal dopamine efflux in nucleus accumbens of conscious, freely moving rats, as measured by intracerebral microdialysis. *Psychopharmacology* **102**:156-162.
- Chen K, Ratzliff A, Hilgenberg L, Gulyas A, Freund TF, Smith M, Dinh TP, Piomelli D, Mackie K, and Soltesz I (2003) Long-term plasticity of endocannabinoid signaling induced by developmental febrile seizures. *Neuron* **39**:599-611.
- Chen RZ, Huang RR, Shen CP, MacNeil DJ, and Fong TM (2004) Synergistic effects of cannabinoid inverse agonist AM251 and opioid antagonist nalmeferene on food intake in mice. *Brain Res* **999**:227-230.
- Chen Y and Buck J (2000) Cannabinoids protect cells from oxidative cell death: a receptor-independent mechanism. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **293**:807-812.
- Cheng X, Leung SW, Lo LS, and Pang CC (2003) Selective versus non-selective suppression of nitric oxide synthase on regional hemodynamics in rats with or without LPS-induced endotoxemia. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol* **367**:372-379.
- Chiang K, Gerber AL, Sipe JC, and Cravatt BF (2004) Reduced cellular expression and activity of the P129T mutant of human fatty acid amide hydrolase: evidence for a link between defects in the endocannabinoid system and problem drug use. *Hum Mol Genet* **13**:1-7.
- Chien FY, Wang RF, Mittag TW, and Podos SM (2003) Effect of WIN 55212-2, a cannabinoid receptor agonist, on aqueous humor dynamics in monkeys. *Arch Ophthalmol* **121**:87-90.
- Chiu P, Olsen DM, Borys HK, Karler R, and Turkkanis SA (1979) The influence of cannabidiol and Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol on cobalt epilepsy in rats. *Epilepsia* **20**:365-375.
- Chopra GS and Smith JW (1974) Psychotic reactions following cannabis use in East Indians. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **30**:24-27.
- Cippitelli A, Bilbao A, Hansson AC, del Arco I, Sommer W, Heilig M, Massi M, Bermudez-Silva FJ, Navarro M, Cicciocioppo R, et al. (2005) The Cannabinoid CB1 receptor antagonist reduces conditioned reinstatement of ethanol-seeking behavior in rats. *Eur J Neurosci* **21**:2243-2251.
- Clark JT, Kalra PS, Crowley WR, and Kalra SP (1984) Neuropeptide Y and human pancreatic polypeptide stimulate feeding behavior in rats. *Endocrinology* **115**:427-429.
- Clayton N, Marshall FH, Bountra C, and O'Shaughnessy CT (2002) CB1 and CB2 cannabinoid receptors are implicated in inflammatory pain. *Pain* **96**:253-260.
- Clement AB, Hawkins EG, Lichtman AH, and Cravatt BF (2003) Increased seizure susceptibility and proconvulsant activity of anandamide in mice lacking fatty acid amide hydrolase. *J Neurosci* **23**:3916-3923.
- Clifford DB (1983) Tetrahydrocannabinol for tremor in multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* **13**:669-671.
- Cohen A, Perrault G, Griebel G, and Soubrie P (2005) Nicotine-associated cues maintain nicotine-seeking behavior in rats several weeks after nicotine withdrawal: reversal by the cannabinoid (CB1) receptor antagonist rimonabant (SR141716). *Neuropsychopharmacology* **30**:145-155.
- Cohen C, Perrault G, Voltz C, Steinberg R, and Soubrie P (2002) SR141716, a central cannabinoid (CB1) receptor antagonist, blocks the motivational and dopamine-releasing effects of nicotine in rats. *Behav Pharmacol* **13**:451-463.
- Colasanti BK, Lindamood C 3rd, and Craig CR (1982) Effects of marijuana cannabinoids on seizure activity in cobalt-epileptic rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **16**:573-578.
- Colasanti BK, Brown RE, and Craig CR (1984a) Ocular hypotension, ocular toxicity, and neurotoxicity in response to marijuana extract and cannabidiol. *Gen Pharmacol* **15**:479-484.
- Colasanti BK, Craig CR, and Allara RD (1984b) Intraocular pressure, ocular toxicity and neurotoxicity after administration of cannabinol or cannabigerol. *Exp Eye Res* **39**:251-259.
- Colasanti BK, Powell SR, and Craig CR (1984c) Intraocular pressure, ocular toxicity and neurotoxicity after administration of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol or cannabichromene. *Exp Eye Res* **38**:63-71.
- Colombo G, Agabio R, Diaz G, Lobina C, Reali R, and Gessa GL (1998a) Appetite suppression and weight loss after the cannabinoid antagonist SR 141716. *Life Sci* **63**:PL113-PL117.
- Colombo G, Agabio R, Fa M, Guano L, Lobina C, Loche A, Reali R, and Gessa GL (1998b) Reduction of voluntary ethanol intake in ethanol preferring sP rats by the cannabinoid antagonist SR141716A. *Alcohol Alcohol* **33**:126-130.
- Colombo G, Serra S, Brunetti G, Gomez R, Melis S, Vacca G, Carai MM, and Gessa L (2002) Stimulation of voluntary ethanol intake by cannabinoid receptor agonists in ethanol-preferring sP rats. *Psychopharmacology* **159**:181-187.
- Colombo G, Serra S, Vacca G, Carai MAM, and Gessa L (2005) Endocannabinoid system and alcohol addiction: pharmacological studies. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **81**:369-380.
- Colombo G, Serra S, Vacca G, Gessa L, and Carai MAM (2004) Suppression by baclofen of the stimulation of alcohol intake induced by morphine and WIN 55,212-2 in alcohol-preferring rats. *Eur J Pharmacol* **492**:189-193.
- Compston A and Coles A (2002) Multiple sclerosis. *Lancet* **359**:1221-1231.
- Consroe P (1998) Brain cannabinoid systems as targets for the therapy of neurological disorders. *Neurobiol Dis* **5**:534-551.
- Consroe P, Laguna J, Allender J, Snider S, Stern L, Sandyk R, Kennedy K, and Schram K (1991) Controlled clinical trial of cannabidiol in Huntington's disease. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **40**:701-708.
- Consroe P, Martin A, and Singh V (1981) Antiepileptic potential of cannabidiol analogs. *J Clin Pharmacol* **21**:428S-436S.
- Consroe P and Mechoulam R (1987) Anticonvulsant and neurotoxic effects of tetrahydrocannabinol stereoisomers. *NIDA Res Monogr* **79**:59-66.
- Consroe P, Musty R, Rein J, Tillery W, and Pertwee R (1997) The perceived effects of smoked cannabis on patients with multiple sclerosis. *Eur Neurol* **38**:44-48.
- Consroe P and Wolkin A (1977) Cannabidiol-antiepileptic drug comparisons and interactions in experimentally induced seizures in rats. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **201**:26-32.
- Consroe PF, Wood GC, and Buchsbaum H (1975) Anticonvulsant nature of marihuana smoking. *J Am Med Assoc* **234**:306-307.
- Contassot E, Tenan M, Schnuriger V, Pelte MF, and Dietrich PY (2004a) Arachidonylethanolamide induces apoptosis of uterine cervix cancer cells via aberrantly expressed vanilloid receptor-1. *Gynecol Oncol* **93**:182-188.
- Contassot E, Wilmette R, Tenan M, Belkouch MC, Schnuriger V, de Tribolet N, Burkhardt K, and Dietrich PY (2004b) Arachidonylethanolamide induces apoptosis of human glioma cells through vanilloid receptor-1. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* **63**:956-963.
- Corcoran ME, McCaughan JA Jr, and Wada JA (1978) Antiepileptic and prophylactic effects of tetrahydrocannabinols in amygdaloid kindled rats. *Epilepsia* **19**:47-55.
- Correa F, Mestre L, Molina-Holgado E, Arevalo-Martin A, Docagne F, Romero E, Molina-Holgado F, Borrell J, and Guaza C (2005) The role of cannabinoid system on immune modulation: therapeutic implications on CNS inflammation. *Mini Rev Med Chem* **5**:671-675.
- Coruzzi G, Adami M, Coppelli G, Frati P, and Soldani G (1999) Inhibitory effect of the cannabinoid receptor agonist WIN 55,212-2 on pentagastrin-induced gastric acid secretion in the anaesthetized rat. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol* **360**:715-718.
- Cossu G, Ledent C, Fattore L, Imperato A, Bohme GA, Parmentier M, and Fratta W (2001) Cannabinoid CB1 receptor knockout mice fail to self-administer morphine but not other drugs of abuse. *Behav Brain Res* **118**:61-65.
- Costa B, Colleoni M, Conti S, Parolaro D, Franke C, Trovato AE, and Giagnoni G (2004a) Oral anti-inflammatory activity of cannabidiol, a non-psychoactive constituent of cannabis, in acute carrageenan-induced inflammation in the rat paw. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol* **369**:294-299.
- Costa B, Colleoni M, Conti S, Trovato AE, Bianchi M, Sotgiu ML, and Giagnoni G (2004b) Repeated treatment with the synthetic cannabinoid WIN 55,212-2 reduces both hyperalgesia and production of pronociceptive mediators in a rat model of neuropathic pain. *Br J Pharmacol* **141**:4-8.
- Cota D, Marsicano G, Tschopp M, Grubler Y, Flachskamm C, Schubert M, Auer D, Yassouridis A, Thone-Reineke C, Ortman S, et al. (2003) The endogenous cannabinoid system affects energy balance via central orexigenic drive and peripheral lipogenesis. *J Clin Invest* **112**:423-431.
- Coutts AA, Irving AJ, Mackie K, Pertwee RG, and Anavi-Goffer S (2002) Localisation of cannabinoid CB1 receptor immunoreactivity in the guinea pig and rat myenteric plexus. *J Comp Neurol* **448**:410-422.
- Coutts AA and Izzo AA (2004) The gastrointestinal pharmacology of cannabinoids: an update. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* **4**:572-579.
- Coutts AA and Pertwee RG (1997) Inhibition by cannabinoid receptor agonists of acetylcholine release from the guinea-pig myenteric plexus. *Br J Pharmacol* **121**:1557-1566.
- Cox ML and Welch SP (2004) The antinociceptive effect of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol in the arthritic rat. *Eur J Pharmacol* **493**:65-74.
- Coyle JT and Puttfarcken P (1993) Oxidative stress, glutamate, and neurodegenerative disorders. *Science (Wash DC)* **262**:689-695.
- Coyne L, Lees G, Nicholson RA, Zheng J, and Neufeld KD (2002) The sleep hormone oleamide modulates inhibitory ionotropic receptors in mammalian CNS in vitro. *Br J Pharmacol* **135**:1977-1987.
- Cravatt BF, Demarest K, Patricelli MP, Bracey MH, Giang DK, Martin BR, and Lichtman AH (2001) Supersensitivity to anandamide and enhanced endogenous cannabinoid signaling in mice lacking fatty acid amide hydrolase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **98**:9371-9376.
- Cravatt BF, Giang DK, Mayfield SP, Boger DL, Lerner RA, and Gilula NB (1996) Molecular characterization of an enzyme that degrades neuromodulatory fatty-acid amides. *Nature (Lond)* **384**:83-87.
- Cravatt BF, Prospero-Garcia O, Siuzdak G, Gilula NB, Henriksen SJ, Boger DL, and

- Lerner RA (1995) Chemical characterization of a family of brain lipids that induce sleep. *Science (Wash DC)* **268**:1506–1509.
- Cravatt BF, Saghatelian A, Hawkins EG, Clement AB, Bracey MH, and Lichtman AH (2004) Functional disassociation of the central and peripheral fatty acid amide signaling systems. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **101**:10821–10826.
- Crawford WJ and Merritt JC (1979) Effects of tetrahydrocannabinol on arterial and intraocular hypertension. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Biopharm* **17**:191–196.
- Crawley JN, Corwin RL, Robinson JK, Felder CC, Devane WA, and Axelrod J (1993) Anandamide, an endogenous ligand of the cannabinoid receptor, induces hypomotility and hypothermia in vivo in rodents. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **46**:967–972.
- Croci T, Landi M, Galzin AM, and Marini P (2003) Role of cannabinoid CB₁ receptors and tumor necrosis factor- α in the gut and systemic anti-inflammatory activity of SR 141716 (rimonabant) in rodents. *Br J Pharmacol* **140**:115–122.
- Crowston JG and Weinreb RN (2005) Glaucoma medication and aqueous humor dynamics. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol* **16**:94–100.
- Croxford JL (2003) Therapeutic potential of cannabinoids in CNS disease. *CNS Drugs* **17**:179–202.
- Croxford JL and Miller SD (2003) Immunoregulation of a viral model of multiple sclerosis using the synthetic cannabinoid R+WIN55,212. *J Clin Invest* **111**:1231–1240.
- Croxford JL and Yamamura T (2005) Cannabinoids and the immune system: potential for the treatment of inflammatory diseases? *J Neuroimmunol* **166**:3–18.
- Csiszar A, Pacher P, Kaley G, and Ungvari Z (2005) Role of oxidative and nitrosative stress, longevity genes and poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase in cardiovascular dysfunction associated with aging. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol* **3**:285–291.
- Cuendet JF, Shapiro D, Calanca A, Faggioni R, and Ducrey N (1976) Action of Δ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol on ophthalmotonus. *Ophthalmologica* **172**:122–127.
- Cunha JM, Carlini EA, Pereira AE, Ramos OL, Pimentel C, Gagliardi R, Sanvito WL, Lander N, and Mechoulam R (1980) Chronic administration of cannabidiol to healthy volunteers and epileptic patients. *Pharmacology* **21**:175–185.
- Curtis MA, Faull RL, and Glass M (2006) A novel population of progenitor cells expressing cannabinoid receptors in the subependymal layer of the adult normal and Huntington's disease human brain. *J Chem Neuroanat* **31**:210–215.
- Dajani EZ, Larsen KR, Taylor J, Dajani NE, Shahwan TG, Neelam SD, Taylor MS, Dayton MT, and Mir GN (1999) 1',1'-Dimethylheptyl- Δ -8-tetrahydrocannabinol-11-oic acid: a novel, orally effective cannabinoid with analgesic and anti-inflammatory properties. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **291**:31–38.
- Dale LC and Anthenelli RM (2004) Rimonabant as an aid to smoking cessation in smokers motivated to quit: results from a U.S. Multicenter Study—STRATUS U.S. Trial, in *Proceedings of the Annual Scientific Session of the American College of Cardiology*; 2004 March 7–10; New Orleans, LA.
- Dannon PN, Lowengrub K, Amiaz R, Grunhaus L, and Kotler M (2004) Comorbid cannabis use and panic disorder: short term and long term follow-up study. *Hum Psychopharmacol* **19**:97–101.
- D'Argenio G, Valenti M, Scaglione G, Cosenza V, Sorrentini I, and Di Marzo V (2006) Up-regulation of anandamide levels as an endogenous mechanism and a pharmacological strategy to limit colon inflammation. *FASEB J* **20**:568–570.
- Darmani NA (2001a) Δ^9 -Tetrahydrocannabinol and synthetic cannabinoids prevent emesis produced by the cannabinoid CB₁ receptor antagonist/inverse agonist SR 141716A. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **24**:198–203.
- Darmani NA (2001b) Δ -9-Tetrahydrocannabinol differentially suppresses cisplatin-induced emesis and indices of motor function via cannabinoid CB₁ receptors in the least shrew. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **69**:239–249.
- Das SK, Paria BC, Chakraborty I, and Dey SK (1995) Cannabinoid ligand-receptor signaling in the mouse uterus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **92**:4332–4336.
- Davis MI, Ronesi J, and Lovinger DM (2003) A predominant role for inhibition of the adenylyl cyclase/protein kinase A pathway in ERK activation by cannabinoid receptor 1 in N1E-115 neuroblastoma cells. *J Biol Chem* **278**:48973–48980.
- Dean B, Sundram S, Bradbury R, Scarr E, and Copolov D (2001) Studies on [3H]CP-55949 binding in the human central nervous system: regional specific changes in density of cannabinoid-1 receptors associated with schizophrenia and cannabis use. *Neuroscience* **103**:9–15.
- de Lago E, de Miguel R, Lastres-Becker I, Ramos JA, and Fernandez-Ruiz J (2004a) Involvement of vanilloid-like receptors in the effects of anandamide on motor behavior and nigrostriatal dopaminergic activity: in vivo and in vitro evidence. *Brain Res* **1007**:152–159.
- de Lago E, Fernandez-Ruiz J, Ortega-Gutierrez S, Cabranes A, Pryce G, Baker D, Lopez-Rodriguez M, and Ramos JA (2006) UCM707, an inhibitor of the anandamide uptake, behaves as a symptom control agent in models of Huntington's disease and multiple sclerosis, but fails to delay/arrest the progression of different motor-related disorders. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* **16**:7–18.
- de Lago E, Fernandez-Ruiz J, Ortega-Gutierrez S, Viso A, Lopez-Rodriguez ML, and Ramos JA (2002) UCM707, a potent and selective inhibitor of endocannabinoid uptake, potentiates hypokinetic and antinociceptive effects of anandamide. *Eur J Pharmacol* **449**:99–103.
- de Lago E, Ligresti A, Ortar G, Morera E, Cabranes A, Pryce G, Bifulco M, Baker D, Fernandez-Ruiz J, and Di Marzo V (2004b) In vivo pharmacological actions of two novel inhibitors of anandamide cellular uptake. *Eur J Pharmacol* **484**:249–257.
- de Lago E, Urbani P, Ramos JA, Di Marzo V, and Fernandez-Ruiz J (2005) Arvanil, a hybrid endocannabinoid and vanilloid compound, behaves as an antihyperkinetic agent in a rat model of Huntington's disease. *Brain Res* **1050**:210–216.
- De Marchi N, De Petrocellis L, Orlando P, Daniele F, Fezza F, and Di Marzo V (2003) Endocannabinoid signalling in the blood of patients with schizophrenia. *Lipids Health Dis* **2**:5.
- De Petrocellis L, Bisogno T, Davis JB, Pertwee RG, and Di Marzo V (2000) Overlap between the ligand recognition properties of the anandamide transporter and the VR1 vanilloid receptor: inhibitors of anandamide uptake with negligible capsaicin-like activity. *FEBS Lett* **483**:52–56.
- De Petrocellis L, Melck D, Palmisano A, Bisogno T, Laezza C, Bifulco M, and Di Marzo V (1998) The endogenous cannabinoid anandamide inhibits human breast cancer cell proliferation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **95**:8375–8380.
- De Vries TJ and Schoffelmeer AN (2005) Cannabinoid CB₁ receptors control conditioned drug seeking. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **26**:420–426.
- De Vries TJ, Shaham Y, Homberg JR, Crombag H, Schuurman K, Dieben J, Vanderschuren LJ, and Schoffelmeer AN (2001) A cannabinoid mechanism of relapse to cocaine seeking. *Nat Med* **7**:1151–1154.
- del Carmen Godino M, Torres M, and Sanchez-Prieto J (2005) The modulation of Ca²⁺ and K⁺ channels but not changes in cAMP signaling contribute to the inhibition of glutamate release by cannabinoid receptors in cerebrocortical nerve terminals. *Neuropharmacology* **48**:547–557.
- Denovan-Wright EM and Robertson HA (2000) Cannabinoid receptor messenger RNA levels decrease in a subset of neurons of the lateral striatum, cortex and hippocampus of transgenic Huntington's disease mice. *Neuroscience* **98**:705–713.
- Derkinderen P, Ledent C, Parmentier M, and Girault JA (2001) Cannabinoids activate p38 mitogen-activated protein kinases through CB₁ receptors in hippocampus. *J Neurochem* **77**:957–960.
- Deroche-Gamonet V, Le Moal M, Piazza PV, and Soubrie P (2001) SR141716, a CB₁ receptor antagonist, decreases the sensitivity to the reinforcing effects of electrical brain stimulation in rats. *Psychopharmacology* **157**:254–259.
- Després J-P, Golay A, and Sjöström L, for the Rimonabant in Obesity-Lipids Study Group (2005) Effects of rimonabant on metabolic risk factors in overweight patients with dyslipidemia. *N Engl J Med* **353**:2121–2134.
- Deutsch DG, Lin S, Hill WA, Morse KL, Salehani D, Arreaza G, Omeir RL, and Makriyannis A (1997a) Fatty acid sulfonyl fluorides inhibit anandamide metabolism and bind to the cannabinoid receptor. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **231**:217–221.
- Deutsch DG, Omeir R, Arreaza G, Salehani D, Prestwich GD, Huang Z, and Howlett A (1997b) Methyl arachidonyl fluorophosphate: a potent irreversible inhibitor of anandamide amidase. *Biochem Pharmacol* **53**:255–260.
- Devane WA, Dysarz FA III, Johnson MR, Melvin LS, and Howlett AC (1988) Determination and characterization of a cannabinoid receptor in rat brain. *Mol Pharmacol* **34**:605–613.
- Devane WA, Hanus L, Breuer A, Pertwee RG, Stevenson LA, Griffin G, Gibson D, Mandelbaum A, Etinger A, and Mechoulam R (1992) Isolation and structure of a brain constituent that binds to the cannabinoid receptor. *Science (Wash DC)* **258**:1946–1949.
- Dewey ML (1986) Cannabinoid pharmacology. *Pharmacol Rev* **38**:151–178.
- Di S, Boudaba C, Popescu R, Weng FJ, Harris C, Marcheselli VL, Bazan NG, and Tasker JG (2005a) Activity-dependent release and actions of endocannabinoids in the rat hypothalamic supraoptic nucleus. *J Physiol (Lond)* **569**:751–760.
- Di S, Malcher-Lopes R, Halmos KC, and Tasker JG (2003) Nongenomic glucocorticoid inhibition via endocannabinoid release in the hypothalamus: a fast feedback mechanism. *J Neurosci* **23**:4850–4857.
- Di S, Malcher-Lopes R, Marcheselli VL, Bazan NG, and Tasker JG (2005b) Rapid glucocorticoid-mediated endocannabinoid release and opposing regulation of glutamate and γ -aminobutyric acid inputs to hypothalamic magnocellular neurons. *Endocrinology* **146**:4292–4301.
- Di Carlo G and Izzo AA (2003) Cannabinoids for gastrointestinal diseases: potential therapeutic applications. *Expert Opin Invest Drugs* **12**:39–49.
- Di Filippo C, Rossi F, Rossi S, and D'Amico M (2004) Cannabinoid CB₂ receptor activation reduces mouse myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury: involvement of cytokine/chemokines and PMN. *J Leukoc Biol* **75**:453–459.
- Di Marzo V, Bifulco M, and De Petrocellis L (2004) The endocannabinoid system and its therapeutic exploitation. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **3**:771–784.
- Di Marzo V, Bisogno T, De Petrocellis L, Brandi I, Jefferson RG, Winckler RL, Davis JB, Dasse O, Mahadevan A, Razdan RK, et al. (2001a) Highly selective CB₁ cannabinoid receptor ligands and novel CB₁/VR₁ vanilloid receptor "hybrid" ligands. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **281**:444–451.
- Di Marzo V, Fontana A, Cadas H, Schinelli S, Cimino G, Schwartz JC, and Piomelli D (1994) Formation and inactivation of endogenous cannabinoid anandamide in central neurons. *Nature (Lond)* **372**:686–691.
- Di Marzo V, Goparaju SK, Wang L, Liu J, Bártkai S, Járási Z, Fezza F, Miura GI, Palminter RD, Sugiura T, et al. (2001b) Leptin-regulated endocannabinoids are involved in maintaining food intake. *Nature (Lond)* **410**:822–825.
- Di Marzo V, Hill MP, Bisogno T, Crossman AR, and Brotchie JM (2000) Enhanced levels of endogenous cannabinoids in the globus pallidus are associated with a reduction in movement in an animal model of Parkinson's disease. *FASEB J* **14**:1432–1438.
- Di Marzo V and Matias I (2005) Endocannabinoid control of food intake and energy balance. *Nat Neurosci* **8**:585–589.
- Di Marzo V and Petrocellis LD (2006) Plant, synthetic, and endogenous cannabinoids in medicine. *Annu Rev Med* **57**:553–574.
- Dinh TP, Carpenter D, Leslie FM, Freund TF, Katona I, Sensi SL, Kathuria S, and Piomelli D (2002a) Brain monoglyceride lipase participating in endocannabinoid inactivation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **99**:10819–10824.
- Dinh TP, Freund TF, and Piomelli D (2002b) A role for monoglyceride lipase in 2-arachidonoylglycerol inactivation. *Chem Phys Lipids* **121**:149–158.
- Domenicali M, Ros J, Fernandez-Varo G, Cejudo-Martin P, Crespo M, Morales-Ruiz M, Briones AM, Campistol JM, Arroyo V, Vila E, et al. (2005) Increased anandamide induced relaxation in mesenteric arteries of cirrhotic rats: role of cannabinoid and vanilloid receptors. *Gut* **54**:522–527.
- Donovan M (1845) On the physical and medicinal qualities of Indian hemp (*Cannabis indica*). *Dublin J Med Sci* **26**:368–402.
- Drew LJ, Harris J, Mills PJ, Kendall DA, and Chapman V (2000) Activation of spinal cannabinoid 1 receptors inhibits C-fibre driven hyperexcitable neuronal responses and increases [³⁵S]GTP γ S binding in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord of noninflamed and inflamed rats. *Eur J Neurosci* **12**:2079–2086.
- Drnotta T, Greasley P, and Groblewski T (2004) inventors, AstraZeneca, assignee. Screening assays for cannabinoid-ligand-type modulators of GPR55. World Intellectual Property Organization patent application PCT/GB2004/000571. 2004 Sept 2.

- Drysdale AJ and Platt B (2003) Cannabinoids: mechanisms and therapeutic applications in the CNS. *Curr Med Chem* **10**:2719–2732.
- D'Souza DC, Abi-Saab WM, Madonick S, Forselius-Bielen K, Doersch A, Braley G, Georgieva R, Cooper TB, and Krystal JH (2005) Δ -9-Tetrahydrocannabinol effects in schizophrenia: implications for cognition, psychosis, and addiction. *Biol Psychiatry* **57**:594–608.
- D'Souza DC, Perry E, MacDougall L, Ammerman Y, Cooper T, Wu YT, Braley G, Georgieva R, and Krystal JH (2004) The psychotomimetic effects of intravenous Δ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol in healthy individuals: implications for psychosis. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **29**:1558–1572.
- Duncan GE and Dagirmanjian R (1979) Δ^9 -Tetrahydrocannabinol sensitization of the rat brain to direct cholinergic stimulation. *Psychopharmacology* **60**:237–240.
- Duncan M, Davison JS, and Sharkey KA (2005) Review article: endocannabinoids and their receptors in the enteric nervous system. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* **22**: 667–683.
- Dunn M and Davis R (1974) The perceived effects of marijuana on spinal cord injured males. *Paraplegia* **12**:175.
- Dyson A, Peacock M, Chen A, Courade JP, Yaqoob M, Groarke A, Brain C, Loong Y, and Fox A (2005) Antihyperalgesic properties of the cannabinoid CT-3 in chronic neuropathic and inflammatory pain states in the rat. *Pain* **116**:129–137.
- Economidou D, Mattioli L, Cifani C, Perfumi M, Massi M, Cuomo V, Trabace L, and Ciccocioppo R (2006) Effect of cannabinoid CB₁ receptor antagonist SR-141716A on ethanol self-administration and ethanol-seeking behavior in rats. *Psychopharmacology* **183**:394–403.
- Efird JT, Friedman GD, Sidney S, Klatsky R, Habel LA, Udaltsova NV, Van den Eeden S, and Nelson LM (2004) The risk for malignant primary adult-onset glioma in a large, multiethnic, managed-care cohort: cigarette smoking and other lifestyle behaviors. *J Neurooncol* **68**:57–69.
- Eljaschewitsch E, Witting A, Mawrin C, Lee T, Schmidt PM, Wolf S, Hoertnagl H, Raine CS, Schneider-Stock R, Nitsch R, et al. (2006) The endocannabinoid anandamide protects neurons during CNS inflammation by induction of MKP-1 in microglial cells. *Neuron* **49**:67–79.
- Ellert-Miklaszewska A, Kaminska B, and Konarska L (2005) Cannabinoids down-regulate PI3K/Akt and Erk signalling pathways and activate proapoptotic function of Bad protein. *Cell Signal* **17**:25–37.
- Ellison JM, Gelwan E, and Ogletree J (1990) Complex partial seizure symptoms affected by marijuana abuse. *J Clin Psychiatry* **51**:439–440.
- Elmes SJ, Jhaveri MD, Smart D, Kendall DA, and Chapman V (2004) Cannabinoid CB₂ receptor activation inhibits mechanically evoked responses of wide dynamic range dorsal horn neurons in naive rats and in rat models of inflammatory and neuropathic pain. *Eur J Neurosci* **20**:2311–2320.
- El-Remessy AB, Al-Shabraway M, Khalifa Y, Tsai NT, Caldwell RB, and Liou GI (2006) Neuroprotective and blood-retinal barrier-preserving effects of cannabidiol in experimental diabetes. *Am J Pathol* **168**:235–244.
- El-Remessy AB, Khalil IE, Matragoon S, Abou-Mohamed G, Tsai NJ, Roon P, Caldwell RB, Caldwell RW, Green K, and Liou GI (2003) Neuroprotective effect of (Δ)⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol and cannabidiol in *N*-methyl-D-aspartate-induced retinal neurotoxicity: involvement of peroxynitrite. *Am J Pathol* **163**:1997–2008.
- ElSohly MA, Harland E, Murphy JC, Wirth P, and Waller CW (1981) Cannabinoids in glaucoma: a primary screening procedure. *J Clin Pharmacol* **21**:472S–478S.
- ElSohly MA, Harland EC, Benigni DA, and Waller CW (1984) Cannabinoids in glaucoma. II: the effect of different cannabinoids on intraocular pressure of the rabbit. *Curr Eye Res* **3**:841–850.
- Emrich HM, Leweke FM, and Schneider U (1997) Towards a cannabinoid hypothesis of schizophrenia: cognitive impairments due to dysregulation of the endogenous cannabinoid system. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **56**:803–807.
- Engeli S, Bohnke J, Feldpausch M, Gorzelniak K, Janke J, B tkai S, Pacher P, Harvey-White J, Luft FC, Sharma AM, et al. (2005) Activation of the peripheral endocannabinoid system in human obesity. *Diabetes* **54**:2838–2843.
- Eriksen JL, Wszolek Z, and Petrucci L (2005) Molecular pathogenesis of Parkinson disease. *Arch Neurol* **62**:353–357.
- Eshhar N, Striem S, and Biegon A (1993) HU-211, a non-psychotropic cannabinoid, rescues cortical neurones from excitatory amino acid toxicity in culture. *Neuroreport* **5**:237–240.
- Eshhar N, Striem S, Kohen R, Tirosch O, and Biegon A (1995) Neuroprotective and antioxidant activities of HU-211, a novel NMDA receptor antagonist. *Eur J Pharmacol* **283**:19–29.
- Evgenov OV and Liaudet L (2005) Role of nitrosative stress and activation of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 in cardiovascular failure associated with septic and hemorrhagic shock. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol* **3**:293–299.
- Fabre LF and McLendon D (1981) The efficacy and safety of nabilone (a synthetic cannabinoid) in the treatment of anxiety. *J Clin Pharmacol* **21**:377S–382S.
- Fadel J and Deutch AY (2002) Anatomical substrates of orexin-dopamine interactions: lateral hypothalamic projections to the ventral tegmental area. *Neuroscience* **111**:379–387.
- Faden AI (2002) Neuroprotection and traumatic brain injury: theoretical option or realistic proposition. *Curr Opin Neurol* **15**:707–712.
- Fan P (1995) Cannabinoid agonists inhibit the activation of 5-HT₃ receptors in rat nodose ganglion neurons. *J Neurophysiol* **73**:907–910.
- Fan SF and Yazulla S (2003) Biphasic modulation of voltage-dependent currents of retinal cones by cannabinoid CB₁ receptor agonist WIN 55212-2. *Vis Neurosci* **20**:177–188.
- Fattore L, Deiana S, Spano SM, Cossu G, Fadda P, Scherma M, and Fratta W (2005) Endocannabinoid system and opioid addiction: behavioural aspects. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **81**:343–359.
- Fattore L, Martellotta MC, Cossu G, Mascia MS, and Fratta W (1999) CB₁ cannabinoid receptor agonist WIN 55,212-2 decreases cocaine self-administration in rats. *Behav Brain Res* **104**:141–146.
- Fegley D, Kathuria S, Mercier R, Li C, Goutopoulos A, Makriyannis A, and Piomelli D (2004) Anandamide transport is independent of fatty-acid amide hydrolase activity and is blocked by the hydrolysis-resistant inhibitor AM1172. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **101**:8756–8761.
- Felder CC, Joyce KE, Briley EM, Glass M, Mackie KP, Fahey KJ, Cullinan GJ, Hunden DC, Johnson DW, Chaney MO, et al. (1998) LY320135, a novel cannabinoid CB₁ receptor antagonist, unmasks coupling of the CB₁ receptor to stimulation of cAMP accumulation. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **284**:291–297.
- Felder CC, Joyce KE, Briley EM, Mansouri J, Mackie K, Blond O, Lai Y, Ma AL, and Mitchell RL (1995) Comparison of the pharmacology and signal transduction of the human cannabinoid CB₁ and CB₂ receptors. *Mol Pharmacol* **48**:443–450.
- Feinberg I, Jones R, Walker J, Cavness C, and Floyd T (1976) Effects of marijuana extract and tetrahydrocannabinol on electroencephalographic sleep patterns. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **19**:782–794.
- Feinberg I, Jones R, Walker JM, Cavness C, and March J (1975) Effects of high dosage Δ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol on sleep patterns in man. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **17**:458–466.
- Fernandez JR and Allison DB (2004) Rimonabant Sanofi-Synthelabo. *Curr Opin Investig Drugs* **5**:430–435.
- Fernandez-Espejo E, Caraballo I, de Fonseca FR, El Banoua F, Ferrer B, Flores JA, and Galan-Rodriguez B (2005) Cannabinoid CB₁ antagonists possess antiparkinsonian efficacy only in rats with very severe nigral lesion in experimental parkinsonism. *Neurobiol Dis* **18**:591–601.
- Fernandez-Rodriguez CM, Romero J, Petros TJ, Bradshaw H, Gasalla JM, Gutierrez ML, Lledo JL, Santander C, Fernandez TP, Tomas E, et al. (2004) Circulating endogenous cannabinoid anandamide and portal, systemic and renal hemodynamics in cirrhosis. *Liver Int* **24**:477–483.
- Fernandez-Ruiz J and Gonzalez S (2005) Cannabinoid control of motor function at the basal ganglia, in *Cannabinoids* (Pertwee R ed) pp 479–509, Springer, New York.
- Ferrer B, Asbrock N, Kathuria S, Piomelli D, and Giuffrida A (2003) Effects of levodopa on endocannabinoid levels in rat basal ganglia: implications for the treatment of levodopa-induced dyskinesias. *Eur J Neurosci* **18**:1607–1614.
- Fischer-Stenger K, Dove Pettit DA, Cabral GA, Fischer-Stenger K, Dove Pettit DA, and Cabral GA (1993) Δ -9-Tetrahydrocannabinol inhibition of tumor necrosis factor- α : suppression of post-translational events. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **267**:1558–1565.
- Fish BS and Consroe P (1983) The ontogeny of Δ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol responsiveness in the rabbit. *Dev Psychobiol* **16**:147–158.
- Fish BS, Consroe P, and Fox RR (1981) Inheritance of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol seizure susceptibility in rabbits. *J Hered* **72**:215–216.
- Foltin RW, Fishman MW, Pippen PA, and Kelly TH (1993) Behavioral effects of cocaine alone and in combination of ethanol and marijuana in humans. *Drug Alcohol Depend* **32**:93–106.
- Ford WR, Honan SA, White R, and Hiley CR (2002) Evidence of a novel site mediating anandamide-induced negative inotropic and coronary vasodilator responses in rat isolated hearts. *Br J Pharmacol* **135**:1191–1198.
- Forget B, Hamon M, and Thiebot MH (2005) Cannabinoid CB₁ receptors are involved in motivational effects of nicotine in rats. *Psychopharmacology* **181**:722–734.
- Formukong EA, Evans AT, and Evans FJ (1988) Analgesic and antiinflammatory activity of constituents of *Cannabis sativa* L. *Inflammation* **12**:361–371.
- Fowler CJ (2004) Oleamide: a member of the endocannabinoid family? *Br J Pharmacol* **141**:195–196.
- Fowler CJ, Jonsson KO, Andersson A, Juntunen J, Jarvinen T, Vandevoorde S, Lambert DM, Jerman JC, and Smart D (2003) Inhibition of C6 glioma cell proliferation by anandamide, 1-arachidonoylglycerol, and by a water soluble phosphate ester of anandamide: variability in response and involvement of arachidonic acid. *Biochem Pharmacol* **66**:757–767.
- Fowler CJ, Tiger G, Ligresti A, Lopez-Rodriguez ML, and Di Marzo V (2004) Selective inhibition of anandamide cellular uptake versus enzymatic hydrolysis—a difficult issue to handle. *Eur J Pharmacol* **492**:1–11.
- Fox A and Bevan S (2005) Therapeutic potential of cannabinoid receptor agonists as analgesic agents. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs* **14**:695–703.
- Fox A, Kessingland A, Gentry C, McNair K, Patel S, Urban L, and James I (2001) The role of central and peripheral cannabinoid receptors in the antihyperalgesic activity of cannabinoids in a model of neuropathic pain. *Pain* **92**:91–100.
- Fox P, Bain PG, Glickman S, Carroll C, and Zajicek J (2004) The effect of cannabis on tremor in patients with multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* **62**:1105–1109.
- Fox SH, Henry B, Hill M, Crossman A, and Brochie J (2002a) Stimulation of cannabinoid receptors reduces levodopa-induced dyskinesia in the MPTP-lesioned nonhuman primate model of Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* **17**:1180–1187.
- Fox SH, Kellett M, Moore AP, Crossman AR, and Brochie JM (2002b) Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to assess the potential of cannabinoid receptor stimulation in the treatment of dystonia. *Mov Disord* **17**:145–149.
- Freedland CS, Poston JS, and Porrino LJ (2000) Effects of SR141716A, a central cannabinoid receptor antagonist, on food-maintained responding. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **67**:265–270.
- Freedland CS, Sharpe AL, Samson HH, and Porrino LJ (2001) Effects of SR141716A on ethanol and sucrose self-administration. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* **25**:277–282.
- Freedland CS, Whitlow CT, Smith HR, and Porrino LJ (2003) Functional consequences of the acute administration of the cannabinoid receptor antagonist, SR141716A, in cannabinoid-naive and -tolerant animals: a quantitative ²-[¹⁴C]deoxyglucose study. *Brain Res* **962**:169–179.
- Freeman FR (1972) Effects of marijuana on sleeping states. *J Am Med Assoc* **220**:1364–1365.
- Freeman FR (1982) The effect of chronically administered Δ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol upon the polygraphically monitored sleep of normal volunteers. *Drug Alcohol Depend* **10**:345–353.
- French ED (1997) Δ^9 -Tetrahydrocannabinol excites rat VTA dopamine neurons through activation of cannabinoid CB₁ but not opioid receptors. *Neurosci Lett* **226**:159–162.
- Freund TF, Katona I, and Piomelli D (2003) Role of endogenous cannabinoids in synaptic signaling. *Physiol Rev* **83**:1017–1066.

- Fride E (2004) The endocannabinoid-CB₁ receptor system during gestation and postnatal development. *Eur J Pharmacol* **500**:289–297.
- Fride E, Bregman T, and Kirkham TC (2005) Endocannabinoids and food intake: newborn suckling and appetite regulation in adulthood. *Exp Biol Med* **230**:225–234.
- Fride E, Foox A, Rosenberg E, Faigenboim M, Cohen V, Barda L, Blau H, and Mechoulam R (2003) Milk intake and survival in newborn cannabinoid CB₁ receptor knockout mice: evidence for a "CB3" receptor. *Eur J Pharmacol* **461**:27–34.
- Fride E and Mechoulam R (1993) Pharmacological activity of the cannabinoid receptor agonist, anandamide, a brain constituent. *Eur J Pharmacol* **231**:313–314.
- Fride E and Shohami E (2002) The endocannabinoid system: function in survival of the embryo, the newborn and the neuron. *Neuroreport* **13**:1833–1841.
- Friedman H, Klein TW, Newton C, and Daaka Y (1995) Marijuana, receptors and immunomodulation. *Adv Exp Med Biol* **373**:103–113.
- Fu J, Gaetani S, Oveisi F, Lo Verme J, Serrano A, Rodriguez de Fonseca F, Rosengarth A, Luecke H, Di Giacomo B, Tarzia G, et al. (2003) Oleylethanolamide regulates feeding and body weight through activation of the nuclear receptor PPAR- α . *Nature (Lond)* **425**:90–93.
- Gabbay E, Avraham Y, Ilan Y, Israeli E, and Berry EM (2005) Endocannabinoids and liver disease—review. *Liver Int* **25**:921–926.
- Gallate JE, Saharav T, Mallet PE, and McGregor IS (1999) Increased motivation for beer in rats following administration of a cannabinoid CB₁ receptor agonist. *Eur J Pharmacol* **370**:233–240.
- Gallily R, Breuer A, and Mechoulam R (2000) 2-Arachidonylglycerol, an endogenous cannabinoid, inhibits tumor necrosis factor- α production in murine macrophages, and in mice. *Eur J Pharmacol* **406**:R5–R7.
- Galve-Roperh I, Rueda D, Gómez Del Pulgar T, Velasco G, and Guzman M (2002) Mechanism of extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation by the CB₁ cannabinoid receptor. *Mol Pharmacol* **62**:1385–1392.
- Galve-Roperh I, Sanchez C, Cortes ML, del Pulgar TG, Izquierdo M, and Guzman M (2000) Anti-tumoral action of cannabinoids: involvement of sustained ceramide accumulation and extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation. *Nat Med* **6**:313–319.
- Gamber KM, MacArthur H, and Westfall TC (2005) Cannabinoids augment the release of neuropeptide Y in the rat hypothalamus. *Neuropharmacology* **49**:646–652.
- Gambi F, De Berardis D, Sepede G, Quartesan R, Calcagni E, Salerno RM, Conti CM, and Ferro FM (2005) Cannabinoid receptors and their relationships with neuropsychiatric disorders. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol* **18**:15–19.
- Gammon CM, Freeman GM Jr, Xie W, Petersen SL, and Wetsel WC (2005) Regulation of gonadotropin-releasing hormone secretion by cannabinoids. *Endocrinology* **146**:4491–4499.
- Gaoni Y and Mechoulam R (1964) Isolation, structure and partial synthesis of an active constituent of hashish. *J Am Chem Soc* **86**:1646–1647.
- Garcia N Jr, Jarai Z, Mirshahi F, Kunos G, and Sanyal AJ (2001) Systemic and portal hemodynamic effects of anandamide. *Am J Physiol* **280**:G14–G20.
- Gardiner SM, March JE, Kemp PA, and Bennett T (2001) Regional haemodynamic responses to the cannabinoid agonist, WIN 55212-2, in conscious, normotensive rats, and in hypertensive, transgenic rats. *Br J Pharmacol* **133**:445–453.
- Gardiner SM, March JE, Kemp PA, and Bennett T (2002a) Complex regional haemodynamic effects of anandamide in conscious rats. *Br J Pharmacol* **135**:1889–1896.
- Gardiner SM, March JE, Kemp PA, and Bennett T (2002b) Influence of the CB₁ receptor antagonist, AM 251, on the regional haemodynamic effects of WIN-55212-2 or HU 210 in conscious rats. *Br J Pharmacol* **136**:581–587.
- Gardiner SM, March JE, Kemp PA, and Bennett T (2005) Involvement of CB₁-receptors and β -adrenoceptors in the regional hemodynamic responses to lipopoly-saccharide infusion in conscious rats. *Am J Physiol* **288**:H2280–H2288.
- Gardner EL (2005) Endocannabinoid signaling system and brain reward: emphasis on dopamine. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **81**:263–284.
- Gardner EL, Paredes W, Smith D, Donner A, Milling C, Cohen D, and Morrison D (1988) Facilitation of brain stimulation reward by 9-tetrahydrocannabinol. Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **96**:142–144.
- Gareau Y, Dufresne C, Gallant M, Rochette C, Sawyer N, Slipetz DM, Tremblay N, Weech PK, Metters KM, and Labelle M (1996) Structure activity relationships of tetrahydrocannabinol analogues on human cannabinoid receptors. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* **6**:189–194.
- Gaskari SA, Liu H, Moezi L, Li Y, Baik SK, and Lee SS (2005) Role of endocannabinoids in the pathogenesis of cirrhotic cardiomyopathy in bile duct-ligated rats. *Br J Pharmacol* **146**:315–323.
- Gatley SJ, Lan R, Pyatt B, Gifford AN, Volkow ND, and Makriyannis A (1997) Binding of the non-classical cannabinoid CP 55,940, and the diarylpyrazole AM251 to rodent brain cannabinoid receptors. *Life Sci* **61**:191–197.
- Gebremedhin D, Lange AR, Campbell WB, Hillard CJ, and Harder DR (1999) Cannabinoid CB₁ receptor of cat cerebral arterial muscle functions to inhibit L-type Ca²⁺ channel current. *Am J Physiol* **266**:H2085–H2093.
- Gelinas D, Miller R, and Abood M (2002) A pilot study of safety and tolerability of Δ 9-THC (Marinol) treatment for ALS. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Other Motor Neuron Disord* **3**:23.
- Genn RF, Tucci S, Marco E, Viveros MP, and File SE (2003) Anxiolytic and anxiogenic effects of the cannabinoid agonist CP 55,940 in animal tests of anxiety. *J Psychopharmacology* **17**:A27.
- Genn RF, Tucci S, Marco EM, Viveros MP, and File SE (2004) Unconditioned and conditioned anxiogenic effects of the cannabinoid receptor agonist CP 55,940 in the social interaction test. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **77**:567–573.
- Gentleman SM, Leclercq PD, Moyes L, Graham DI, Smith C, Griffin WS, and Nicoll JA (2004) Long-term intracerebral inflammatory response after traumatic brain injury. *Forensic Sci Int* **146**:97–104.
- George SR, Fan T, Roldan L, and Naranjo CA (1990) Corticotropin-releasing factor is altered in brains of animals with high preference for ethanol. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* **14**:425–429.
- Gerdeman G and Lovinger DM (2001) CB₁ cannabinoid receptor inhibits synaptic release of glutamate in rat dorsolateral striatum. *J Neurophysiol* **85**:468–471.
- Gerdeman GL and Lovinger DM (2003) Emerging roles for endocannabinoids in long-term synaptic plasticity. *Br J Pharmacol* **140**:781–789.
- Gerdeman GL, Partridge JG, Lupica CR, and Lovinger DM (2003) It could be habit forming: drugs of abuse and striatal synaptic plasticity. *Trends Neurosci* **26**:184–192.
- Gerdeman GL, Ronesi J, and Lovinger DM (2002) Postsynaptic endocannabinoid release is critical to long-term depression in the striatum. *Nat Neurosci* **5**:446–451.
- Germain N, Boichot E, Advenier C, Berdyshev EV, and Lagente V (2002) Effect of the cannabinoid receptor ligand, WIN 55,212-2, on superoxide anion and TNF- α production by human mononuclear cells. *Int Immunopharmacol* **2**:537–543.
- Germano MP, D'Angelo V, Mondello MR, Pergolizzi S, Capasso F, Capasso R, Izzo AA, Mascolo N, and De Pasquale R (2001) Cannabinoid CB₁-mediated inhibition of stress-induced gastric ulcers in rats. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol* **363**:241–244.
- Gessa GL, Orru A, Lai P, Maccioni P, Lecca R, Lobina C, Carai MA, and Colombo G (2006) Lack of tolerance to the suppressing effect of rimonabant on chocolate intake in rats. *Psychopharmacology* **185**:248–254.
- Ghozland S, Matthes HW, Simonin F, Filliol D, Kieffer BL, and Maldonado R (2002) Motivational effects of cannabinoids are mediated by μ -opioid and κ -opioid receptors. *J Neurosci* **22**:1146–1154.
- Gifford AN and Ashby CR Jr (1996) Electrically evoked acetylcholine release from hippocampal slices is inhibited by the cannabinoid receptor agonist, WIN 55212-2, and is potentiated by the cannabinoid antagonist, SR 141716A. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **277**:1431–1436.
- Gilgun-Sherki Y, Melamed E, Mechoulam R, and Offen D (2003) The CB₁ cannabinoid receptor agonist, HU-210, reduces levodopa-induced rotations in 6-hydroxy-dopamine-lesioned rats. *Pharmacol Toxicol* **93**:66–70.
- Gingold AR and Bergasa NV (2003) The cannabinoid agonist WIN 55,212-2 increases nociception threshold in cholestatic rats: implications for the treatment of the pruritus of cholestasis. *Life Sci* **73**:2741–2747.
- Giros B, Jaber M, Jones SR, Wightman RM, and Caron MG (1996) Hyperlocomotion and indifference to cocaine and amphetamine in mice lacking the dopamine transporter. *Nature (Lond)* **379**:606–612.
- Giuffrida A, Lewke FM, Gerth CW, Schreiber D, Koethe D, Faulhaber J, Klosterkotter J, and Piomelli D (2004) Cerebrospinal anandamide levels are elevated in acute schizophrenia and are inversely correlated with psychotic symptoms. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **29**:2108–2114.
- Giuffrida A, Parsons LH, Kerr TM, Rodriguez de Fonseca F, Navarro M, and Piomelli D (1999) Dopamine activation of endogenous cannabinoid signaling in dorsal striatum. *Nat Neurosci* **2**:358–363.
- Giuliani D, Ferrari F, and Ottani A (2000) The cannabinoid agonist HU210 modifies behavioural responses to novelty and stress. *Pharmacol Res* **41**:45–51.
- Glaser ST, Abumrad NA, Fatade F, Kaczocha M, Studholme KM, and Deutsch DG (2003) Evidence against the presence of an anandamide transporter. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **100**:4269–4274.
- Glaser ST, Kaczocha M, and Deutsch DG (2005) Anandamide transport: a critical review. *Life Sci* **77**:1584–1604.
- Glass M (2001) The role of cannabinoids in neurodegenerative diseases. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* **25**:743–765.
- Glass M, Brothie JM, and Maneuf YP (1997a) Modulation of neurotransmission by cannabinoids in the basal ganglia. *Eur J Neurosci* **9**:199–203.
- Glass M, Dragunow M, and Faull RL (1997b) Cannabinoid receptors in the human brain: a detailed anatomical and quantitative autoradiographic study in the fetal, neonatal and adult human brain. *Neuroscience* **77**:299–318.
- Glass M, Dragunow M, and Faull RL (2000) The pattern of neurodegeneration in Huntington's disease: a comparative study of cannabinoid, dopamine, adenosine and GABA(A) receptor alterations in the human basal ganglia in Huntington's disease. *Neuroscience* **97**:505–519.
- Glass M, Faull RL, and Dragunow M (1993) Loss of cannabinoid receptors in the substantia nigra in Huntington's disease. *Neuroscience* **56**:523–527.
- Glass M and Felder CC (1997) Concurrent stimulation of cannabinoid CB₁ and dopamine D₂ receptors augments cAMP accumulation in striatal neurons: evidence for a G_s linkage to the CB₁ receptor. *J Neurosci* **17**:5327–5333.
- Glass M and Northup JK (1999) Agonist selective regulation of G proteins by cannabinoid CB₁ and CB₂ receptors. *Mol Pharmacol* **56**:1362–1369.
- Glass M, van Dellen A, Blakemore C, Hannan AJ, and Faull RL (2004) Delayed onset of Huntington's disease in mice in an enriched environment correlates with delayed loss of cannabinoid CB₁ receptors. *Neuroscience* **123**:207–212.
- Glass RM, Uhlenhuth EH, Hartel FW, Schuster CR, and Fischman MW (1980) A single dose study of nabilone, a synthetic cannabinoid. *Psychopharmacology* **71**:137–142.
- Gobbi G, Bambico FR, Mangieri R, Bortolato M, Campolongo P, Solinas M, Cassano T, Morgese MG, Debonnel G, Duranti A, et al. (2005) Antidepressant-like activity and modulation of brain monoaminergic transmission by blockade of anandamide hydrolysis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **102**:18620–18625.
- Godino MC, Torres M, and Sanchez-Prieto J (2005) Inhibition of N- and P/Q-type Ca²⁺ channels by cannabinoid receptors in single cerebrocortical nerve terminals. *FEBS Lett* **579**:768–772.
- Godlewski G, Malinowska B, and Schlicker E (2004) Presynaptic cannabinoid CB₁ receptors are involved in the inhibition of the neurogenic vasopressor response during septal shock in pithed rats. *Br J Pharmacol* **142**:701–708.
- Gómez Del Pulgar T, De Ceballos ML, Guzman M, and Velasco G (2002a) Cannabinoids protect astrocytes from ceramide-induced apoptosis through the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/protein kinase B pathway. *J Biol Chem* **277**:36527–36533.
- Gómez Del Pulgar T, Velasco G, and Guzman M (2000) The CB₁ cannabinoid receptor is coupled to the activation of protein kinase B/Akt. *Biochem J* **347**:369–373.
- Gómez Del Pulgar T, Velasco G, Sanchez C, Haro A, and Guzman M (2002b) De

- novo-synthesized ceramide is involved in cannabinoid-induced apoptosis. *Biochem J* **363**:183–188.
- Gomez R, Navarro M, Ferrer B, Trigo JM, Bilbao A, Del Arco I, Cippitelli A, Nava F, Piomelli D, and Rodriguez de Fonseca F (2002) A peripheral mechanism for CB1 cannabinoid receptor-dependent modulation of feeding. *J Neurosci* **22**:9612–9617.
- Gong J-P, Onaivi ES, Ishiguro H, Liu Q-R, Tagliaferro PA, Brusco A, and Uhl GR (2006) Cannabinoid CB2 receptors: immunohistochemical localization in rat brain. *Brain Res* **1071**:10–23.
- Gonsiorek W, Lunn C, Fan X, Narula S, Lundell D, and Hipkin RW (2000) Endocannabinoid 2-arachidonyl glycerol is a full agonist through human type 2 cannabinoid receptor: antagonism by anandamide. *Mol Pharmacol* **57**:1045–1050.
- Gonzalez S, Cascio MG, Fernandez-Ruiz J, Sparpaglione V, Parolaro D, and Ramos JA (2002) Changes in endocannabinoid content in the brain of rats chronically exposed to nicotine, ethanol or cocaine. *Brain Res* **954**:73–81.
- Gonzalez S, Mena MA, Lastres-Becker I, Serrano A, de Yébenes JG, Ramos JA, and Fernandez-Ruiz J (2005) Cannabinoid CB₁ receptors in the basal ganglia and motor response to activation or blockade of these receptors in parkin-null mice. *Brain Res* **1046**:195–206.
- Gonzalez S, Scorticati C, Garcia-Arencibia M, de Miguel R, Ramos JA, and Fernandez-Ruiz J (2006) Effects of rimonabant, a selective cannabinoid CB₁ receptor antagonist, in a rat model of Parkinson's disease. *Brain Res* **1073–1074**:209–219.
- Goparaju SK, Ueda N, Taniguchi K, and Yamamoto S (1999) Enzymes of porcine brain hydrolyzing 2-arachidonoylglycerol, an endogenous ligand of cannabinoid receptors. *Biochem Pharmacol* **57**:417–423.
- Goparaju SK, Ueda N, Yamaguchi H, and Yamamoto S (1998) Anandamide amidohydrolase reacting with 2-arachidonoylglycerol, another cannabinoid receptor ligand. *FEBS Lett* **422**:69–73.
- Gordon E and Devinsky O (2001) Alcohol and marijuana: effects on epilepsy and use by patients with epilepsy. *Epilepsia* **42**:1266–1272.
- Gorelick DA, Heishman SJ, Preston KL, Nelson RA, Moolchan ET, and Huestis MA (2006) The cannabinoid CB1 receptor antagonist rimonabant attenuates the hypotensive effect of smoked marijuana in male smokers. *Am Heart J* **151**:754.e1–754.e5.
- Gorter R, Seefried M, and Volberding P (1992) Dronabinol effects on weight in patients with HIV infection. *AIDS* **6**:127.
- Goutopoulos A, Fan P, Khanolkar AD, Xie XQ, Lin S, and Makriyannis A (2001) Stereochemical selectivity of methanandamides for the CB1 and CB2 cannabinoid receptors and their metabolic stability. *Bioorg Med Chem* **9**:1673–1684.
- Green K, Bigger JF, Kim K, and Bowman K (1977a) Cannabinoid action on the eye as mediated through the central nervous system and local adrenergic activity. *Exp Eye Res* **24**:189–196.
- Green K, Bigger JF, Kim K, and Bowman K (1977b) Cannabinoid penetration and chronic effects in the eye. *Exp Eye Res* **24**:197–205.
- Green K, Kearse EC, and McIntyre OL (2001) Interaction between Δ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol and indomethacin. *Ophthalmic Res* **33**:217–220.
- Green K and Pederson JE (1973) Effect of 1-tetrahydrocannabinol on aqueous dynamics and ciliary body permeability in the rabbit. *Exp Eye Res* **15**:499–507.
- Green K and Podos SM (1974) Antagonism of arachidonic acid-induced ocular effects by Δ^1 -tetrahydrocannabinol. *Investig Ophthalmol* **13**:422–429.
- Greenberg HS, Werness SA, Pugh JE, Andrus RO, Anderson DJ, and Domino EF (1994) Short-term effects of smoking marijuana on balance in patients with multiple sclerosis and normal volunteers. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **55**:324–328.
- Greenberg I, Kuehnle J, Mendelson JH, and Bernstein JG (1976) Effects of marijuana use on body weight and energy intake in humans. *Psychopharmacology* **49**:79–84.
- Greengard P (2001) The neurobiology of slow synaptic transmission. *Science (Wash DC)* **294**:1024–1030.
- Grenard P, Julien B, Tran Van Nhieu J, Li L, Ledent C, Mallat A, and Lotersztajn S (2004) Reduced fibrosis in mice invalidated for CB₁ receptor, in *Proceedings of the 2004 Symposium on the Cannabinoids*; 2004 June 22–27; Peastum, Italy. p 60, International Cannabinoid Research Society, Burlington, VT.
- Grigorenko E, Kittler J, Clayton C, Wallace D, Zhuang S, Bridges D, Bunday S, Boon A, Pagget C, Hayashizaki S, et al. (2002) Assessment of cannabinoid induced gene changes: tolerance and neuroprotection. *Chem Phys Lipids* **121**:257–266.
- Grimaldi C, Pisanti S, Lazea C, Malfitano AM, Santoro A, Vitale M, Caruso MG, Notarnicola M, Iacuzzo I, Portella G, et al. (2006) Anandamide inhibits adhesion and migration of breast cancer cells. *Exp Cell Res* **312**:363–373.
- Grinspoon L and Bakalar JB (1993) The history of cannabis, in *Marihuana: The Forbidden Medicine*, pp 1–23, Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.
- Grinspoon L and Bakalar JB (1998) The use of cannabis as a mood stabilizer in bipolar disorder: anecdotal evidence and the need for clinical research. *J Psychoact Drugs* **30**:171–177.
- Grotenhermen F (2003) Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of cannabinoids. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **42**:327–360.
- Grotenhermen F (2004) Pharmacology of cannabinoids. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett* **25**:14–23.
- Grufferman S, Schwartz AG, Ruymann FB, and Maurer HM (1993) Parents' use of cocaine and marijuana and increased risk of rhabdomyosarcoma in their children. *Cancer Causes Control* **4**:217–224.
- Grundy RI (2002) The therapeutic potential of the cannabinoids in neuroprotection. *Expert Opin Invest Drugs* **11**:1365–1374.
- Gubellini P, Picconi B, Bari M, Battista N, Calabresi P, Centonze D, Bernardi G, Finazzi-Agro A, and Maccarrone M (2002) Experimental parkinsonism alters endocannabinoid degradation: implications for striatal glutamatergic transmission. *J Neurosci* **22**:6900–6907.
- Guagnini F, Valenti M, Mukenge S, Matias I, Bianchetti A, Di Palo S, Ferla G, Di Marzo V, and Croci T (2006) Neural contractions in colonic strips from patients with diverticulosis: role of endocannabinoids and substance P. *Gut* **55**:946–953.
- Guimaraes FS, Chiaretti TM, Graeff FG, and Zuardi AW (1990) Anxiolytic effect of cannabidiol in the elevated plus-maze. *Psychopharmacology* **100**:558–559.
- Guimaraes FS, de Aguiar JC, Mechoulam R, and Breuer A (1994) Anxiolytic effect of cannabidiol derivatives in the elevated plus-maze. *Gen Pharmacol* **25**:161–164.
- Guindon J and Beaulieu P (2006) Antihyperalgesic effects of local injections of anandamide, ibuprofen, rofecoxib and their combinations in a model of neuropathic pain. *Neuropharmacology* **50**:814–823.
- Guindon J, De Lean A, and Beaulieu P (2006) Local interactions between anandamide, an endocannabinoid, and ibuprofen, a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug, in acute and inflammatory pain. *Pain* **121**:85–93.
- Gulyas AI, Cravatt BF, Bracey MH, Dinh TP, Piomelli D, Boscia F, and Freund TF (2004) Segregation of two endocannabinoid-hydrolyzing enzymes into pre- and postsynaptic compartments in the rat hippocampus, cerebellum and amygdala. *Eur J Neurosci* **20**:441–458.
- Guo J and Ikeda SR (2004) Endocannabinoids modulate N-type calcium channels and G-protein-coupled inwardly rectifying potassium channels via CB1 cannabinoid receptors heterologously expressed in mammalian neurons. *Mol Pharmacol* **65**:665–674.
- Guo Y, Wang H, Okamoto Y, Ueda N, Kingsley PJ, Marnett LJ, Schmid HH, Das SK, and Dey SK (2005) N-Acylphosphatidylethanolamine-hydrolyzing phospholipase D is an important determinant of uterine anandamide levels during implantation. *J Biol Chem* **280**:23429–23432.
- Guzmán M (2003) Cannabinoids: potential anticancer agents. *Nat Rev Cancer* **3**:745–755.
- Guzmán M, Sanchez C, and Galve-Roperh I (2002) Cannabinoids and cell fate. *Pharmacol Ther* **95**:175–184.
- Hajos N and Freund TF (2002) Pharmacological separation of cannabinoid sensitive receptors on hippocampal excitatory and inhibitory fibers. *Neuropharmacology* **43**:503–510.
- Hajos N, Ledent C, and Freund TF (2001) Novel cannabinoid-sensitive receptor mediates inhibition of glutamatergic synaptic transmission in the hippocampus. *Neuroscience* **106**:1–4.
- Halikas JA, Goodwin DW, and Guze SB (1972) Marihuana use and psychiatric illness. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **27**:162–165.
- Hall W and Solowij N (1998) Adverse effects of cannabis. *Lancet* **352**:1611–1616.
- Hall W, Christie M, and Currow D (2005) Cannabinoids and cancer: causation, remediation, and palliation. *Lancet Oncol* **6**:35–42.
- Hall W, Degenhardt L, and Teesson M (2004) Cannabis use and psychotic disorders: an update. *Drug Alcohol Rev* **23**:433–443.
- Haller J, Bakos N, Szirmay M, Ledent C, and Freund TF (2002) The effects of genetic and pharmacological blockade of the CB1 cannabinoid receptor on anxiety. *Eur J Neurosci* **16**:1395–1398.
- Haller J, Varga B, Ledent C, Barna I, and Freund TF (2004a) Context-dependent effects of CB1 cannabinoid gene disruption on anxiety-like and social behaviour in mice. *Eur J Neurosci* **19**:1906–1912.
- Haller J, Varga B, Ledent C, and Freund TF (2004b) CB1 cannabinoid receptors mediate anxiolytic effects: convergent genetic and pharmacological evidence with CB1-specific agents. *Behav Pharmacol* **15**:299–304.
- Hamann W and di Vadi PP (1999) Analgesic effect of the cannabinoid analogue nabilone is not mediated by opioid receptors. *Lancet* **353**:560.
- Hampson AJ, Grimaldi M, Axelrod J, and Wink D (1998) Cannabidiol and (Δ)-9-tetrahydrocannabinol are neuroprotective antioxidants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **95**:8268–8273.
- Hampson AJ, Grimaldi M, Lolic M, Wink D, Rosenthal R, and Axelrod J (2000a) Neuroprotective antioxidants from marijuana. *Ann NY Acad Sci* **899**:274–282.
- Hampson RE, Mu J, and Deadwyler SA (2000b) Cannabinoid and κ opioid receptors reduce potassium K current via activation of G_s proteins in cultured hippocampal neurons. *J Neurophysiol* **84**:2356–2364.
- Hansen HH, Ikonomidou C, Bittigau P, Hansen SH, and Hansen HS (2001a) Accumulation of the anandamide precursor and other N-acyl ethanolamine phospholipids in infant rat models of in vivo necrotic and apoptotic neuronal death. *J Neurochem* **76**:39–46.
- Hansen HH, Schmid PC, Bittigau P, Lastres-Becker I, Berrendero F, Manzanares J, Ikonomidou C, Schmid HH, Fernandez-Ruiz JJ, and Hansen HS (2001b) Anandamide, but not 2-arachidonoylglycerol, accumulates during in vivo neurodegeneration. *J Neurochem* **78**:1415–1427.
- Hansson AC, Bermudez-Silva FJ, Malinen H, Hyytiä P, Sanchez-Vera I, Rimondini R, Rodriguez de Fonseca F, Kunos G, Sommer WH, and Heilig M (2006) Genetic impairment of frontocortical endocannabinoid degradation and high alcohol preference. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, in press.
- Hanus L, Abu-Lafi S, Fride E, Breuer A, Vogel Z, Shalev DE, Kustanovich I, and Mechoulam R (2001) 2-Arachidonoyl glyceryl ether, an endogenous agonist of the cannabinoid CB1 receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **98**:3662–3665.
- Hanus L, Breuer A, Tchilibon S, Shiloah S, Goldenberg D, Horowitz M, Pertwee RG, Ross RA, Mechoulam R, and Fride E (1999) HU-308: a specific agonist for CB₂, a peripheral cannabinoid receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **96**:14228–14233.
- Hao S, Avraham Y, Mechoulam R, and Berry EM (2000) Low dose anandamide affects food intake, cognitive function, neurotransmitter and corticosterone levels in diet-restricted mice. *Eur J Pharmacol* **392**:147–156.
- Harris GC, Wimmer M, and Aston-Jones G (2005) A role for lateral hypothalamic orexin neurons in reward seeking. *Nature (London)* **437**:556–559.
- Harrold JA, Elliott JC, King PJ, Widdowson PS, and Williams G (2002) Downregulation of cannabinoid-1 (CB-1) receptors in specific extrahypothalamic regions of rats with dietary obesity: a role for endogenous cannabinoids in driving appetite for palatable food? *Brain Res* **952**:232–238.
- Harrold JA and Williams G (2003) The cannabinoid system: a role in both the homeostatic and hedonic control of eating? *Br J Nutr* **90**:729–734.
- Hart S, Fischer OM, and Ullrich A (2004) Cannabinoids induce cancer cell proliferation via tumor necrosis factor α -converting enzyme (TACE/ADAM17)-mediated transactivation of the epidermal growth factor receptor. *Cancer Res* **64**:1943–1950.
- Hartley JP, Nogrady SG, and Seaton A (1978) Bronchodilator effect of Δ^1 -tetrahydrocannabinol. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* **5**:523–525.

- Hattori N and Mizuno Y (2004) Pathogenetic mechanisms of parkin in Parkinson's disease. *Lancet* **364**:722–724.
- Hayakawa K, Mishima K, Abe K, Hasebe N, Takamatsu F, Yasuda H, Ikeda T, Inui K, Egashira N, Iwasaki K, et al. (2004) Cannabidiol prevents infarction via the non-CB1 cannabinoid receptor mechanism. *Neuroreport* **15**:2381–2385.
- Hayase T, Yamamoto Y, and Yamamoto K (2001a) Protective effects of cannabinoid receptor agonists against cocaine and other convulsant-induced toxic behavioural symptoms. *J Pharm Pharmacol* **53**:1525–1532.
- Hayase T, Yamamoto Y, and Yamamoto K (2001b) Protective effects of cannabinoid receptor ligands analogous to anandamide against cocaine toxicity. *Nihon Arukoru Yakubutsu Igakkai Zasshi* **36**:596–608.
- Hedlund PB, Carson MJ, Sutcliffe JG, and Thomas EA (1999) Allosteric regulation by oleamide of the binding properties of 5-hydroxytryptamine₇ receptors. *Biochem Pharmacol* **58**:1807–1813.
- Heilig M, Soderpalm B, Engel JA, and Viderlow E (1989) Centrally administered neuropeptide Y (NPY) produces anxiolytic-like effects in animal anxiety models. *Psychopharmacology* **98**:524–529.
- Hensen B (2005) Cannabinoid therapeutics: high hopes for the future. *Drug Discov Today* **10**:459–462.
- Hepler RS, Frank IM, and Petrus R (1976) Ocular effects of marijuana, in *Pharmacology of Cannabis* (Braude M and Szara S eds) Raven, New York.
- Hepler RS and Frank IR (1971) Marijuana smoking and intraocular pressure. *J Am Med Assoc* **217**:1392.
- Hepler RS, Frank IM, and Ungerleider JT (1972) Pupillary constriction after marijuana smoking. *Am J Ophthalmol* **74**:1185–1190.
- Herkenham M, Groen BG, Lynn AB, De Costa BR, and Richfield EK (1991a) Neuronal localization of cannabinoid receptors and second messengers in mutant mouse cerebellum. *Brain Res* **552**:301–310.
- Herkenham M, Lynn AB, Johnson MR, Melvin LS, de Costa BR, and Rice KC (1991b) Characterization and localization of cannabinoid receptors in rat brain: a quantitative in vitro autoradiographic study. *J Neurosci* **11**:563–583.
- Herkenham M, Lynn AB, Little MD, Johnson MR, Melvin LS, de Costa BR, and Rice KC (1990) Cannabinoid receptor localization in brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **87**:1932–1936.
- Hermann H and Lutz B (2005) Coexpression of the cannabinoid receptor type 1 with the corticotrophin-releasing hormone receptor type 1 in distinct regions of the adult mouse forebrain. *Neurosci Lett* **375**:13–18.
- Herrera B, Carracedo A, Diez-Zaera M, Gomez Del Pulgar T, Guzman M, and Velasco G (2006) The CB2 cannabinoid receptor signals apoptosis via ceramide-dependent activation of the mitochondrial intrinsic pathway. *Exp Cell Res* **312**:2121–2131.
- Herrera B, Carracedo A, Diez-Zaera M, Guzman M, and Velasco G (2005) p38 MAPK is involved in CB2 receptor-induced apoptosis of human leukaemia cells. *FEBS Lett* **579**:5084–5088.
- Herzberg U, Eliav E, Bennett GJ, and Kopin IJ (1997) The analgesic effects of R(+)-WIN 55,212-2 mesylate a high affinity cannabinoid agonist, in a rat model of neuropathic pain. *Neurosci Lett* **221**:157–160.
- Hezode C, Roudot-Thoraval F, Nguyen S, Grenard P, Julien B, Zafrani ES, Pawlostky JM, Dhumeaux D, Lotersztajn S, and Mallat A (2005) Daily cannabis smoking as a risk factor for progression of fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* **42**:63–71.
- Hilairt S, Bouaboula M, Carriere D, Le Fur G, and Casellas P (2003) Hypersensitization of the Orexin 1 receptor by the CB1 receptor: evidence for cross-talk blocked by the specific CB1 antagonist, SR141716. *J Biol Chem* **278**:23731–23737.
- Hildebrandt AL, Kelly-Sullivan DM, and Black SC (2003) Antiobesity effects of chronic cannabinoid CB₁ receptor antagonist treatment in diet-induced obese mice. *Eur J Pharmacol* **462**:125–132.
- Hiley CR and Ford WR (2003) Endocannabinoids as mediators in the heart: a potential target for therapy of remodelling after myocardial infarction? *Br J Pharmacol* **138**:1183–1184.
- Hiley CR and Ford WR (2004) Cannabinoid pharmacology in the cardiovascular system: potential protective mechanisms through lipid signaling. *Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc* **79**:187–205.
- Hill MN, Ho WS, Sinopoli KJ, Viau V, Hillard CJ, and Gorzalka BB (2006) Involvement of the endocannabinoid system in the ability of long-term tricyclic antidepressant treatment to suppress stress-induced activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, in press.
- Hill MN, Patel S, Carrier EJ, Rademacher DJ, Ormerod BK, Hillard CJ, and Gorzalka BB (2005) Downregulation of endocannabinoid signaling in the hippocampus following chronic unpredictable stress. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **30**:508–515.
- Hillard CJ (2000) Endocannabinoids and vascular function. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **294**:27–32.
- Hillard CJ and Jarrahian A (2000) The movement of N-arachidonolethanolamide (anandamide) across cellular membranes. *Chem Phys Lipids* **108**:123–134.
- Hillard CJ and Jarrahian A (2003) Cellular accumulation of anandamide: consensus and controversy. *Br J Pharmacol* **140**:802–808.
- Hillard CJ, Manna S, Greenberg MJ, DiCamelli R, Ross RA, Stevenson LA, Murphy V, Pertwee RG, and Campbell WB (1999) Synthesis and characterization of potent and selective agonists of the neuronal cannabinoid receptor (CB1). *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **289**:1427–1433.
- Hinderer SR and Gupta S (1996) Functional outcome measures to assess interventions for spasticity. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* **77**:1083–1089.
- Hinds NM, Ullrich K, and Smid SD (2006) Cannabinoid 1 (CB₁) receptors coupled to cholinergic motoneurons inhibit neurogenic circular muscle contractility in the human colon. *Br J Pharmacol* **148**:191–200.
- Hinz B, Ramer R, Eichele K, Weinzierl U, and Brune K (2004) Up-regulation of cyclooxygenase-2 expression is involved in R(+)-methanandamide-induced apoptotic death of human neuroglioma cells. *Mol Pharmacol* **66**:1643–1651.
- Ho WS and Hiley CR (2003) Vasodilator actions of abnormal-cannabidiol in rat isolated small mesenteric artery. *Br J Pharmacol* **138**:1320–1332.
- Hohmann AG, Briley EM, and Herkenham M (1999) Pre- and postsynaptic distribution of cannabinoid and mu opioid receptors in rat spinal cord. *Brain Res* **822**:17–25.
- Hohmann AG, Farthing JN, Zvonok AM, and Makriyannis A (2004) Selective activation of cannabinoid CB2 receptors suppresses hyperalgesia evoked by intradermal capsaicin. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **308**:446–453.
- Hohmann AG and Herkenham M (1998) Regulation of cannabinoid and mu opioid receptors in rat lumbar spinal cord following neonatal capsaicin treatment. *Neurosci Lett* **252**:13–16.
- Hohmann AG and Herkenham M (1999) Cannabinoid receptors undergo axonal flow in sensory nerves. *Neuroscience* **92**:1171–1175.
- Hohmann AG and Herkenham M (2000) Localization of cannabinoid CB₁ receptor mRNA in neuronal subpopulations of rat striatum: a double-label in situ hybridization study. *Synapse* **37**:71–80.
- Hohmann AG, Martin WJ, Tsou K, and Walker JM (1995) Inhibition of noxious stimulus-evoked activity of spinal cord dorsal horn neurons by the cannabinoid WIN 55,212-2. *Life Sci* **56**:2111–2119.
- Hohmann AG, Suplita RL, Bolton NM, Neely MH, Fegley D, Mangieri R, Krey JF, Walker JM, Holmes PV, Crystal JD, et al. (2005) An endocannabinoid mechanism for stress-induced analgesia. *Nature (Lond)* **435**:1108–1112.
- Hohmann AG, Tsou K, and Walker JM (1998) Cannabinoid modulation of wide dynamic range neurons in the lumbar dorsal horn of the rat by spinally administered WIN55,212-2. *Neurosci Lett* **257**:1–4.
- Hohmann AG and Walker JM (1999) Cannabinoid suppression of noxious heat-evoked activity in wide dynamic range neurons in the lumbar dorsal horn of the rat. *J Neurophysiol* **81**:575–583.
- Holdcroft A, Smith M, Jacklin A, Hodgson H, Smith B, Newton M, and Evans F (1997) Pain relief with oral cannabinoids in familial Mediterranean fever. *Anaesthesia* **52**:483–486.
- Hollister LE (1971) Hunger and appetite after single doses of marijuana, alcohol and dextroamphetamine. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **12**:45–49.
- Hollister LE (1974) Structure-activity relationships in man of cannabis constituents, and homologs and metabolites of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol. *Pharmacology* **11**:3–31.
- Hollister LE (1986) Health aspects of cannabis. *Pharmacol Rev* **38**:1–20.
- Holdcroft A, Maze M, Dore C, Tebbis S, and Thompson S (2006) A multicenter dose-escalation study of the analgesic and adverse effects of an oral cannabis extract (Cannador) for postoperative pain management. *Anesthesiology* **104**:1040–1046.
- Holm L, Cassidy JD, Carroll LJ, and Borg J (2005) Neurotrauma Task Force on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury of the WHO Collaborating Centre: summary of the WHO Collaborating Centre for Neurotrauma Task Force on mild traumatic brain injury. *J Rehabil Med* **37**:137–141.
- Holmes GL and Ben-Ari Y (1998) Seizures in the developing brain: perhaps not so benign after all. *Neuron* **21**:1231–1234.
- Horn S and Stern MB (2004) The comparative effects of medical therapies for Parkinson's disease. *Neurology* **63**:S7–S12.
- Houchi H, Babovic D, Pierrefiche O, Ledent C, Daoust M, and Naassila M (2004) CB1 receptor knockout mice display reduced ethanol-induced conditioned place preference and increased striatal dopamine D2 receptors. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **30**:339–349.
- Houser SJ, Eads M, Embrey JP, and Welch SP (2000) Dynorphin B and spinal analgesia: induction of antinociception by the cannabinoids CP55,940, Δ^9 -THC and anandamide. *Brain Res* **857**:337–342.
- Howlett AC (2004) Efficacy in CB1 receptor-mediated signal transduction. *Br J Pharmacol* **142**:1209–1218.
- Howlett AC, Barth F, Bonner TI, Cabral G, Casellas P, Devane WA, Felder CC, Herkenham M, Mackie K, Martin BR, et al. (2002) International Union of Pharmacology. XXVII. Classification of cannabinoid receptors. *Pharmacol Rev* **54**:161–202.
- Howlett AC, Breivogel CS, Childers SR, Deadwyler SA, Hampson RE, and Porrino LJ (2004) Cannabinoid physiology and pharmacology: 30 years of progress. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **47** (Suppl 1):345–358.
- Hu CC, Qing K, and Chen Y (2004) Diet-induced changes in stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1 expression in obesity-prone and -resistant mice. *Obes Res* **12**:1264–1270.
- Huang SM, Bisogno T, Trevisani M, Al-Hayani A, De Petrocellis L, Fezza F, Tognetto M, Petros TJ, Krey JF, Chu CJ, et al. (2002) An endogenous capsaicin-like substance with high potency at recombinant and native vanilloid VR1 receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **99**:8400–8405.
- Huang YC, Wang SJ, Chiou LC, and Gean PW (2003) Mediation of amphetamine-induced long-term depression of synaptic transmission by CB1 cannabinoid receptors in the rat amygdala. *J Neurosci* **23**:10311–10320.
- Hübshle T, Thom E, Watson A, Roth J, Klaus S, and Meyerhof W (2001) Leptin-induced nuclear translocation of STAT3 immunoreactivity in hypothalamic nuclei involved in body weight regulation. *J Neurosci* **21**:2413–2424.
- Huffman JW, Liddle J, Yu S, Aung MM, Aboud ME, Wiley JL, and Martin BR (1999) 3-(1',1'-Dimethylbutyl)-1-deoxy- Δ^8 -THC and related compounds: synthesis of selective ligands for the CB2 receptor. *Bioorg Med Chem* **7**:2905–2914.
- Hungund BL, Szakall I, Adam A, Basavarajappa BS, and Vadasz C (2003) Cannabinoid CB1 receptor knockout mice exhibit markedly reduced voluntary alcohol consumption and lack alcohol-induced dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens. *J Neurochem* **84**:698–704.
- Ibrahim MM, Deng H, Zvonok A, Cockayne DA, Kwan J, Mata HP, Vanderah TW, Lai J, Porreca F, Makriyannis A, et al. (2003) Activation of CB₂ cannabinoid receptors by AM1241 inhibits experimental neuropathic pain: pain inhibition by receptors not present in the CNS. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **100**:10529–10533.
- Ibrahim MM, Porreca F, Lai J, Albrecht PJ, Rice FL, Khodorova A, Davar G, Makriyannis A, Vanderah TW, Mata HP, et al. (2005) CB₂ cannabinoid receptor activation produces antinociception by stimulating peripheral release of endogenous opioids. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **102**:3093–3098.
- Ibrahim MM, Rude ML, Stagg NJ, Mata HP, Lai J, Vanderah TW, Porreca F,

- Buckley NE, Makriyannis A, and Malan TP Jr (2006) CB2 cannabinoid receptor mediation of antinociception. *Pain* **122**:36–42.
- Idris AI, van't Hof RJ, Greig IR, Ridge SA, Baker D, Ross RA, and Ralston SH (2005) Regulation of bone mass, bone loss and osteoclast activity by cannabinoid receptors. *Nat Med* **11**:774–779.
- Ikeda SR (1996) Voltage-dependent modulation of N-type calcium channels by G-protein $\beta\gamma$ subunits. *Nature (Lond)* **380**:255–258.
- Ilari RL, Thornby JI, and Fann WE (1981) Nabilone, a cannabinol derivative, in the treatment of anxiety neurosis. *Curr Ther Res* **29**:943–949.
- Iqbal J, Pompolo S, Murakami T, Grouzmann E, Sakurai T, Meister B, and Clarke IJ (2001) Immunohistochemical characterization of localization of long-form leptin receptor (OB-Rb) in neurochemically defined cells in the ovine hypothalamus. *Brain Res* **920**:55–64.
- Ishac EJ, Jiang L, Lake KD, Varga K, Abood ME, and Kunos G (1996) Inhibition of exocytotic noradrenaline release by presynaptic cannabinoid CB1 receptors on peripheral sympathetic nerves. *Br J Pharmacol* **118**:2023–2028.
- Isokawa M and Alger BE (2005) Retrograde endocannabinoid regulation of GABAergic inhibition in the rat dentate gyrus granule cell. *J Physiol (Lond)* **567** (Pt 3):1001–1010.
- Iuvone T, Esposito G, Esposito R, Santamaria R, Di Rosa M, and Izzo AA (2004) Neuroprotective effect of cannabidiol, a non-psychoactive component from *Cannabis sativa*, on β -amyloid-induced toxicity in PC12 cells. *J Neurochem* **89**:134–141.
- Iversen LL (2000) *The Science of Marijuana*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Iwamura H, Suzuki H, Ueda Y, Kaya T, and Inaba T (2001) In vitro and in vivo pharmacological characterization of JTE-907, a novel selective ligand for cannabinoid CB2 receptor. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **296**:420–425.
- Izzo AA, Capasso F, Costagliola A, Bisogno T, Marsicano G, Ligresti A, Matias I, Capasso R, Pinto L, Borrelli F, et al. (2003) An endogenous cannabinoid tone attenuates cholera toxin-induced fluid accumulation in mice. *Gastroenterology* **125**:765–774.
- Izzo AA, Fezza F, Capasso R, Bisogno T, Pinto L, Iuvone T, Esposito G, Mascolo N, Di Marzo V, and Capasso F (2001a) Cannabinoid CB₁-receptor mediated regulation of gastrointestinal motility in mice in a model of intestinal inflammation. *Br J Pharmacol* **134**:563–570.
- Izzo AA, Mascolo N, and Capasso F (2001b) The gastrointestinal pharmacology of cannabinoids. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* **1**:597–603.
- Jabusch HC, Schneider U, and Altenmüller E (2004) Δ^9 -Tetrahydrocannabinol improves motor control in a patient with musician's dystonia. *Mov Disord* **19**:990–991.
- Jackson SJ, Diemel LT, Pryce G, and Baker D (2005a) Cannabinoids and neuroprotection in CNS inflammatory disease. *J Neurol Sci* **233**:21–25.
- Jackson SJ, Pryce G, Diemel LT, Cuzner ML, and Baker D (2005b) Cannabinoid-receptor 1 null mice are susceptible to neurofilament damage and caspase 3 activation. *Neuroscience* **134**:261–266.
- Jacobsson SO, Rongard E, Stridh M, Tiger G, and Fowler CJ (2000) Serum-dependent effects of tamoxifen and cannabinoids upon C6 glioma cell viability. *Biochem Pharmacol* **60**:1807–1813.
- Jayamanne A, Greenwood R, Mitchell VA, Aslan S, Piomelli D, and Vaughan CW (2006) Actions of the FAAH inhibitor URB597 in neuropathic and inflammatory chronic pain models. *Br J Pharmacol* **147**:281–288.
- Jamshidi N and Taylor DA (2001) Anandamide administration into the ventromedial hypothalamus stimulates appetite in rats. *Br J Pharmacol* **134**:1151–1154.
- Jan TR, Farraj AK, Harkema JR, and Kaminski NE (2003) Attenuation of the ovalbumin-induced allergic airway response by cannabinoid treatment in *AJ mice*. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* **188**:24–35.
- Járai Z, Wagner JA, Varga K, Lake KD, Compton DR, Martin BR, Zimmer AM, Bonner TI, Buckley NE, Mezey E, et al. (1999) Cannabinoid-induced mesenteric vasodilation through an endothelial site distinct from CB1 or CB2 receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **96**:14136–14141.
- Jarrharian A, Manna S, Edgmond WS, Campbell WB, and Hillard CJ (2000) Structure-activity relationships among N-arachidonyl ethanolamine (Anandamide) head group analogues for the anandamide transporter. *J Neurochem* **74**:2597–2606.
- Jarvinen T, Pate DW, and Laine K (2002) Cannabinoids in the treatment of glaucoma. *Pharmacol Ther* **95**:203–220.
- Jbilo O, Ravinet Trillou C, Arnone M, Buisson I, Bribes E, Péleraux A, Pénarier G, Soubrie P, Le Fur G, Galiegue S, et al. (2005) The CB1 receptor antagonist rimonabant reverses the diet-induced obesity phenotype through the regulation of lipolysis and energy balance. *FASEB J* **19**:1567–1569.
- Jiang W, Zhang Y, Xiao L, Van Cleemput J, Ji SP, Bai G, and Zhang X (2005) Cannabinoids promote embryonic and adult hippocampus neurogenesis and produce anxiolytic- and antidepressant-like effects. *J Clin Invest* **115**:3104–3116.
- Jimenez W (2005) Endocannabinoids and liver disease. *Hepatology* **41**:983–985.
- Jin KL, Mao XO, Goldsmith PC, and Greenberg DA (2000) CB1 cannabinoid receptor induction in experimental stroke. *Ann Neurol* **48**:257–261.
- Jin W, Brown S, Roche JP, Hsieh C, Cerver JP, Kovoor A, Chavkin C, and Mackie K (1999) Distinct domains of the CB1 cannabinoid receptor mediate desensitization and internalization. *J Neurosci* **19**:3773–3780.
- Jo Y-H, Chen Y-JJ, Chua SC Jr, Talmage DA, and Role LW (2005) Integration of endocannabinoid and leptin signaling in an appetite-related neural circuit. *Neuron* **48**:1055–1066.
- Johaneck LM, Heitmler DR, Turner M, Nader N, Hodges J, and Simone DA (2001) Cannabinoids attenuate capsaicin-evoked hyperalgesia through spinal and peripheral mechanisms. *Pain* **93**:303–315.
- Johaneck LM and Simone DA (2004) Activation of peripheral cannabinoid receptors attenuates cutaneous hyperalgesia produced by a heat injury. *Pain* **109**:432–442.
- Johns A (2001) Psychiatric effects of cannabis. *Br J Psychiatry* **178**:116–122.
- Johnson SW and North RA (1992) Opioids excite dopamine neurons by hyperpolarization of local interneurons. *J Neurosci* **12**:483–488.
- Johnson DD, McNeill JR, Crawford RD, and Wilcox WC (1975) Epileptiform seizures in domestic fowl. V. The anticonvulsant activity of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol* **53**:1007–1013.
- Jones G, Pertwee RG, Gill EW, Paton WD, Nilsson IM, Widman M, and Agurell S (1974) Relative pharmacological potency in mice of optical isomers of Δ^1 -tetrahydrocannabinol. *Biochem Pharmacol* **23**:439–446.
- Jones S and Howl J (2003) Cannabinoid receptor systems: therapeutic targets for tumour intervention. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* **7**:749–758.
- Jonsson KO, Andersson A, Jacobsson SO, Vandevoorde S, Lambert DM, and Fowler CJ (2003) AM404 and VDM 11 non-specifically inhibit C6 glioma cell proliferation at concentrations used to block the cellular accumulation of the endocannabinoid anandamide. *Arch Toxicol* **77**:201–207.
- Jordt SE, Bautista DM, Chuang HH, McKemy DD, Zygmunt PM, Hogestatt ED, Meng ID, and Julius D (2004) Mustard oils and cannabinoids excite sensory nerve fibres through the TRP channel ANKTM1. *Nature (Lond)* **427**:260–265.
- Joseph J, Niggemann B, Zaenker KS, and Entschladen F (2004) Anandamide is an endogenous inhibitor for the migration of tumor cells and T lymphocytes. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* **53**:723–728.
- Joyeux M, Arnaud C, Godin-Ribuot D, Demenge P, Lamontagne D, and Ribuot C (2002) Endocannabinoids are implicated in the infarct size-reducing effect conferred by heat stress preconditioning in isolated rat hearts. *Cardiovasc Res* **55**:619–625.
- Juan-Pico P, Fuentes E, Javier Bermudez-Silva F, Javier Diaz-Molina F, Ripoll C, Rodriguez de Fonseca F, and Nadal A (2005) Cannabinoid receptors regulate Ca^{2+} signals and insulin secretion in pancreatic β -cell. *Cell Calcium* **39**:155–162.
- Julien B, Grenard P, Teixeira-Clerc F, Van Nhieu JT, Li L, Karsak M, Zimmer A, Mallat A, and Lotersztajn S (2005) Antifibrogenic role of the cannabinoid receptor CB2 in the liver. *Gastroenterology* **128**:742–755.
- Kadoi Y, Hinohara H, Kunimoto F, Kuwano H, Saito S, and Goto F (2005a) Effects of AM281, a cannabinoid antagonist, on systemic haemodynamics, internal carotid artery blood flow and mortality in septic shock in rats. *Br J Anaesth* **94**:563–568.
- Kadoi Y, Hinohara H, Kunimoto F, Saito S, and Goto F (2005b) Cannabinoid antagonist AM 281 reduces mortality rate and neurologic dysfunction after cecal ligation and puncture in rats. *Crit Care Med* **33**:2629–2636.
- Kamei J, Yoshikawa Y, and Saitoh A (2006) Effect of N-arachidonoyl-(2-methyl-4-hydroxyphenyl) amine (VDM11), an anandamide transporter inhibitor, on capsaicin-induced cough in mice. *Cough* **2**:2.
- Kanakakis C Jr, Pouget JM, and Rosen KM (1976) The effects of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (cannabis) on cardiac performance with and without beta blockade. *Circulation* **53**:703–707.
- Kaplan BL, Ouyang Y, Herring A, Yea SS, Razdan R, and Kaminski NE (2005) Inhibition of leukocyte function and interleukin-2 gene expression by 2-methyl-arachidonyl-(2'-fluoroethyl)amide, a stable congener of the endogenous cannabinoid receptor ligand anandamide. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* **205**:107–115.
- Karler R, Calder LD, Sangdee P, and Turkkanis SA (1984) Interaction between Δ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol and kindling by electrical and chemical stimuli in mice. *Neuropharmacology* **23**:1315–1320.
- Karler R, Calder LD, and Turkkanis SA (1986) Prolonged CNS hyperexcitability in mice after a single exposure to Δ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol. *Neuropharmacology* **25**:441–446.
- Karler R and Turkkanis SA (1981) The cannabinoids as potential antiepileptics. *J Clin Pharmacol* **21**:437S–448S.
- Karsak M, Cohen-Solal M, Freudenberg J, Ostertag A, Morieux C, Kornak U, Essig J, Erxleben E, Bab I, Kubisch C, et al. (2005) The cannabinoid receptor type 2 (CNR2) gene is associated with human osteoporosis. *Hum Mol Genet* **14**:3389–3396.
- Karsak M, Ofek O, Fogel M, Wright K, Tam J, Gabet Y, Birenboim R, Attar-Namdar M, Müller R, and Cohen-Solal M (2004) The cannabinoid CB2 receptor: a potential target for the treatment of osteoporosis. *J Bone Miner Res* **19**:S383.
- Karst M, Salim K, Burstein S, Conrad I, Hoy L, and Schneider U (2003) Analgesic effect of the synthetic cannabinoid CT-3 on chronic neuropathic pain: a randomized controlled trial. *J Am Med Assoc* **290**:1757–1762.
- Kathuria S, Gaetani S, Fegley D, Valino F, Duranti A, Tontini A, Mor M, Tarzia G, La Rana G, Calignano A, et al. (2003) Modulation of anxiety through blockade of anandamide hydrolysis. *Nat Med* **9**:76–81.
- Katona I, Rancz EA, Csády L, Ledent C, Mackie K, Hajos N, and Freund TF (2001) Distribution of CB1 cannabinoid receptors in the amygdala and their role in the control of GABAergic transmission. *J Neurosci* **21**:9506–9518.
- Katona I, Sperlagh B, Sik A, Kálvay A, Vizi ES, Mackie K, and Freund TF (1999) Presynaptically located CB1 cannabinoid receptors regulate GABA release from axon terminals of specific hippocampal interneurons. *J Neurosci* **19**:4544–4558.
- Kearns CS, Blake-Palmer K, Daniel E, Mackie K, and Glass M (2005) Concurrent stimulation of cannabinoid CB1 and dopamine D2 receptors enhances heterodimer formation: a mechanism for receptor cross-talk? *Mol Pharmacol* **67**:1697–1704.
- Keeler MH and Reifler CB (1967) Grand mal convulsions subsequent to marijuana use: case report. *Dis Nerv Syst* **28**:474–475.
- Keen RW (2003) Burden of osteoporosis and fractures. *Curr Osteoporos Rep* **1**:66–70.
- Kelly LA and Butcher RW (1973) The effects of Δ^1 -tetrahydrocannabinol on cyclic AMP levels in WI-38 fibroblasts. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **320**:540–544.
- Kelly S, Jhaveri MD, Sagar DR, Kendall DA, and Chapman V (2003) Activation of peripheral cannabinoid CB1 receptors inhibits mechanically evoked responses of spinal neurons in noninflamed rats and rats with hindpaw inflammation. *Eur J Neurosci* **18**:2239–2243.
- Khanolkar AD, Abadji V, Lin S, Hill WA, Taha G, Abouzid K, Meng Z, Fan P, and Makriyannis A (1996) Head group analogs of arachidonyl ethanolamide, the endogenous cannabinoid ligand. *J Med Chem* **39**:4515–4519.
- Khaspekov LG, Brenz Verca MS, Frumkina LE, Hermann H, Marsicano G, and Lutz B (2004) Involvement of brain-derived neurotrophic factor in cannabinoid receptor-dependent protection against excitotoxicity. *Eur J Neurosci* **19**:1691–1698.
- Killestein J, Hoogervorst EL, Reif M, Blauw B, Smits M, Uitdehaag BM, Nagelkerken L, and Polman CH (2003) Immunomodulatory effects of orally administered cannabinoids in multiple sclerosis. *J Neuroimmunol* **137**:140–143.
- Killestein J, Hoogervorst EL, Reif M, Kalkers NF, Van Loenen AC, Staats PG, Gorter

- RW, Uitdehaag BM, and Polman CH (2002) Safety, tolerability, and efficacy of orally administered cannabinoids in MS. *Neurology* **58**:1404–1407.
- Killestein J and Polman CH (2005) Current trials in multiple sclerosis: established evidence and future hopes. *Curr Opin Neurol* **18**:253–260.
- Killestein J, Uitdehaag BM, and Polman CH (2004) Cannabinoids in multiple sclerosis: do they have a therapeutic role? *Drugs* **64**:1–11.
- Kim EK, Miller I, Aja S, Landree LE, Pinn M, McFadden J, Kuhajda FP, Moran TH, and Ronnett GV (2004) C75, a fatty acid synthase inhibitor, reduces food intake via hypothalamic AMP-activated protein kinase. *J Biol Chem* **279**:19970–19976.
- Kim J and Alger BE (2004) Inhibition of cyclooxygenase-2 potentiates retrograde endocannabinoid effects in hippocampus. *Nat Neurosci* **7**:697–698.
- Kim J, Isokawa M, Ledent C, and Alger BE (2002) Activation of muscarinic acetylcholine receptors enhances the release of endogenous cannabinoids in the hippocampus. *J Neurosci* **22**:10182–10191.
- Kim SH, Won SJ, Mao XO, Jin K, and Greenberg DA (2005) Involvement of protein kinase A in cannabinoid receptor-mediated protection from oxidative neuronal injury. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **313**:88–94.
- Kirkham TC (2004) Cannabinoids and medicine: eating disorders, nausea and emesis, in *Cannabinoids* (Di Marzo V ed) pp 147–160, Landes Bioscience, Georgetown, TX.
- Kirkham TC (2005) Endocannabinoids in the regulation of appetite and body weight. *Behav Pharmacol* **16**:297–313.
- Kirkham TC and Williams CM (2001a) Endogenous cannabinoids and appetite. *Nutr Res Rev* **14**:65–86.
- Kirkham TC and Williams CM (2001b) Synergistic effects of opioid and cannabinoid antagonists on food intake. *Psychopharmacology* **159**:267–270.
- Kirkham TC, Williams CM, Fezza M, and Di Marzo V (2002) Endocannabinoid levels in rat limbic forebrain and hypothalamus in relation to fasting, feeding and satiation: stimulation of eating by 2-arachidonoyl glycerol. *Br J Pharmacol* **136**:550–557.
- Klein TW (2005) Cannabinoid-based drugs as anti-inflammatory therapeutics. *Nat Rev Immunol* **5**:400–411.
- Klein TW, Friedman H, and Spector SC (1998) Marijuana, immunity and infection. *J Neuroimmunol* **83**:102–115.
- Klein TW, Lane B, Newton CA, and Friedman H (2000a) The cannabinoid system and cytokine network. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* **225**:1–8.
- Klein TW, Newton CA, Larsen K, Lu L, Perkins I, Nong L, and Friedman H (2003) The cannabinoid system and immune modulation. *J Leukoc Biol* **74**:486–496.
- Klein TW, Newton CA, Nakachi N, and Friedman H (2000b) Δ^9 -Tetrahydrocannabinol treatment suppresses immunity and early IFN- γ , IL-12, and IL-12 receptor β 2 responses to Legionella pneumophila infection. *J Immunol* **164**:6461–6466.
- Klijn CJ and Hankey GJ (2003) American Stroke Association and European Stroke Initiative: management of acute ischaemic stroke: new guidelines from the American Stroke Association and European Stroke Initiative. *Lancet Neurol* **2**:698–701.
- Knoller N, Levi L, Shoshan I, Reichenthal E, Razon N, Rappaport ZH, and Biegon A (2002) Dexanabinol (HU-211) in the treatment of severe closed head injury: a randomized, placebo-controlled, phase II clinical trial. *Crit Care Med* **30**:548–554.
- Kogan NM, Rabinowitz R, Levi P, Gibson D, Sandor P, Schlesinger M, and Mechoulam R (2004) Synthesis and antitumor activity of quinonoid derivatives of cannabinoids. *J Med Chem* **47**:3800–3806.
- Kola B, Hubina E, Tucci SA, Kirkham TC, Garcia EA, Mitchell SE, Williams LM, Hawley SA, Hardie DG, Grossman AB, et al. (2005) Cannabinoids and ghrelin have both central and peripheral metabolic effects via AMP-activated protein kinase. *J Biol Chem* **280**:25196–25201.
- Koob GF (1992) Drugs of abuse: anatomy, pharmacology and function of reward pathways. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **13**:177–184.
- Koob GF (1996) Drug addiction: the yin and yang of hedonic homeostasis. *Neuron* **16**:893–896.
- Koob GF and Thatcher-Brittton K (1985) Stimulant and anxiogenic effects of corticotropin releasing factor. *Prog Clin Biol Res* **192**:499–506.
- Korczyn AD (1980) The ocular effects of cannabinoids. *Gen Pharmacol* **11**:419–423.
- Kosersky DS (1978) Antihypertensive effects of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol. *Arch Int Pharmacodyn Ther* **233**:76–81.
- Koutek B, Prestwich GD, Howlett AC, Chin SA, Salehani D, Akhavan N, and Deutsch DG (1994) Inhibitors of arachidonoyl ethanolamide hydrolysis. *J Biol Chem* **269**:22937–22940.
- Kreutz AC and Regehr WG (2001) Retrograde inhibition of presynaptic calcium influx by endogenous cannabinoids at excitatory synapses onto Purkinje cells. *Neuron* **29**:717–727.
- Kristensen P, Judge ME, Thim L, Ribel U, Christjansen KN, Wulff BS, Clausen JT, Jensen PB, Madsen OD, Vrang N, et al. (1998) Hypothalamic CART is a new anorectic peptide regulated by leptin. *Nature (Lond)* **393**:72–76.
- Krylatov AV, Bernatskaia NA, Maslov LN, Pertwee RG, Mechoulam R, Stefano GB, Sharaevskii MA, and Sal'nikova OM (2002a) Increase of the heart arrhythmogenic resistance and decrease of the myocardial necrosis zone during activation of cannabinoid receptors. *Russ Fiziol Zh Im I M Sechenova* **88**:560–567.
- Krylatov AV, Maslov LN, Lasukova OV, and Pertwee RG (2005) Cannabinoid receptor antagonists SR141716 and SR144528 exhibit properties of partial agonists in experiments on isolated perfused rat heart. *Bull Exp Biol Med* **139**:558–561.
- Krylatov AV, Ugdyzhkova DS, Bernatskaya NA, Maslov LN, Mekhoulam R, Pertwee RG, and Stephanov GB (2001) Activation of type II cannabinoid receptors improves myocardial tolerance to arrhythmogenic effects of coronary occlusion and reperfusion. *Bull Exp Biol Med* **131**:523–525.
- Krylatov AV, Uzhachenko RV, Maslov LN, Bernatskaya NA, Makriyannis A, Mechoulam R, Pertwee RG, Sal'nikova OM, Stefano JB, and Lishmanov Y (2002b) Endogenous cannabinoids improve myocardial resistance to arrhythmogenic effects of coronary occlusion and reperfusion: a possible mechanism. *Bull Exp Biol Med* **133**:122–124.
- Krylatov AV, Uzhachenko RV, Maslov LN, Ugdyzhkova DS, Bernatskaia NA, Pertwee R, Stefano GB, and Makriyannis A (2002c) Anandamide and R-(+)-methanandamide prevent development of ischemic and reperfusion arrhythmia in rats by stimulation of CB2-receptors. *Eksp Klin Farmakol* **65**:6–9.
- Kuijten RR, Bunin GR, Nass CC, and Meadows AT (1992) Parental occupation and childhood astrocytoma: results of a case-control study. *Cancer Res* **52**:782–786.
- Kulkarni-Narla A and Brown DR (2000) Localization of CB1-cannabinoid receptor immunoreactivity in the porcine enteric nervous system. *Cell Tissue Res* **302**:73–80.
- Kunos G, Bátkai S, Offertáler L, Mo F, Liu J, Karcher J, and Harvey-White J (2002) The quest for a vascular endothelial cannabinoids receptor. *Chem Phys Lipids* **121**:45–56.
- Kunos G, Járjai Z, Bátkai S, Goparaju SK, Ishac EJ, Liu J, Wang L, and Wagner JA (2000) Endocannabinoids as cardiovascular modulators. *Chem Phys Lipids* **108**:159–168.
- Kunos G and Pacher P (2004) Cannabinoids cool the intestine. *Nat Med* **10**:678–679.
- Kurabayashi M, Takeyoshi I, Yoshinari D, Matsumoto K, Maruyama I, and Morishita Y (2005) 2-Arachidonoylglycerol increases in ischemia-reperfusion injury of the rat liver. *J Investig Surg* **18**:25–31.
- Kwolek G, Zakrzewski A, Schlicker E, Gothert M, Godlewski G, and Malinowska B (2005) Central and peripheral components of the pressor effect of anandamide in urethane-anesthetized rats. *Br J Pharmacol* **145**:567–575.
- Lagneux C and Lamontagne D (2001) Involvement of cannabinoids in the cardioprotection induced by lipopolysaccharide. *Br J Pharmacol* **132**:793–796.
- Laine K, Jarvinen K, and Jarvinen T (2003) Topically administered CB2-receptor agonist, JWH-133, does not decrease intraocular pressure (IOP) in normotensive rabbits. *Life Sci* **72**:837–842.
- Laine K, Jarvinen K, Mechoulam R, Breuer A, and Jarvinen T (2002a) Comparison of the enzymatic stability and intraocular pressure effects of 2-arachidonoylglycerol and noladin ether, a novel putative endocannabinoid. *Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **43**:3216–3222.
- Laine K, Jarvinen K, Pate DW, Urtti A, and Jarvinen T (2002b) Effect of the enzyme inhibitor, phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, on the IOP profiles of topical anandamides. *Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **43**:393–397.
- Lake KD, Compton DR, Varga K, Martin BR, and Kunos G (1997a) Cannabinoid-induced hypotension and bradycardia in rats mediated by CB1-like cannabinoid receptors. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **281**:30–1037.
- Lake KD, Martin BR, Kunos G, and Varga K (1997b) Cardiovascular effects of anandamide in anesthetized and conscious normotensive and hypertensive rats. *Hypertension* **29**:1204–1210.
- Lallemant F and de Witte P (2004) Ethanol induces higher BEC in CB1 cannabinoid receptor knockout mice while decreasing ethanol preference. *Alcohol Alcohol* **40**:54–62.
- Lan R, Gatley J, Lu Q, Fan P, Fernando SR, Volkow ND, Pertwee R, and Makriyannis (1999a) A design and synthesis of the CB1 selective cannabinoid antagonist AM281: a potential human SPECT ligand. *AAPS PharmSci* **1**:E4.
- Lan R, Liu Q, Fan P, Lin S, Fernando SR, McCallion D, Pertwee R, and Makriyannis A (1999b) Structure-activity relationships of pyrazole derivatives as cannabinoid receptor antagonists. *J Med Chem* **42**:769–776.
- Landi M, Croci T, Rinaldi-Carmona M, Maffrand JP, Le Fur G, and Manara L (2002) Modulation of gastric emptying and gastrointestinal transit in rats through intestinal cannabinoid CB1 receptors. *Eur J Pharmacol* **450**:77–83.
- Lang W, Qin C, Lin S, Khanolkar AD, Goutopoulos A, Fan P, Abouzid K, Meng Z, Biegel D, and Makriyannis A (1999) Substrate specificity and stereoselectivity of rat brain microsomal anandamide amidohydrolase. *J Med Chem* **42**:896–902.
- La Rana G, Russo R, Campolongo P, Bortolato M, Mangieri RA, Cuomo V, Iacono A, Mattace Raso G, Meli R, Piomelli D, et al. (2006) Modulation of neuropathic and inflammatory pain by the endocannabinoid transport inhibitor AM404. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **317**:1365–1371.
- LaRoche SM and Helmers SL (2004) The new antiepileptic drugs: scientific review. *J Am Med Assoc* **291**:605–614.
- Lastres-Becker I, Berrendero F, Lucas JJ, Martin-Aparicio E, Yamamoto A, Ramos JA, and Fernandez-Ruiz JJ (2002a) Loss of mRNA levels, binding and activation of GTP-binding proteins for cannabinoid CB1 receptors in the basal ganglia of a transgenic model of Huntington's disease. *Brain Res* **929**:236–242.
- Lastres-Becker I, Cebeira M, de Ceballos ML, Zeng BY, Jenner P, Ramos JA, and Fernandez-Ruiz JJ (2001a) Increased cannabinoid CB1 receptor binding and activation of GTP-binding proteins in the basal ganglia of patients with Parkinson's syndrome and of MPTP-treated marmosets. *Eur J Neurosci* **14**:1827–1832.
- Lastres-Becker I, de Miguel R, De Petrocellis L, Makriyannis A, Di Marzo V, and Fernandez-Ruiz J (2003a) Compounds acting at the endocannabinoid and/or endovanilloid systems reduce hyperkinesia in a rat model of Huntington's disease. *J Neurochem* **84**:1097–1109.
- Lastres-Becker I, de Miguel R, and Fernandez-Ruiz JJ (2003b) The endocannabinoid system and Huntington's disease. *Curr Drug Targets CNS Neurol Disord* **2**:335–347.
- Lastres-Becker I, Fezza F, Cebeira M, Bisogno T, Ramos JA, Milone A, Fernandez-Ruiz J, and Di Marzo V (2001b) Changes in endocannabinoid transmission in the basal ganglia in a rat model of Huntington's disease. *Neuroreport* **12**:2125–2129.
- Lastres-Becker I, Gomez M, De Miguel R, Ramos JA, and Fernandez-Ruiz J (2002b) Loss of cannabinoid CB1 receptors in the basal ganglia in the late aknetic phase of rats with experimental Huntington's disease. *Neurotox Res* **4**:601–608.
- Lastres-Becker I, Molina-Holgado F, Ramos JA, Mechoulam R, and Fernandez-Ruiz J (2005) Cannabinoids provide neuroprotection against 6-hydroxydopamine toxicity in vivo and in vitro: relevance to Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Dis* **19**:96–107.
- Lauckner JE, Hille B, and Mackie K (2005) The cannabinoid agonist WIN55,212-2 increases intracellular calcium via CB1 receptor coupling to Gq/11 G proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **102**:19144–19149.
- Lavie G, Teichner A, Shohami E, Ovadia H, and Leker RR (2001) Long term cerebroprotective effects of dexanabinol in a model of focal cerebral ischemia. *Brain Res* **901**:195–201.
- Laviolette SR and Grace AA (2006) The roles of cannabinoid and dopamine receptor

- systems in neural emotional learning circuits: implications for schizophrenia and addiction. *Cell Mol Life Sci*, in press.
- Lavon I, Sheinin T, Meilin S, Biton E, Weksler A, Efroni G, Bar-Joseph A, Fink G, and Avraham A (2003) A novel synthetic cannabinoid derivative inhibits inflammatory liver damage via negative cytokine regulation. *Mol Pharmacol* **64**:1334–1341.
- Lawrence DK and Gill EW (1975) The effects of Δ^1 -tetrahydrocannabinol and other cannabinoids on spin-labeled liposomes and their relationship to mechanisms of general anesthesia. *Mol Pharmacol* **11**:595–602.
- Le Foll B and Goldberg SR (2004) Rimonabant, a CB1 antagonist, blocks nicotine-conditioned place preferences. *Neuroreport* **15**:2139–2143.
- Le Foll B and Goldberg DR (2005) Cannabinoid CB1 receptor antagonists as promising new medications for drug dependence. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **312**:875–883.
- Ledent C, Valverde O, Cossu G, Petit F, Aubert JF, Beslot F, Bohme GA, Imperato A, Pedrazzini T, Roques BP, et al. (1999) Unresponsiveness to cannabinoids and reduced addictive effects of opiates in CB1 receptor knockout mice. *Science (Wash DC)* **283**:401–404.
- Lees G and Douglass A (2004) Differential effects of the sleep-inducing lipid oleamide and cannabinoids on the induction of long-term potentiation in the CA1 neurons of the rat hippocampus in vitro. *Brain Res* **997**:1–14.
- Leggett JD, Aspley S, Beckett SR, D'Antona AM, Kendall DA, and Kendall DA (2004) Oleamide is a selective endogenous agonist of rat and human CB1 cannabinoid receptors. *Br J Pharmacol* **141**:253–262.
- Leker RR, Gai N, Mechoulam R, and Ovadia H (2003) Drug-induced hypothermia reduces ischemic damage: effects of the cannabinoid HU-210. *Stroke* **34**:2000–2006.
- Leker RR, Shohami E, Abramsky O, and Ovadia H (1999) Dexanabinol, a novel neuroprotective drug in experimental focal cerebral ischemia. *J Neurol Sci* **162**:114–119.
- Lemberger L (1980) Potential therapeutic usefulness of marijuana. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **20**:151–172.
- Lepicier P, Bouchard JF, Lagneux C, and Lamontagne D (2003) Endocannabinoids protect the rat isolated heart against ischaemia. *Br J Pharmacol* **139**:805–815.
- Lepore M, Vorel SR, Lowinson J, and Gardner EL (1995) Conditioned place preference induced by Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol: comparison with cocaine, morphine and food reward. *Life Sci* **56**:2073–2080.
- Leroy S, Griffon N, Bourdel MC, Olie JP, Poirier MF, and Krebs MO (2001) Schizophrenia and the cannabinoid receptor type 1 (CB1): association study using a single-base polymorphism in coding exon 1. *Am J Med Genet* **105**:749–752.
- Lesscher HMB, Hoogveld E, Burbach PH, van Ree JM, and Gerrits MAFM (2005) Endogenous cannabinoids are not involved in cocaine reinforcement and development of cocaine-induced behavioral sensitization. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* **15**:31–37.
- Leung D, Saghatelian A, Simon GM, and Cravatt BF (2006) Inactivation of *N*-acyl phosphatidylethanolamine phospholipase D reveals multiple mechanisms for the biosynthesis of endocannabinoids. *Biochemistry* **45**:4720–4725.
- Leweke FM, Gerth CW, and Klosterkotter J (2004) Cannabis-associated psychosis: current status of research. *CNS Drugs* **18**:895–910.
- Leweke FM, Giuffrida A, Wurster U, Emrich HM, and Piomelli D (1999) Elevated endogenous cannabinoids in schizophrenia. *Neuroreport* **10**:1665–1669.
- Lewis DA, Hashimoto T, and Volk DW (2005) Cortical inhibitory neurons and schizophrenia. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **6**:312–324.
- Li J, Kaminski NE, and Wang DH (2003) Anandamide-induced depressor effect in spontaneously hypertensive rats: role of the vanilloid receptor. *Hypertension* **41**:757–762.
- Liang YC, Huang CC, and Hsu KS (2004) Therapeutic potential of cannabinoids in trigeminal neuralgia. *Curr Drug Targets CNS Neurol Disord* **3**:507–514.
- Libby P and Theroux P (2005) Pathophysiology of coronary artery disease. *Circulation* **111**:3481–3488.
- Lichtman AH, Leung D, Shelton CC, Saghatelian A, Hardouin C, Boger DL, and Cravatt BF (2004a) Reversible inhibitors of fatty acid amide hydrolase that promote analgesia: evidence for an unprecedented combination of potency and selectivity. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **311**:441–448.
- Lichtman AH and Martin BR (1991a) Cannabinoid-induced antinociception is mediated by a spinal α_2 -noradrenergic mechanism. *Brain Res* **559**:309–314.
- Lichtman AH and Martin BR (1991b) Spinal and supraspinal components of cannabinoid-induced antinociception. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **258**:517–523.
- Lichtman AH, Shelton CC, Advani T, and Cravatt BF (2004b) Mice lacking fatty acid amide hydrolase exhibit a cannabinoid receptor-mediated phenotypic hypoaesthesia. *Pain* **109**:319–327.
- Ligresti A, Cascio MG, Pryce G, Kulasegaram S, Beletskaya I, De Petrocellis L, Saha B, Mahadevan A, Visintin C, Wiley JL, et al. (2006a) New potent and selective inhibitors of anandamide reuptake with antispastic activity in a mouse model of multiple sclerosis. *Br J Pharmacol* **147**:83–91.
- Ligresti A, Schiano Moriello A, Starowicz K, Matias I, Pisanti S, De Petrocellis L, Laezza C, Portella G, Bifulco M, and Di Marzo V (2006b) Anti-tumor activity of plant cannabinoids with emphasis on the effect of cannabidiol on human breast carcinoma. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*, in press.
- Lin S, Khanolkar AD, Fan P, Goutopoulos A, Qin C, Papahadjis D, and Makriyannis A (1998) Novel analogues of arachidonyl ethanolamide (anandamide): affinities for the CB1 and CB2 cannabinoid receptors and metabolic stability. *J Med Chem* **41**:5353–5361.
- Linszen DH, Dingemans PM, and Lenior ME (1994) Cannabis abuse and the course of recent-onset schizophrenic disorders. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **51**:273–279.
- Liu J, Bálkai S, Pacher P, Harvey-White J, Wagner JA, Cravatt BF, Gao B, and Kunos G (2003a) LPS induces anandamide synthesis in macrophages via CD14/MAPK/PI3K/NF- κ B independently of platelet activating factor. *J Biol Chem* **278**:45034–45039.
- Liu J, Gao B, Mirshahi F, Sanyal AJ, Khanolkar AD, Makriyannis A, and Kunos G (2000) Functional CB1 cannabinoid receptors in human vascular endothelial cells. *Biochem J* **346**:835–840.
- Liu J, Li H, Burstein SH, Zurier RB, and Chen JD (2003b) Activation and binding of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma by synthetic cannabinoid ajulemic acid. *Mol Pharmacol* **63**:983–992.
- Liu J, Wang L, Harvey-White J, Osei-Hyiaman D, Razdan RK, Zhou Z, Chen A, Huang B, Kim HY, and Kunos G (2006) Novel biosynthetic pathway for anandamide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **103**:13345–13350.
- Liu JH and Dacus AC (1987) Central nervous system and peripheral mechanisms in ocular hypertensive effect of cannabinoids. *Arch Ophthalmol* **105**:245–248.
- Liu YL, Connolly IP, Wilson CA, and Stock MJ (2005) Effects of the cannabinoid CB1 receptor antagonist SR141716 on oxygen consumption and soleus muscle glucose uptake in *Lep^{ob}/Lep^{ob}* mice. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* **29**:183–187.
- Llewellyn CD, Linklater K, Bell J, Johnson NW, and Warnakulasuriya S (2004) An analysis of risk factors for oral cancer in young people: a case-control study. *Oral Oncol* **40**:304–313.
- Loftus EV Jr (2004) Clinical epidemiology of inflammatory bowel disease: incidence, prevalence, and environmental influences. *Gastroenterology* **126**:1504–1517.
- Lograno MD and Romano MR (2004) Cannabinoid agonists induce contractile responses through $G_{i/o}$ -dependent activation of phospholipase C in the bovine ciliary muscle. *Eur J Pharmacol* **494**:55–62.
- Lombard C, Nagarkatti M, and Nagarkatti PS (2005) Targeting cannabinoid receptors to treat leukemia: role of cross-talk between extrinsic and intrinsic pathways in Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (THC)-induced apoptosis of Jurkat cells. *Leuk Res* **29**:915–922.
- Long LE, Malone DT, and Taylor DA (2006) Cannabidiol reverses MK-801-induced disruption of prepulse inhibition in mice. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **31**:795–803.
- Lopez-Moreno JA, Gonzalez-Cuevas G, Rodriguez de Fonseca F, and Navarro M (2005) Long-lasting increase of alcohol relapse by the cannabinoid receptor agonist WIN 55,212-2 during alcohol deprivation. *J Neurosci* **24**:8245–8252.
- Lopez-Rodriguez ML, Viso A, Ortega-Gutierrez S, Fowler CJ, Tiger G, de Lago E, Fernandez-Ruiz J, and Ramos JA (2003) Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of new endocannabinoid transporter inhibitors. *Eur J Med Chem* **38**:403–412.
- Lopez-Rodriguez ML, Viso A, Ortega-Gutierrez S, Lastres-Becker I, Gonzalez S, Fernandez-Ruiz J, and Ramos JA (2001) Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of novel arachidonic acid derivatives as highly potent and selective endocannabinoid transporter inhibitors. *J Med Chem* **44**:4505–4508.
- Louw DF, Yang FW, and Sutherland GR (2000) The effect of Δ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol on forebrain ischemia in rat. *Brain Res* **857**:183–187.
- Lu Q, Striaker A, Lu Q, and Maguire G (2000) Expression of CB2 cannabinoid receptor mRNA in adult rat retina. *Vis Neurosci* **17**:91–95.
- Lukas SE, Sholar M, Kouri E, Fukuzako H, and Mendelson JH (1994) Marijuana smoking increases plasma cocaine levels and subjective reports of euphoria in male volunteers. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **48**:715–721.
- Lumsden AB, Henderson JM, and Kutner MH (1988) Endotoxin levels measured by a chromatographic assay in portal, hepatic and peripheral blood in patients with cirrhosis. *Hepatology* **8**:232–236.
- Lupica CR, Riegel AC, and Hoffman AF (2004) Marijuana and cannabinoid regulation of brain reward circuits. *Br J Pharmacol* **143**:227–234.
- Lutz B (2002) Molecular biology of cannabinoid receptors. *Prostaglandins Leukotrienes Essent Fatty Acids* **66**:123–142.
- Lutz B (2004) On-demand activation of the endocannabinoid system in the control of neuronal excitability and epileptiform seizures. *Biochem Pharmacol* **68**:1691–1698.
- Lyman WD, Sonett JR, Brosnan CF, Elkin R, and Bornstein MB (1989) Δ -9-Tetrahydrocannabinol: a novel treatment for experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J Neuroimmunol* **23**:73–81.
- Maas AI, Marmarou A, Murray GD, and Steyerberg EW (2004) Clinical trials in traumatic brain injury: current problems and future solutions. *Acta Neurochir Suppl* **89**:113–118.
- Maas AI, Murray G, Henney H 3rd, Kassem N, Legrand V, Mangelus M, Muizelaar JP, Stocchetti N, Knoller N, and Pharmos TBI Investigators (2006) Efficacy and safety of dexanabinol in severe traumatic brain injury: results of a phase III randomised, placebo-controlled, clinical trial. *Lancet Neurol* **5**:38–45.
- Maccarrone M, Bari M, Battista N, and Finazzi-Agro A (2002a) Endocannabinoid degradation, endotoxic shock and inflammation. *Curr Drug Targets Inflamm Allergy* **1**:53–63.
- Maccarrone M, Bari M, Lorenzon T, Bisogno T, Di Marzo V, and Finazzi-Agro A (2000a) Anandamide uptake by human endothelial cells and is regulation by nitric oxide. *J Biol Chem* **275**:13484–13492.
- Maccarrone M, Bisogno T, Valensise H, Lazzarin N, Fezza F, Manna C, Di Marzo V, and Finazzi-Agro A (2002b) Low fatty acid amide hydrolase and high anandamide levels are associated with failure to achieve an ongoing pregnancy after IVF and embryo transfer. *Mol Hum Reprod* **8**:188–195.
- Maccarrone M, De Petrocellis L, Bari M, Fezza F, Salvati S, Di Marzo V, and Finazzi-Agro A (2001) Lipopolysaccharide downregulates fatty acid amide hydrolase expression and increases anandamide levels in human peripheral lymphocytes. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **393**:321–328.
- Maccarrone M, Gubellini P, Bari M, Picconi B, Battista N, Centonze D, Bernardi G, Finazzi-Agro A, and Calabresi P (2003) Levodopa treatment reverses endocannabinoid system abnormalities in experimental parkinsonism. *J Neurochem* **85**:1018–1025.
- Maccarrone M, Lorenzon T, Bari M, Melino G, and Finazzi-Agro A (2000b) Anandamide induces apoptosis in human cells via vanilloid receptors: evidence for a protective role of cannabinoid receptors. *J Biol Chem* **275**:31938–31945.
- Maccarrone M, Valensise H, Bari M, Lazzarin N, Romanini C, and Finazzi-Agro A (2000c) Relation between decreased anandamide hydrolase concentrations in human lymphocytes and miscarriage. *Lancet* **355**:1326–1329.
- Mackie K (2005) Cannabinoid receptor homo- and heterodimerization. *Life Sci* **77**:1667–1673.
- Mackie K (2006) Cannabinoid receptors as therapeutic targets. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **46**:101–122.
- Mackie K, Devane WA, and Hille B (1993) Anandamide, an endogenous cannabinoid,

- inhibits calcium currents as a partial agonist in N18 neuroblastoma cells. *Mol Pharmacol* **44**:498–503.
- Mackie K and Hille B (1992) Cannabinoids inhibit N-type calcium channels in neuroblastoma-glioma cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **89**:3825–3829.
- Mackie K, Lai Y, Westenbroek R, and Mitchell R (1995) Cannabinoids activate an inwardly rectifying potassium conductance and inhibit Q-type calcium currents in AT20 cells transfected with rat brain cannabinoid receptor. *J Neurosci* **15**:6552–6561.
- MacNaughton WK, Van Sickle MD, Keenan CM, Cushing K, Mackie K, and Sharkey KA (2004) Distribution and function of the cannabinoid-1 receptor in the modulation of ion transport in the guinea pig ileum: relationship to capsaicin-sensitive nerves. *Am J Physiol* **286**:G863–G871.
- Mailleux P and Vanderhaeghen JJ (1992) Localization of cannabinoid receptor in the human developing and adult basal ganglia: higher levels in the striatonigral neurons. *Neurosci Lett* **148**:173–176.
- Mailleux P and Vanderhaeghen JJ (1993) Dopaminergic regulation of cannabinoid receptor mRNA levels in the rat caudate-putamen: an in situ hybridization study. *J Neurochem* **61**:1705–1712.
- Maingret F, Patel AJ, Lazdunski M, and Honore E (2001) The endocannabinoid anandamide is a direct and selective blocker of the background K^+ channel TASK-1. *EMBO (Eur Mol Biol Organ) J* **20**:47–54.
- Maione S, Bisogno T, de Novellis V, Palazzo E, Christino L, Valenti M, Petrosino S, Gugliemotti V, Rossi F, and Di Marzo V (2006) Elevation of endocannabinoid levels in the ventrolateral periaqueductal grey through inhibition of fatty acid amide hydrolase affects descending nociceptive pathways via both cannabinoid receptor type 1 and transient receptor potential vanilloid type-1 receptors. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **316**:969–982.
- Makara JK, Mor M, Fegley D, Szabo SI, Kathuria S, Astarita G, Duranti A, Tontini A, Tarzia G, Rivara S, Freund TF, and Piomelli D (2005) Selective inhibition of 2-AG hydrolysis enhances endocannabinoid signaling in hippocampus. *Nat Neurosci* **8**:1139–1141.
- Malan TP Jr, Ibrahim MM, Deng H, Liu Q, Mata HP, Vanderah T, Porreca F, and Makriyannis A (2001) CB2 cannabinoid receptor-mediated peripheral antinociception. *Pain* **93**:239–245.
- Malcher-Lopes R, Di S, Marcheselli VS, Weng F-J, Stuart CT, Bazan NG, and Tasker JG (2006) Opposing crosstalk between leptin and glucocorticoids rapidly modulates synaptic excitation via endocannabinoid release. *J Neurosci* **26**:6643–6650.
- Maldonado R, Valverde O, and Berrendero F (2006) Involvement of the endocannabinoid system in drug addiction. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **29**:225–232.
- Malfait AM, Gallily R, Sumariwalla PF, Malik AS, Andreaskos E, Mechoulam R, and Feldmann M (2000) The nonpsychoactive cannabis constituent cannabidiol is an oral anti-arthritis therapeutic in murine collagen-induced arthritis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **97**:9561–9566.
- Malinowska B, Godlewski G, Boucher B, and Schlicker E (1997) Cannabinoid CB1 receptor-mediated inhibition of the neurogenic vasopressor response in the pithed rat. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol* **356**:197–202.
- Malinowska B, Kwolek G, and Gothert M (2001) Anandamide and methanandamide induce both vanilloid VR1- and cannabinoids CB1 receptor-mediated changes in heart rate and blood pressure in anaesthetized rats. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol* **364**:562–569.
- Malone DT and Taylor DA (2001) Involvement of somatodendritic 5-HT_{1A} receptors in Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol-induced hypothermia in the rat. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **69**:595–601.
- Manara L, Croci T, Guagnini F, Rinaldi-Carmona M, Maffrand JP, Le Fur G, Mukenge S, and Ferla G (2002) Functional assessment of neuronal cannabinoid receptors in the muscular layers of human ileum and colon. *Dig Liver Dis* **34**:262–269.
- Mancinelli R, Fabrizi A, Del Monaco S, Azzena GB, Vargiu R, Colombo GC, and Gessa GL (2001) Inhibition of peristaltic activity by cannabinoids in the isolated distal colon of mouse. *Life Sci* **69**:101–111.
- Maneuf YP, Crossman AR, and Brothie JM (1997) The cannabinoid receptor agonist WIN 55,212-2 reduces D2, but not D1, dopamine receptor-mediated alleviation of akinesia in the reserpine-treated rat model of Parkinson's disease. *Exp Neurol* **148**:265–270.
- Mang CF, Erbelding D, and Kilbinger H (2001) Differential effects of anandamide on acetylcholine release in the guinea-pig ileum mediated via vanilloid and non-CB1 cannabinoid receptors. *Br J Pharmacol* **134**:161–167.
- Manzanas J, Corchero J, and Fuentes JA (1999a) Opioid and cannabinoid receptor-mediated regulation of the increase in adrenocorticotropin hormone and corticosterone plasma concentrations induced by central administration of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol in rats. *Brain Res* **839**:173–179.
- Manzanas J, Corchero J, Romero J, Fernandez-Ruiz JJ, Ramos JA, and Fuentes JA (1999b) Pharmacological and biochemical interactions between opioids and cannabinoids. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **20**:287–294.
- Manzanas J, Uriguen L, Rubio G, and Palomo T (2004) Role of endocannabinoid system in mental diseases. *Neurotox Res* **6**:213–224.
- Marco EM, Perez-Alvarez L, Borcel E, Rubio M, Guaza C, Ambrosio E, File SE, and Viveros MP (2004) Involvement of 5-HT_{1A} receptors in behavioural effects of the cannabinoid receptor agonist CP 55,940 in male rats. *Behav Pharmacol* **15**:21–27.
- Marin S, Marco E, Biscaia M, Fernandez B, Rubio M, Guaza C, Schmidhammer H, and Viveros MP (2003) Involvement of the κ -opioid receptor in the anxiogenic-like effect of CP 55,940 in male rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **74**:649–656.
- Markus FW (1971) Cannabivarin and tetrahydrocannabivarin, two constituents of hashish. *Nature (Lond)* **232**:579–580.
- Marsicano G and Lutz B (1999) Expression of the cannabinoid receptor CB₁ in distinct neuronal subpopulations in the adult mouse forebrain. *Eur J Neurosci* **11**:4213–4225.
- Marsicano G, Goodenough S, Monory K, Hermann H, Eder M, Cannich A, Azad SC, Cascio MG, Gutiérrez SO, van der Stelt M, et al. (2003) CB₁ cannabinoid receptors and on-demand defense against excitotoxicity. *Science (Wash DC)* **302**:84–88.
- Marsicano G, Moosmann B, Hermann H, Lutz B, and Behl C (2002a) Neuroprotective properties of cannabinoids against oxidative stress: role of the cannabinoid receptor CB₁. *J Neurochem* **80**:448–456.
- Marsicano G, Wotjak CT, Azad SC, Bisogno T, Rammes G, Cascio MG, Hermann H, Tang J, Hofmann C, Zieglansberger W, et al. (2002b) The endogenous cannabinoid system controls extinction of aversive memories. *Nature (Lond)* **418**:530–534.
- Martellotta MC, Cossu G, Fattore L, Gessa GL, and Fratta G (1998) Self-administration of the cannabinoid receptor agonist WIN 55,212-2 in drug-naïve rats. *Neuroscience* **85**:327–330.
- Martin AR, Consroe P, Kane VV, Shah V, Singh V, Lander N, Mechoulam R, and Srebnik M (1987) Structure-anticonvulsant activity relationships of cannabidiol analogs. *NIDA Res Monogr* **79**:48–58.
- Martin BR and Wiley JL (2004) Mechanism of action of cannabinoids: how it may lead to treatment of cachexia, emesis, and pain. *J Support Oncol* **2**:305–334; discussion 314–316.
- Martin M, Ledent C, Parmentier M, Maldonado R, and Valverde O (2000) Cocaine, but not morphine, induces conditioned place preference and sensitization to locomotor responses in CB₁ knockout mice. *Eur J Neurosci* **12**:4038–4046.
- Martin M, Ledent C, Parmentier M, Maldonado R, and Valverde O (2002) Involvement of CB₁ cannabinoid receptors in emotional behaviour. *Psychopharmacology* **159**:379–387.
- Martin WJ, Coffin PO, Attias E, Balinsky M, Tsou K, and Walker JM (1999a) Anatomical basis for cannabinoid-induced antinociception as revealed by intracerebral microinjections. *Brain Res* **822**:237–242.
- Martin WJ, Hohmann AG, and Walker JM (1996) Suppression of noxious stimulus-evoked activity in the ventral posterolateral nucleus of the thalamus by the cannabinoid WIN 55,212-2: correlation between electrophysiological and antinociceptive effects. *J Neurosci* **16**:6601–6611.
- Martin WJ, Lai NK, Patrick SL, Tsou K, and Walker JM (1993) Antinociceptive actions of WIN 55,212-2 following intraventricular administration in rats. *Brain Res* **629**:300–304.
- Martin WJ, Loo CM, and Basbaum AI (1999b) Spinal cannabinoids are anti-allodynic in rats with persistent inflammation. *Pain* **82**:199–205.
- Martin WJ, Patrick SL, Coffin PO, Tsou K, and Walker JM (1995) An examination of the central sites of action of cannabinoid-induced antinociception in the rat. *Life Sci* **56**:2103–2110.
- Martin WJ, Tsou K, and Walker JM (1998) Cannabinoid receptor-mediated inhibition of the rat tail-flick reflex after microinjection into the rostral ventromedial medulla. *Neurosci Lett* **232**:33–36.
- Martin-Calderon JL, Munoz RM, Villanua MA, del Arco I, Moreno JL, de Fonseca FR, and Navarro M (1998) Characterization of the acute endocrine actions of (–)-11-hydroxy- Δ^8 -tetrahydrocannabinol-dimethylheptyl (HU-210), a potent synthetic cannabinoid in rats. *Eur J Pharmacol* **344**:77–86.
- Martinez-Arevalo MJ, Calcedo-Ordóñez A, and Varo-Prieto JR (1994) Cannabis consumption as a prognostic factor in schizophrenia. *Br J Psychiatry* **164**:679–681.
- Martinez-Vargas M, Murillo-Rodríguez E, Gonzalez-Rivera R, Landa A, Mendez-Diaz M, Prospero-García O, and Navarro L (2003) Sleep modulates cannabinoid receptor 1 expression in the pons of rats. *Neuroscience* **117**:197–201.
- Martyn CN, Illis LS, and Thom J (1995) Nabilone in the treatment of multiple sclerosis. *Lancet* **345**:579.
- Mascia MS, Obinu MC, Ledent C, Parmentier M, Böhme GA, Imperato A, and Fratta W (1999) Lack of morphine-induced dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens of cannabinoid CB₁ receptor knockout mice. *Eur J Pharmacol* **383**:R1–R2.
- Mascolo N, Izzo AA, Ligresti A, Costagliola A, Pinto L, Cascio MG, Maffia P, Cecio A, Capasso F, and Di Marzo V (2002) The endocannabinoid system and the molecular basis of paralytic ileus in mice. *FASEB J* **16**:1973–1975.
- Maslov LN, Lasukova OV, Krylatov AV, Uzhachenko RV, and Pertwee R (2004) Selective cannabinoid receptor agonist HU-210 decreases pump function of isolated perfused heart: role of cAMP and cGMP. *Bull Exp Biol Med* **138**:550–553.
- Mas-Nieto M, Pommier B, Tzavara ET, Caneparo A, Da Nascimento S, Le Fur G, Roques BP, and Noble F (2001) Reduction of opioid dependence by the CB₁ antagonist SR141716A in mice: evaluation of the interest in pharmacotherapy of opioid addiction. *Br J Pharmacol* **132**:1809–1816.
- Massa F, Marsicano G, Hermann H, Cannich A, Monory K, Cravatt BF, Ferri GL, Sibaev A, Storr M, and Lutz B (2004) The endogenous cannabinoid system protects against colonic inflammation. *J Clin Invest* **113**:1202–1209.
- Massa F, Storr M, and Lutz B (2005) The endocannabinoid system in the physiology and pathophysiology of the gastrointestinal tract. *J Mol Med* **83**:944–954.
- Massi P, Vaccani A, Ceruti S, Colombo A, Abbracchio MP, and Parolaro D (2004) Antitumor effects of cannabidiol, a nonpsychoactive cannabinoid, on human glioma cell lines. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **308**:838–845.
- Mathison R, Ho W, Pittman QJ, Davison JS, and Sharkey KA (2004) Effects of cannabinoid receptor-2 activation on accelerated gastrointestinal transit in lipopolysaccharide-treated rats. *Br J Pharmacol* **142**:1247–1254.
- Matias I, Gonthier M-P, Monteleone P, and Di Marzo V (2005) Peripheral upregulation of the endocannabinoid system in obesity. In *Proceedings of the 2005 Symposium on the Cannabinoids*, p 58, International Cannabinoid Research Society, Burlington, VT.
- Matias I, Gonthier M-P, Orlando P, Martiadis V, De Petrocellis L, Cervino C, Petrosino S, Hoareau L, Festy F, Pasquali R, et al. (2006) Regulation, function and dysregulation of endocannabinoids in obesity and hyperglycemia. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*, in press.
- Mato S, Chevalere V, Robbe D, Pazos A, Castillo PE, and Manzoni OJ (2004) A single in-vivo exposure to Δ^9 THC blocks endocannabinoid-mediated synaptic plasticity. *Nat Neurosci* **7**:585–586.
- Matsuda K, Mikami Y, Takeda K, Fukuyama S, Egawa S, Sunamura M, Maruyama I, and Matsuno S (2005) The cannabinoid 1 receptor antagonist, AM251, prolongs the survival of rats with severe acute pancreatitis. *Tohoku J Exp Med* **207**:99–107.
- Matsuda LA, Lolait SJ, Brownstein MJ, Young CA, and Bonner TI (1990) Structure of a cannabinoid receptor and functional expression of the cloned cDNA. *Nature (Lond)* **346**:561–564.

- Mauler F, Mittendorf J, Horvath E, and De Vry J (2002) Characterization of the diarylether sulfonylester (-)-(R)-3-(2-hydroxymethylindanyl-4-oxy)phenyl-4,4,4-trifluoro-1-sulfonate (BAY 38-7271) as a potent cannabinoid receptor agonist with neuroprotective properties. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **302**:359–368.
- Mazzola C, Micale V, and Drago F (2003) Amnesia induced by β -amyloid fragments is counteracted by cannabinoid CB₁ receptor blockade. *Eur J Pharmacol* **477**:219–225.
- Mbvundula EC, Bunning RA, and Rainsford KD (2005) Effects of cannabinoids on nitric oxide production by chondrocytes and proteoglycan degradation in cartilage. *Biochem Pharmacol* **69**:635–640.
- Mbvundula EC, Bunning RA, and Rainsford KD (2006) Arthritis and cannabinoids: HU-210 and Win-55,212-2 prevent IL-1 α -induced matrix degradation in bovine articular chondrocytes in-vitro. *J Pharm Pharmacol* **58**:351–358.
- McAllister SD, Rizvi G, Anavi-Goffer S, Hurst DP, Barnett-Norris J, Lynch DL, Reggio PH, and Abood ME (2003) An aromatic microdomain at the cannabinoid CB₁ receptor constitutes an agonist/inverse agonist binding region. *J Med Chem* **46**:5139–5152.
- McCallum RW, Soykan I, Sridhar KR, Ricci DA, Lange RC, and Plankey MW (1999) Δ -9-Tetrahydrocannabinol delays the gastric emptying of solid food in humans: a double-blind, randomized study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* **13**:77–80.
- McCaw EA, Hu H, Gomez GT, Hebb AL, Kelly ME, and Denovan-Wright EM (2004) Structure, expression and regulation of the cannabinoid receptor gene (CB1) in Huntington's disease transgenic mice. *Eur J Neurosci* **27**:4909–4920.
- McCormick DA and Contreras D (2001) On the cellular and network bases of epileptic seizures. *Annu Rev Physiol* **63**:815–846.
- McFarland MJ and Barker EL (2004) Anandamide transport. *Pharmacol Ther* **104**:117–135.
- McGarry JD (1992) What if Minkowski had been diabetic? An alternative angle on diabetes. *Science (Wash DC)* **258**:766–770.
- McGregor IS, Dastur FN, McLellan RA, and Brown RE (1996a) Cannabinoid modulation of rat pup ultrasonic vocalizations. *Eur J Pharmacol* **313**:43–49.
- McGregor IS, Issakidis CN, and Prior G (1996b) Aversive effects of the synthetic cannabinoid CP 55,940 in rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **53**:657–664.
- McGuire PK, Jones P, Harvey I, Bebbington P, Toone B, Lewis S, and Murray RM (1994) Cannabis and acute psychosis. *Schizophr Res* **13**:161–167.
- McKallip RJ, Lombard C, Fisher M, Martin BR, Ryu S, Grant S, Nagarkatti PS, and Nagarkatti M (2002a) Targeting CB2 cannabinoid receptors as a novel therapy to treat malignant lymphoblastic disease. *Blood* **100**:627–634.
- McKallip RJ, Lombard C, Martin BR, Nagarkatti M, and Nagarkatti PS (2002b) Δ^9 -Tetrahydrocannabinol-induced apoptosis in the thymus and spleen as a mechanism of immunosuppression in vitro and in vivo. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **302**:451–465.
- McKallip RJ, Nagarkatti M, and Nagarkatti PS (2005) Δ -9-Tetrahydrocannabinol enhances breast cancer growth and metastasis by suppression of the antitumor immune response. *J Immunol* **174**:3281–3289.
- McKinney MK and Cravatt BF (2005) Structure and function of fatty acid amide hydrolase. *Annu Rev Biochem* **74**:411–434.
- McLaughlin PJ, Winston K, Swezey L, Wisniecki A, Aberman J, Tardif DJ, Betz AJ, Ishiwari K, Makriyannis A, and Salamone JD (2003) The cannabinoid CB₁ antagonists SR141716A and AM 251 suppress food intake and food-reinforced behavior in a variety of tasks in rats. *Behav Pharmacol* **14**:583–588.
- McNamara JO (1999) Emerging insights into the genesis of epilepsy. *Nature (Lond)* **399**:A15–A22.
- McPartland JM and Russo EB (2001) Cannabis and cannabis extracts: greater than the sum of their parts? *J Cannabis Ther* **1**:103–132.
- Mechoulam R (1986) The pharmacology of cannabis sativa, in *Cannabis as Therapeutic Agent* (Mechoulam R ed) pp 1–19, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Mechoulam R, Ben-Shabat S, Hanus L, Ligumsky M, Kaminski NE, Schatz AR, Gopher A, Sholomo A, Martin BR, Compton DR, et al. (1995) Identification of an endogenous 2-monoglyceride, present in canine gut, that binds to cannabinoid receptors. *Biochem Pharmacol* **50**:83–90.
- Mechoulam R, Frider E, and Di Marzo V (1998) V. Endocannabinoids. *Eur J Pharmacol* **359**:1–18.
- Mechoulam R, Frider E, Hanus L, Sheskin T, Bisogno T, Di Marzo V, Bayewitch M, and Vogel Z (1997) Anandamide may mediate sleep induction. *Nature (Lond)* **389**:25–26.
- Mechoulam R and Gaoni Y (1967) The absolute configuration of Δ -1-tetrahydrocannabinol, the major active constituent of hashish. *Tetrahedron Lett* **12**:1109–1111.
- Mechoulam R and Hanus L (2000) A historical overview of chemical research on cannabinoids. *Chem Phys Lipids* **108**:1–13.
- Mechoulam R and Hanus L (2002) Cannabidiol: an overview of some chemical and pharmacological aspects. Part I: chemical aspects. *Chem Phys Lipids* **121**:35–43.
- Mechoulam R, Spatz M, and Shohami E (2002a) Endocannabinoids and neuroprotection. *Sci STKE* **2002**:RE5.
- Mechoulam R, Panikashvili D, and Shohami E (2002b) Cannabinoids and brain injury: therapeutic implications. *Trends Mol Med* **8**:58–61.
- Mechoulam R, Parker LA, and Gallily R (2002c) Cannabidiol: an overview of some pharmacological aspects. *J Clin Pharmacol* **42**:11S–19S.
- Meinck HM, Schonle PW, and Conrad B (1989) Effect of cannabinoids on spasticity and ataxia in multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol* **236**:120–122.
- Melck D, De Petrocellis L, Orlando P, Bisogno T, Laezza C, Bifulco M, and Di Marzo V (2000) Suppression of nerve growth factor Trk receptors and prolactin receptors by endocannabinoids leads to inhibition of human breast and prostate cancer cell proliferation. *Endocrinology* **141**:118–126.
- Melck D, Rueda D, Galve-Roperh I, De Petrocellis L, Guzman M, and Di Marzo V (1999) Involvement of the cAMP/protein kinase A pathway and of mitogen-activated protein kinase in the anti-proliferative effects of anandamide in human breast cancer cells. *FEBS Lett* **463**:235–240.
- Melis M, Pistis M, Perra S, Muntoni AL, Pillolla G, and Gessa GL (2004a) Endocannabinoids mediate presynaptic inhibition of glutamatergic transmission in ventral tegmental area dopamine neurons through activation of CB1 receptors. *J Neurosci* **24**:53–62.
- Melis MR, Succu S, Mascia MS, and Argiolas A (2004b) Antagonism of cannabinoid CB1 receptors in the paraventricular nucleus of male rats induces penile erection. *Neurosci Lett* **359**:17–20.
- Melis MR, Succu S, Mascia MS, Sanna F, Melis T, Castelli MP, and Argiolas A (2006) The cannabinoid receptor antagonist SR-141716A induces penile erection in male rats: involvement of paraventricular glutamic acid and nitric oxide. *Neuropharmacology* **50**:219–228.
- Melone MA, Jori FP, and Peluso G (2005) Huntington's disease: new frontiers for molecular and cell therapy. *Curr Drug Targets* **6**:43–56.
- Meltzer HY, Arvanitis L, Bauer D, and Rein W; Meta-Trial Study Group (2004) Placebo-controlled evaluation of four novel compounds for the treatment of schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* **161**:975–984.
- Mendelson WB and Basile AS (1999) The hypnotic actions of oleamide are blocked by a cannabinoid receptor antagonist. *Neuroreport* **10**:3237–3239.
- Meng ID, Manning BH, Martin WJ, and Fields HL (1998) An analgesia circuit activated by cannabinoids. *Nature (Lond)* **395**:381–383.
- Merritt JC, Crawford WJ, Alexander PC, Anduze AL, and Gelbart SS (1980) Effect of marijuana on intraocular and blood pressure in glaucoma. *Ophthalmology* **87**:222–228.
- Merritt JC, Olsen JL, Armstrong JR, and McKinnon SM (1981a) Topical Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol in hypertensive glaucomas. *J Pharm Pharmacol* **33**:40–41.
- Merritt JC, Perry DD, Russell DN, and Jones BF (1981b) Topical Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol and aqueous dynamics in glaucoma. *J Clin Pharmacol* **21**:467S–471S.
- Meschler JP, Howlett AC, and Madras BK (2001) Cannabinoid receptor agonist and antagonist effects on motor function in normal and 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,5,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP)-treated non-human primates. *Psychopharmacology* **156**:79–85.
- Mesnage V, Houeto JL, Bonnet AM, Clavier I, Arnulf I, Cattelin F, Le Fur G, Damier P, Welter ML, and Agid Y (2004) Neurokinin B, neurotensin, and cannabinoid receptor antagonists and Parkinson disease. *Clin Neuropharmacol* **27**:108–110.
- Mestre L, Correa F, Arevalo-Martin A, Molina-Holgado E, Valenti M, Ortas G, Di Marzo V, and Guaza C (2005) Pharmacological modulation of the endocannabinoid system in a viral model of multiple sclerosis. *J Neurochem* **92**:1327–1339.
- Michalopoulos GK, Bowen WC, Kule K, and Luo J (2003) HGF-, EGF-, and dexamethasone-induced gene expression patterns during formation of tissue in hepatic organoid cultures. *Gene Expr* **11**:55–75.
- Miller AS, Sanudo-Pena MC, and Walker JM (1998) Ipsilateral turning behavior induced by unilateral microinjections of a cannabinoid into the rat subthalamic nucleus. *Brain Res* **793**:7–11.
- Miller CC, Murray TF, Freeman KG, and Edwards GL (2004) Cannabinoid agonist, CP 55,940, facilitates intake of palatable foods when injected into the hindbrain. *Physiol Behav* **80**:611–616.
- Miller P, Lawrie SM, Hodges A, Clafferty R, Cosway R, and Johnstone EC (2001) Genetic liability, illicit drug use, life stress and psychotic symptoms: preliminary findings from the Edinburgh study of people at high risk for schizophrenia. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* **36**:338–342.
- Milman G, Maor Y, Abu-Lafi S, Horowitz M, Galily R, Batkai S, Mo FM, Offertaler L, Pacher P, Kunos G, et al. (2006) N-arachidonoyl L-serine, an endocannabinoid-like brain constituent with vasodilatory properties. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **103**:2428–2433.
- Milton NG (2002) Anandamide and noladin ether prevent neurotoxicity of the human amyloid- β peptide. *Neurosci Lett* **332**:127–130.
- Mimeault M, Pommery N, Watzet N, Bailly C, and Henichart JP (2003) Antiproliferative and apoptotic effects of anandamide in human prostatic cancer cell lines: implication of epidermal growth factor receptor down-regulation and ceramide production. *Prostate* **56**:1–12.
- Minokoshi Y, Alquier T, Furukawa N, Kim YB, Lee A, Xue B, Mu J, Foulfelle F, Ferre P, Birnbaum MJ, et al. (2004) AMP-kinase regulates food intake by responding to hormonal and nutrient signals in the hypothalamus. *Nature (Lond)* **428**:569–574.
- Mitchell VA, Aslan S, Safaei R, and Vaughan CW (2005) Effect of the cannabinoid ajulemic acid on rat models of neuropathic and inflammatory pain. *Neurosci Lett* **382**:231–235.
- Mo FM, Offertaler L, and Kunos G (2004) Atypical cannabinoid stimulates endothelial cell migration via G_{i/o}-coupled receptor distinct from CB₁, CB₂ or EDG-1. *Eur J Pharmacol* **489**:21–27.
- Moldrich G and Wenger T (2000) Localization of the CB1 cannabinoid receptor in the rat brain: an immunohistochemical study. *Peptides* **21**:1735–1742.
- Molina-Holgado E, Vela JM, Arevalo-Martin A, Almazan G, Molina-Holgado F, Borrell J, and Guaza C (2002) Cannabinoids promote oligodendrocyte progenitor survival: involvement of cannabinoid receptors and phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase/Akt signaling. *J Neurosci* **22**:9742–9753.
- Molina-Holgado F, Lledo A, and Guaza C (1997) Anandamide suppresses nitric oxide and TNF- α responses to Theiler's virus or endotoxin in astrocytes. *Neuroreport* **8**:1929–1933.
- Moller HJ (2005) Antipsychotic agents: gradually improving treatment from the traditional oral neuroleptics to the first atypical depot. *Eur Psychiatry* **20**:379–385.
- Monory K, Massa F, Blaudzub H, Marsicano G, and Lutz B (2005) The role of different neuronal populations in the pharmacological actions of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol, in *Proceedings of the 2005 Symposium on the Cannabinoids*, p 18, International Cannabinoid Research Society, Burlington, VT.
- Monteleone P, Fabbrazzo M, Tortorella A, Fuschino A, and Maj M (2002) Opposite modifications in circulating leptin and soluble leptin receptor across the eating disorder spectrum. *Mol Psychiatry* **7**:641–646.
- Monteleone P, Matias I, Martiadis V, De Petrocellis L, Maj M, and Di Marzo V (2005) Blood levels of the endocannabinoid anandamide are increased in anorexia nervosa and in binge-eating disorder, but not in bulimia nervosa. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **30**:1216–1221.

- Monti JM (1977) Hypnoticlike effects of cannabidiol in the rat. *Psychopharmacology* **55**:263–265.
- Moore SA, Nomikos GG, Dickason-Chesterfield AK, Schober DA, Schaus JM, Ying B-P, Xu Y-C, Phebus L, Simmons RMA, Li D, et al. (2005) Identification of a high-affinity binding site involved in the transport of endocannabinoids. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **102**:17852–17857.
- Morahan PS, Klykken PC, Smith SH, Harris LS, and Munson AE (1979) Effects of cannabinoids on host resistance to *Listeria monocytogenes* and herpes simplex virus. *Infect Immun* **23**:670–674.
- Morales M, Wang S-D, Diaz-Ruiz O, and Jho DH-J (2004) Cannabinoid CB1 receptor and serotonin 3 receptor subunit A (5-HT_{3A}) are co-expressed in GABA neurons in the rat telencephalon. *J Comp Neurol* **468**:205–216.
- Morley JE (2001) Anorexia, sarcopenia, and aging. *Nutrition* **17**:660–663.
- Mukhopadhyay S, Chapnick BM, and Howlett AC (2002) Anandamide-induced vasorelaxation in rabbit aortic rings has two components: G protein dependent and independent. *Am J Physiol* **282**:H2046–H2054.
- Mukhopadhyay S and Howlett AC (2005) Chemically distinct ligands promote differential CB₁ cannabinoid receptor-Gi protein interactions. *Mol Pharmacol* **67**:2016–2024.
- Müller-Vahl KR (2003) Cannabinoids reduce symptoms of Tourette's syndrome. *Expert Opin Pharmacother* **4**:1717–1725.
- Müller-Vahl KR, Kolbe H, and Dengler R (1997) Gilles de la Tourette syndrome: effect of nicotine, alcohol and marihuana on clinical symptoms. *Nervenarzt* **68**:985–989.
- Müller-Vahl KR, Kolbe H, Schneider U, and Emrich HM (1998) Cannabinoids: possible role in patho-physiology and therapy of Gilles de la Tourette syndrome. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* **98**:502–506.
- Müller-Vahl KR, Kolbe H, Schneider U, and Emrich HM (1999a) Cannabis in movement disorders. *Forsch Komplementarmed* **6**:23–27.
- Müller-Vahl KR, Prevedel H, Theloe K, Kolbe H, Emrich HM, and Schneider U (2003a) Treatment of Tourette syndrome with Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ^9 -THC): no influence on neuropsychological performance. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **28**:384–388.
- Müller-Vahl KR, Schneider U, and Emrich HM (1999b) Nabilone increases choreatic movements in Huntington's disease. *Mov Disord* **14**:1038–1040.
- Müller-Vahl KR, Schneider U, Koblenz A, Jobgen M, Kolbe H, Daldrup T, and Emrich HM (2002) Treatment of Tourette's syndrome with Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (THC): a randomized crossover trial. *Pharmacopsychiatry* **35**:57–61.
- Müller-Vahl KR, Schneider U, Kolbe H, and Emrich HM (1999c) Treatment of Tourette's syndrome with Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol. *Am J Psychiatry* **156**:495.
- Müller-Vahl KR, Schneider U, Prevedel H, Theloe K, Kolbe H, Daldrup T, and Emrich HM (2003b) Δ^9 -Tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) is effective in the treatment of tics in Tourette syndrome: a 6-week randomized trial. *J Clin Psychiatry* **64**:459–465.
- Munro S, Thomas KL, and Abu-Shaar M (1993) Molecular characterization of a peripheral receptor for cannabinoids. *Nature (Lond)* **365**:61–65.
- Munson AE, Harris LS, Friedman MA, Dewey WL, and Carchman RA (1975) Antineoplastic activity of cannabinoids. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **55**:597–602.
- Murillo-Rodriguez E, Blanco-Centurion C, Sanchez C, Piomelli D, and Shiromani PJ (2003) Anandamide enhances extracellular levels of adenosine and induces sleep: an in vivo microdialysis study. *Sleep* **26**:943–947.
- Murillo-Rodriguez E, Cabeza R, Mendez-Diaz M, Navarro L, and Prospero-Garcia O (2001) Anandamide-induced sleep is blocked by SR141716A, a CB1 receptor antagonist and by U73122, a phospholipase C inhibitor. *Neuroreport* **12**:2131–2136.
- Murillo-Rodriguez E, Sanchez-Alavez M, Navarro L, Martinez-Gonzalez D, Drucker-Colin R, and Prospero-Garcia O (1998) Anandamide modulates sleep and memory in rats. *Brain Res* **812**:270–274.
- Muthian S, Rademacher DJ, Roelke CT, Gross GJ, and Hillard CJ (2004) Anandamide content is increased and CB1 cannabinoid receptor blockade is protective during transient, focal cerebral ischemia. *Neuroscience* **129**:743–750.
- Naassila M, Pierrefiche O, Ledent C, and Daoust M (2004) Decreased alcohol self-administration and increased alcohol sensitivity and withdrawal in CB1 receptor knockout mice. *Neuropharmacology* **46**:243–253.
- Nackley AG, Makriyannis A, and Hohmann AG (2003a) Selective activation of cannabinoid CB₂ receptors suppresses spinal fos protein expression and pain behavior in a rat model of inflammation. *Neuroscience* **119**:747–757.
- Nackley AG, Suplita RL 2nd, and Hohmann AG (2003b) A peripheral cannabinoid mechanism suppresses spinal fos protein expression and pain behavior in a rat model of inflammation. *Neuroscience* **117**:659–670.
- Nackley AG, Zvonok AM, Makriyannis A, and Hohmann AG (2004) Activation of cannabinoid CB₂ receptors suppresses C-fiber responses and windup in spinal wide dynamic range neurons in the absence and presence of inflammation. *J Neurophysiol* **92**:3562–3574.
- Naderi N, Shafaghi B, Khodayar MJ, and Zarindast MR (2005) Interaction between γ -aminobutyric acid GABA_B and cannabinoid CB1 receptors in spinal pain pathways in rat. *Eur J Pharmacol* **514**:159–164.
- Nadler V, Biegion A, Beit-Yannai E, Adamchik J, and Shohami E (1995) ⁴⁵Ca accumulation in rat brain after closed head injury; attenuation by the novel neuroprotective agent HU-211. *Brain Res* **685**:1–11.
- Nadler V, Mechoulam R, and Sokolovsky M (1993a) Blockade of ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ influx through the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor ion channel by the non-psychoactive cannabinoid HU-211. *Brain Res* **622**:79–85.
- Nadler V, Mechoulam R, and Sokolovsky M (1993b) The non-psychoactive cannabinoid (+)-(3S,4S)-7-hydroxy- Δ^6 -tetrahydrocannabinol 1,1-dimethylheptyl (HU-211) attenuates N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor-mediated neurotoxicity in primary cultures of rat forebrain. *Neurosci Lett* **162**:43–45.
- Nagayama T, Sinor AD, Simon RP, Chen J, Graham SH, Jin K, and Greenberg DA (1999) Cannabinoids and neuroprotection in global and focal cerebral ischemia and in neuronal cultures. *J Neurosci* **19**:2987–2995.
- Navarro L, Martinez-vargas M, Murillo-rodriguez E, Landa A, Mendez-diaz M, and Prospero-garcia O (2003) Potential role of the cannabinoid receptor CB1 in rapid eye movement sleep rebound. *Neuroscience* **120**:855–859.
- Navarro M, Carrera MR, Del Arco I, Trigo JM, Koob GF, and Rodriguez de Fonseca F (2004) Cannabinoid receptor antagonist reduces heroin self-administration only in dependent rats. *Eur J Pharmacol* **501**:235–237.
- Navarro M, Carrera MR, Fratta W, Valverde O, Cossu G, Fattore L, Chowen JA, Gomez R, del Arco I, Villanua MA, et al. (2001) Functional interaction between opioid and cannabinoid receptors in drug self-administration. *J Neurosci* **21**:5344–5350.
- Navarro M, Hernandez E, Munoz RM, del Arco I, Villanua MA, Carrera MR, and Rodriguez de Fonseca F (1997) Acute administration of the CB1 cannabinoid receptor antagonist SR 141716A induces anxiety-like responses in the rat. *Neuroreport* **8**:491–496.
- Neff GW, O'Brien CB, Reddy KR, Bergasa NV, Regev A, Molina E, Amaro R, Rodriguez MJ, Chase V, Jeffers L, et al. (2002) Preliminary observation with dronabinol in patients with intractable pruritus secondary to cholestatic liver disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* **97**:2117–2119.
- Negrete JC (1989) Cannabis and schizophrenia. *Br J Addict* **84**:349–351.
- Nelson K, Walsh D, Deeter P, and Sheehan F (1994) A phase II study of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol for appetite stimulation in cancer-associated anorexia. *J Palliat Care* **10**:14–18.
- Nestler EJ (2003) Molecular mechanism of drug addiction in the mesolimbic dopaminergic pathway. *Semin Neurosci* **5**:369–376.
- Newell KA, Deng C, and Huang XF (2006) Increased cannabinoid receptor density in the posterior cingulate cortex in schizophrenia. *Exp Brain Res* **172**:556–560.
- Newton C, Klein T, and Friedman H (1998) The role of macrophages in THC-induced alteration of the cytokine network. *Adv Exp Med Biol* **437**:207–214.
- Ng SK, Brust JC, Hauser WA, and Sussner M (1990) Illicit drug use and the risk of new-onset seizures. *Am J Epidemiol* **132**:47–57.
- Ni X, Geller EB, Eppihimer MJ, Eisenstein TK, Adler MW, and Tuma RF (2004) Win 55212-2, a cannabinoid receptor agonist, attenuates leukocyte/endothelial interactions in an experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis model. *Mult Scler* **10**:158–164.
- Nicholson AN, Turner C, Stone BM, and Robson PJ (2004) Effect of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol and cannabidiol on nocturnal sleep and early-morning behavior in young adults. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* **24**:305–313.
- Nicholson RA, Liao C, Zheng J, David LS, Coyne L, Errington AC, Singh G, and Lees G (2003) Sodium channel inhibition by anandamide and synthetic cannabimimetics in brain. *Brain Res* **978**:194–204.
- Niederhoffer N, Schmid K, and Szabo B (2003) The peripheral sympathetic nervous system is the major target of cannabinoids in eliciting cardiovascular depression. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol* **367**:434–443.
- Niederhoffer N and Szabo B (2000) Cannabinoids cause central sympathoexcitation and bradycardia in rabbits. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **294**:707–713.
- Nithipatikom K, Endsley MP, Isbell MA, Falck JR, Iwamoto Y, Hillard CJ, and Campbell WB (2004) 2-Arachidonoylglycerol: a novel inhibitor of androgen-independent prostate cancer cell invasion. *Cancer Res* **64**:8826–8830.
- Nogueron MI, Porgilsson B, Schneider WE, Stucky CL, and Hillard CJ (2001) Cannabinoid receptor agonists inhibit depolarization-induced calcium influx in cerebellar granule neurons. *J Neurochem* **79**:371–381.
- Nordmann AJ, Nordmann A, Briel M, Keller U, Yancy WS, Brehm BJ, and Bucher HC (2006) Effects of low-carbohydrate vs low-fat diets on weight loss and cardiovascular risk factors: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Arch Intern Med* **166**:285–293.
- Notcutt W, Price M, Miller R, Newport S, Phillips C, Simmons S, and Sansom C (2004) Initial experiences with medicinal extracts of cannabis for chronic pain: results from 34 'N of 1' studies. *Anaesthesia* **59**:440–452.
- Noyes R Jr, Brunk SF, Avery DH, and Canter A (1975a) The analgesic properties of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol and codeine. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **18**:84–89.
- Noyes R Jr, Brunk SF, Baram DA, and Canter A (1975b) Analgesic effect of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol. *J Clin Pharmacol* **1**:139–143.
- Obeso JA, Rodriguez-Oroz MC, Rodriguez M, DeLong MR, and Olanow CW (2000) Pathophysiology of levodopa-induced dyskinesias in Parkinson's disease: problems with the current model. *Ann Neurol* **47**:S22–S34.
- Obici S, Feng Z, Arduini A, Conti R, and Rossetti R (2003) Inhibition of hypothalamic carnitine palmitoyltransferase-1 decreases food intake and glucose production. *Nat Med* **9**:756–761.
- O'Dell JR (2004) Therapeutic strategies for rheumatoid arthritis. *N Engl J Med* **350**:2591–2602.
- Ofek O, Karsak M, Leclerc N, Fogel M, Frenkel B, Wright K, Tam J, Attar-Namdar M, Kram V, Shohami E, et al. (2006) Peripheral cannabinoid receptor, CB2, regulates bone mass. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **103**:696–701.
- Offertaler L, Mo FM, Bäckai S, Liu J, Begg M, Razdan RK, Martin BR, Bukoski RD, and Kunos G (2003) Selective ligands and cellular effectors of a G protein-coupled endothelial cannabinoid receptor. *Mol Pharmacol* **63**:699–705.
- Ohno-Shosaku T, Maejima T, and Kano M (2001) Endogenous cannabinoids mediate retrograde signals from depolarized postsynaptic neurons to presynaptic terminals. *Neuron* **29**:729–738.
- Okamoto Y, Morishita J, Tsuboi K, Tonai T, and Ueda N (2004) Molecular characterization of a phospholipase D generating anandamide and its congeners. *J Biol Chem* **279**:5298–5305.
- Onaivi ES, Green MR, and Martin BR (1990) Pharmacological characterization of cannabinoids in the elevated plus maze. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **253**:1002–1009.
- Ortar G, Ligresti A, De Petrocellis L, Morera E, and Di Marzo V (2003) Novel selective and metabolically stable inhibitors of anandamide cellular uptake. *Biochem Pharmacol* **65**:1473–1481.
- Ortega-Gutierrez S, Hawkins EG, Viso A, Lopez-Rodriguez ML, and Cravatt BF (2004) Comparison of anandamide transport in FAAH wild-type and knockout neurons: evidence for contributions by both FAAH and the CB1 receptor to anandamide uptake. *Biochemistry* **43**:8184–8190.
- Ortega-Gutierrez S, Molina-Holgado E, Arevalo-Martin A, Correa F, Viso A, Lopez-

- Rodriguez ML, Di Marzo V, and Guaza C (2005) Activation of the endocannabinoid system as a therapeutic approach in a murine model of multiple sclerosis. *FASEB J* **19**:1338–1343.
- Oruc MT, Soran A, Jain AK, Wilson JW, and Fung J (2004) De novo breast cancer in patients with liver transplantation: University of Pittsburgh's experience and review of the literature. *Liver Transplant* **10**:1–6.
- Orzelek-O'Neil RM, Goodman FR, and Forney RB (1980a) Δ -9-Tetrahydrocannabinol on isolated human bronchioles. *Arch Int Pharmacodyn Ther* **246**:71–83.
- Orzelek-O'Neil RM, Goodman FR, and Forney RB (1980b) The effects of Δ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol and nabilone on the isolated guinea pig bronchus. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* **54**:493–500.
- Osei-Hyiaman D, Depetrillo M, Harvey-White J, Bannon AW, Cravatt BF, Kuhar MJ, Mackie K, Palkovits M, and Kunos G (2005a) Cocaine- and amphetamine-related transcript peptide is involved in the orexigenic effect of endogenous anandamide. *Neuroendocrinology* **81**:273–282.
- Osei-Hyiaman D, DePetrillo M, Pacher P, Liu J, Radaeva S, Batkai S, Harvey-White J, Mackie K, Offertaler L, Wang L, et al. (2005b) Endocannabinoid activation at hepatic CB1 receptors stimulates fatty acid synthesis and contributes to diet-induced obesity. *J Clin Invest* **115**:1298–1305.
- O'Sullivan SE, Kendall DA, and Randall MD (2004a) Characterisation of the vasorelaxant properties of the novel endocannabinoid *N*-arachidonoyl-dopamine (NADA). *Br J Pharmacol* **141**:803–812.
- O'Sullivan SE, Kendall DA, and Randall MD (2004b) Heterogeneity in the mechanisms of vasorelaxation to anandamide in resistance and conduit rat mesenteric arteries. *Br J Pharmacol* **142**:435–442.
- Ottani A, Leone S, Sandrini M, Ferrari A, and Bertolini A (2006) The analgesic activity of paracetamol is prevented by the blockade of cannabinoid CB1 receptors. *Eur J Pharmacol* **531**:280–281.
- Oz M, Tchugunova Y, and Dinc M (2004) Differential effects of endogenous and synthetic cannabinoids on voltage-dependent calcium fluxes in rabbit T-tubule membranes: comparison with fatty acids. *Eur J Pharmacol* **502**:47–58.
- Oz M, Tchugunova YB, and Dunn SM (2000) Endogenous cannabinoid anandamide directly inhibits voltage-dependent Ca^{2+} fluxes in rabbit T-tubule membranes. *Eur J Pharmacol* **404**:13–20.
- Pacher P, Batkai S, and Kunos G (2004) Haemodynamic profile and responsiveness to anandamide of TRPV1 receptor knock-out mice. *J Physiol (Lond)* **558**:647–657.
- Pacher P, Batkai S, and Kunos G (2005a) Blood pressure regulation by endocannabinoids and their receptors. *Neuropharmacology* **48**:1130–1138.
- Pacher P, Batkai S, and Kunos G (2005b) Cardiovascular pharmacology of cannabinoids, in *Cannabinoids* (Pertwee R ed) pp 599–627, Springer, New York.
- Pacher P, Batkai S, and Kunos G (2005c) Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy: an endocannabinoid connection? *Br J Pharmacol* **146**:313–314.
- Pacher P, Batkai S, Osei-Hyiaman D, Offertaler L, Liu J, Harvey-White J, Brassai A, Jarai Z, Cravatt BF, and Kunos G (2005d) Hemodynamic profile, responsiveness to anandamide, and baroreflex sensitivity of mice lacking fatty acid amide hydrolase. *Am J Physiol* **289**:H533–H541.
- Pacher P and Kecskemeti V (2004) Trends in the development of new antidepressants: is there a light at the end of the tunnel? *Curr Med Chem* **11**:925–943.
- Pacher P, Nivorozhkin A, and Szabo C (2006) Therapeutic effects of xanthine oxidase inhibitors: renaissance half a century after the discovery of allopurinol. *Pharmacol Rev* **58**:87–114.
- Pacher P, Schulz R, Liaudet L, and Szabo C (2005e) Nitrosative stress and pharmacological modulation of heart failure. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **26**:302–310.
- Page KJ, Besret L, Jain M, Monaghan EM, Dunnett SB, and Everitt BJ (2000) Effects of systemic 3-nitropropionic acid-induced lesions of the dorsal striatum on cannabinoid and μ -opioid receptor binding in the basal ganglia. *Exp Brain Res* **130**:142–150.
- Pagotto U, Marsicano G, Cota D, Lutz B, and Pasquali R (2006) The emerging role of the endocannabinoid system in endocrine regulation and energy balance. *Endocr Rev* **27**:73–100.
- Panikashvili D, Mechoulam R, Beni SM, Alexandrovich A, and Shohami E (2005) CB1 cannabinoid receptors are involved in neuroprotection via NF- κ B inhibition. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* **25**:477–484.
- Panikashvili D, Shein NA, Mechoulam R, Trembovler V, Kohen R, Alexandrovich A, and Shohami E (2006) The endocannabinoid 2-AG protects the blood-brain barrier after closed head injury and inhibits mRNA expression of proinflammatory cytokines. *Neurobiol Dis* **22**:257–264.
- Panikashvili D, Simeonidou C, Ben-Shabat S, Hanus L, Breuer A, Mechoulam R, and Shohami E (2001) An endogenous cannabinoid (2-AG) is neuroprotective after brain injury. *Nature (Lond)* **413**:527–531.
- Paria BC, Das SK, and Dey SK (1995) The preimplantation mouse embryo is a target for cannabinoid ligand-receptor signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **92**:9460–9464.
- Paria BC, Song H, Wang X, Schmid PC, Krebsbach RJ, Schmid HH, Bonner TI, Zimmer A, and Dey SK (2001) Dysregulated cannabinoid signaling disrupts uterine receptivity for embryo implantation. *J Biol Chem* **276**:20523–20528.
- Paria BC, Wang H, and Dey SK (2002) Endocannabinoid signaling in synchronizing embryo development and uterine receptivity for implantation. *Chem Phys Lipids* **121**:201–210.
- Paria BC, Zhao X, Wang J, Das SK, and Dey SK (1999) Fatty-acid amide hydrolase is expressed in the mouse uterus and embryo during the periimplantation period. *Biol Reprod* **60**:1151–1157.
- Park B, Gibbons HM, Mitchell MD, and Glass M (2003) Identification of the CB1 cannabinoid receptor and fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) in the human placenta. *Placenta* **24**:990–995.
- Park B, McPartland JM, and Glass M (2004) Cannabis, cannabinoids and reproduction. *Prostaglandins Leukotrienes Essent Fatty Acids* **70**:189–197.
- Parker LA, Kwiatkowska M, Burton P, and Mechoulam R (2004) Effect of cannabinoids on lithium-induced vomiting in the *Suncus murinus* (house musk shrew). *Psychopharmacology* **171**:156–161.
- Parmentier-Batteur S, Jin K, Mao XO, Xie L, and Greenberg DA (2002) Increased severity of stroke in CB1 cannabinoid receptor knock-out mice. *J Neurosci* **22**:9771–9775.
- Parolaro D, Massi P, Rubino T, and Monti E (2002) Endocannabinoids in the immune system and cancer: endocannabinoids in the immune system and cancer. *Prostaglandins Leukotrienes Essent Fatty Acids* **66**:319–332.
- Pate DW, Jarvinen K, Urtti A, Jarho P, Fich M, Mahadevan V, and Jarvinen T (1996) Effects of topical anandamides on intraocular pressure in normotensive rabbits. *Life Sci* **58**:1849–1860.
- Pate DW, Jarvinen K, Urtti A, Jarho P, and Jarvinen T (1995) Ophthalmic arachidonylethanolamide decreases intraocular pressure in normotensive rabbits. *Curr Eye Res* **14**:791–797.
- Pate DW, Jarvinen K, Urtti A, Mahadevan V, and Jarvinen T (1998) Effect of the CB1 receptor antagonist, SR141716A, on cannabinoid-induced ocular hypotension in normotensive rabbits. *Life Sci* **63**:2181–2188.
- Patel S, Cravatt BF, and Hillard CJ (2005) Synergistic interactions between cannabinoids and environmental stress in the activation of the central amygdala. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **30**:497–507.
- Patel S, Roelke CT, Rademacher DJ, Cullinan WE, and Hillard CJ (2004) Endocannabinoid signaling negatively modulates stress-induced activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. *Endocrinology* **145**:5431–5438.
- Patel S, Wohlfeil ER, Rademacher DJ, Carrier EJ, Perry LJ, Kundu A, Falck JR, Nithipatikom K, Campbell WB, and Hillard CJ (2003) The general anesthetic propofol increases brain *N*-arachidonylethanolamine (anandamide) content and inhibits fatty acid amide hydrolase. *Br J Pharmacol* **139**:1005–1013.
- Paton WDM and Pertwee RG (1973) The actions of cannabis in man, in *Marijuana: Chemistry, Pharmacology, Metabolism and Clinical Effects* (Nahas GG and Paton WDM eds) pp 735–738, Pergamon Press, Oxford.
- Patrick GB (1980) Marijuana and the lung. *Postgrad Med* **67**:110–113, 116–118.
- Patsos HA, Hicks DJ, Greenhough A, Williams AC, and Paraskeva C (2005) Cannabinoids and cancer: potential for colorectal cancer therapy. *Biochem Soc Trans* **33**:712–714.
- Patton GC, Coffey C, Carlin JB, Degenhardt L, Lynskey M, and Hall W (2002) Cannabis use and mental health in young people: cohort study. *BMJ* **325**:1195–1198.
- Paulaskis JD and Sul HS (1988) Cloning and expression of mouse fatty acid synthase and other specific mRNA: developmental and hormonal regulation in 3T3–L1 cells. *J Biol Chem* **263**:7049–7054.
- Pazos MR, Nunez E, Benito C, Tolon RM, and Romero J (2004) Role of the endocannabinoid system in Alzheimer's disease: new perspectives. *Life Sci* **75**:1907–1915.
- Pertwee RG (2001) Cannabinoids and the gastrointestinal tract. *Gut* **48**:859–867.
- Pertwee RG (2002) Cannabinoids and multiple sclerosis. *Pharmacol Ther* **95**:165–174.
- Pertwee RG (2005a) The therapeutic potential of drugs that target cannabinoid receptors or modulate the tissue levels or actions of endocannabinoids. *AAPS J* **7**:E625–E654.
- Pertwee RG (2005b) Inverse agonism and neutral antagonism at cannabinoid CB1 receptors. *Life Sci* **76**:1307–1324.
- Pertwee RG (2005c) Pharmacological actions of cannabinoids, in *Cannabinoids* (Pertwee R ed) pp 1–53, Springer, New York.
- Pertwee RG, Browne SE, Ross TM, and Stretton CD (1991) An investigation of the involvement of GABA in certain pharmacological effects of Δ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **40**:581–585.
- Pertwee RG, Fernando SR, Griffin J, Abadi V, and Makriyannis A (1995) Effect of phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride on the potency of anandamide as an inhibitor of electrically evoked contractions in two isolated tissue preparations. *Eur J Pharmacol* **272**:73–78.
- Pertwee RG, Fernando SR, Nash JE, and Coutts AA (1996) Further evidence for the presence of cannabinoid CB1 receptors in guinea-pig small intestine. *Br J Pharmacol* **118**:2199–2205.
- Pertwee RG, Ross RA, Craib SJ, and Thomas A (2002) (–)-Cannabidiol antagonizes cannabinoid receptor agonists and noradrenaline in the mouse vas deferens. *Eur J Pharmacol* **456**:99–106.
- Perwitz N, Fasshauer M, and Klein J (2006) Cannabinoid receptor signaling directly inhibits thermogenesis and alters expression of adiponectin and visfatin. *Horm Metab Res* **38**:356–358.
- Petro DJ (1980) Marijuana as a therapeutic agent for muscle spasm or spasticity. *Psychosomatics* **21**:81–85.
- Petro DJ and Ellenberger C Jr (1981) Treatment of human spasticity with Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol. *J Clin Pharmacol* **21**:413S–416S.
- Pfitzer T, Niederhoffer N, and Szabo B (2004) Central effects of the cannabinoid receptor agonist WIN55212-2 on respiratory and cardiovascular regulation in anaesthetized rats. *Br J Pharmacol* **142**:943–952.
- Pi-Sunyer FX, Aronne LJ, Heshmati HM, Devin J, Rosenstock J, for the RIO North America Group (2006) Effect of rimonabant, a cannabinoid-1 receptor blocker, on weight and cardiometabolic risk factors in overweight or obese patients. *J Am Med Assoc* **295**:761–775.
- Pinto A, Tuttolomondo A, Di Raimondo D, Fernandez P, and Licata G (2004) Cerebrovascular risk factors and clinical classification of strokes. *Semin Vasc Med* **4**:287–303.
- Pinto L, Capasso R, Di Carlo G, and Izzo AA (2002a) Endocannabinoids and the gut. *Prostaglandins Leukotrienes Essent Fatty Acids* **66**:333–341.
- Pinto L, Izzo AA, Cascio MG, Bisogno T, Hospodar-Scott K, Brown DR, Mascolo N, Di Marzo V, and Capasso F (2002b) Endocannabinoids as physiological regulators of colonic propulsion in mice. *Gastroenterology* **123**:227–234.
- Piomelli D (2003) The molecular logic of endocannabinoid signaling. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **4**:873–884.
- Pistis M, Perra S, Pillolla G, Melis M, Gessa GL, and Muntoni AL (2004) Cannabinoids modulate neuronal firing in the rat basolateral amygdala: evidence for CB1- and non-CB1-mediated actions. *Neuropharmacology* **46**:115–125.
- Pivik RT, Zarcone V, Dement WC, and Hollister LE (1972) Δ -9-Tetrahydrocannabinol

- nol and synhexl: effects on human sleep patterns. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **13**:426–435.
- Poirier B, Bidouard J-P, Cadrouvele C, Marniquet X, Staels B, O'Connor SE, Janiak P, and Herbert J-M (2005) The anti-obesity effect of rimonabant is associated with an improved serum lipid profile. *Diabetes Obesity Metab* **7**:65–72.
- Poncelet M, Maruani J, Calassi R, and Soubrié P (2003) Overeating, alcohol and sucrose consumption decrease in CB1 receptor deleted mice. *Neurosci Lett* **343**:216–218.
- Pontieri FE, Tanda G, Orzi F, and Di Chiara G (1996) Effects of nicotine on the nucleus accumbens and similarity to those of addictive drugs. *Nature (Lond)* **382**:255–257.
- Porcella A, Casellas P, Gessa GL, and Pani L (1998) Cannabinoid receptor CB1 mRNA is highly expressed in the rat ciliary body: implications for the antiglaucoma properties of marihuana. *Brain Res Mol Brain Res* **58**:240–245.
- Porcella A, Marchese G, Casu MA, Rocchitta A, Lai ML, Gessa GL, and Pani L (2002) Evidence for functional CB1 cannabinoid receptor expressed in the rat thyroid. *Eur J Endocrinol* **147**:255–261.
- Porcella A, Maxia C, Gessa GL, and Pani L (2000) The human eye expresses high levels of CB1 cannabinoid receptor mRNA and protein. *Eur J Neurosci* **12**:1123–1127.
- Porcella A, Maxia C, Gessa GL, and Pani L (2001) The synthetic cannabinoid WIN55212-2 decreases the intraocular pressure in human glaucoma resistant to conventional therapies. *Eur J Neurosci* **13**:409–412.
- Portella G, Laezza C, Laccetti P, De Petrocellis L, Di Marzo V, and Bifulco M (2003) Inhibitory effects of cannabinoid CB₁ receptor stimulation on tumor growth and metastatic spreading: actions on signals involved in angiogenesis and metastasis. *FASEB J* **17**:1771–1773.
- Porter AC, Sauer JM, Knierman MD, Becker GW, Berna MJ, Bao J, Nomikos GG, Carter P, Bymaster FP, Leese AB, et al. (2002) Characterization of a novel endocannabinoid, virodhamine, with antagonist activity at the CB1 receptor. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **301**:1020–1024.
- Powles T, te Poole R, Shamash J, Chaplin T, Propper D, Joel S, Oliver T, and Liu WM (2005) Cannabis-induced cytotoxicity in leukemic cell lines: the role of the cannabinoid receptors and the MAPK pathway. *Blood* **105**:1214–1221.
- Prather PL, Martin NA, Breivogel CS, and Childers SR (2000) Activation of cannabinoid receptors in rat brain by WIN 55212-2 produces coupling to multiple G protein α -subunits with different potencies. *Mol Pharmacol* **57**:1000–1010.
- Pryce G and Baker D (2005) Emerging properties of cannabinoid medicines in management of multiple sclerosis. *Trends Neurosci* **28**:272–276.
- Pryce G, Ahmed Z, Hankey DJ, Jackson SJ, Croxford JL, Pocock JM, Ledent C, Petzold A, Thompson AJ, Giovannoni G, et al. (2003) Cannabinoids inhibit neurodegeneration in models of multiple sclerosis. *Brain* **126**:2191–2202.
- Pugh G Jr, Mason DJ Jr, Combs V, and Welch SP (1997) Involvement of dynorphin B in the antinociceptive effects of the cannabinoid CP55,940 in the spinal cord. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **281**:730–737.
- Purnell WD and Gregg JM (1975) Δ^9 -Tetrahydrocannabinol, euphoria and intraocular pressure in man. *Ann Ophthalmol* **7**:921–923.
- Quartilho A, Mata HP, Ibrahim MM, Vanderah TW, Porreca F, Makriyannis A, and Malan TP Jr (2003) Inhibition of inflammatory hyperalgesia by activation of peripheral CB2 cannabinoid receptors. *Anesthesiology* **99**:955–960.
- Racz I, Bilkei-Gorzo A, Toth ZE, Michel K, Palkovits M, and Zimmer A (2003) A critical role for the cannabinoid CB₁ receptors in alcohol dependence and stress-stimulated ethanol drinking. *J Neurosci* **23**:2453–2458.
- Rademacher DJ, Patel S, Hopp FA, Dean C, Hillard CJ, and Seagard JL (2003) Microinjection of a cannabinoid receptor antagonist into the NTS increases baroreflex duration in dogs. *Am J Physiol* **284**:H1570–H1576.
- Raft D, Gregg J, Ghia J, and Harris L (1977) Effects of intravenous tetrahydrocannabinol on experimental and surgical pain: psychological correlates of the analgesic response. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **21**:26–33.
- Ralevic V, Kendall DA, Randall MD, and Smart D (2002) Cannabinoid modulation of sensory neurotransmission via cannabinoid and vanilloid receptors: roles in regulation of cardiovascular function. *Life Sci* **71**:2577–2594.
- Raman C, McAllister SD, Rizvi G, Patel SG, Moore DH, and Abood ME (2004) Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: delayed disease progression in mice by treatment with a cannabinoid. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Other Motor Neuron Disord* **5**:33–39.
- Ramirez BG, Blazquez C, Gómez del Pulgar T, Guzman M, and de Ceballos ML (2005) Prevention of Alzheimer's disease pathology by cannabinoids: neuroprotection mediated by blockade of microglial activation. *J Neurosci* **25**:1904–1913.
- Ramos JA, Gonzalez S, Sagredo O, Gomez-Ruiz M, and Fernandez-Ruiz J (2005) Therapeutic potential of the endocannabinoid system in the brain. *Mini Rev Med Chem* **5**:609–617.
- Randall MD, Harris D, Kendall DA, and Ralevic V (2002) Cardiovascular effects of cannabinoids. *Pharmacol Ther* **95**:191–202.
- Randall MD, Kendall DA, and O'Sullivan (2004) The complexities of the cardiovascular actions of cannabinoids. *Br J Pharmacol* **142**:20–26.
- Ravinet Trillou C, Arnone M, Delgorge C, Gonalons N, Keane P, Maffrand JP, and Soubrié P (2003) Anti-obesity effect of SR141716, a CB1 receptor antagonist, in diet-induced obese mice. *Am J Physiol* **284**:R345–R353.
- Ravinet Trillou C, Delgorge C, Menet C, Arnone M, and Soubrié P (2004) CB1 cannabinoid receptor knockout in mice leads to leanness, resistance to diet-induced obesity and enhanced leptin sensitivity. *Int J Obes* **24**:640–648.
- Rawson RA, Obert JL, McCann MJ, and Mann AJ (1986) Cocaine treatment outcome: cocaine use following inpatient, outpatient and no treatment. *NIDA Res Monogr* **67**:271–277.
- Ray AM, Benham CD, Roberts JC, Gill CH, Lanneau C, Gitterman DP, Harries M, Davis JB, and Davies CH (2003) Capsazepine protects against neuronal injury caused by oxygen glucose deprivation by inhibiting I_{Ca} . *J Neurosci* **23**:10146–10153.
- Raza M, Pal S, Rafiq A, and DeLorenzo RJ (2001) Long-term alteration of calcium homeostatic mechanisms in the pilocarpine model of temporal lobe epilepsy. *Brain Res* **903**:1–12.
- Regelson W, Butler JR, Schulz J, Kirk T, Peek L, Green ML, and Zalis MO (1976) Δ -9-THC as an effective antidepressant and appetite-stimulating agent in advanced cancer patients, in *The Pharmacology of Marijuana* (Braude MC and Szara S eds) pp 763–776, Raven Press, New York.
- Reggio PH (2003) Pharmacophores for ligand recognition and activation/inactivation of the cannabinoid receptors. *Curr Pharm Des* **9**:1607–1633.
- Reilly SM, Skuse DH, Wolke D, and Stevenson J (1999) Oral-motor dysfunction in children who fail to thrive: organic or non-organic? *Dev Med Child Neurol* **41**:115–122.
- Reynolds JR (1890) On therapeutic uses and toxic effects of cannabis indica. *Lancet* **1**:637–638.
- Rhee MH, Beyewitch M, Avidor-Reiss T, Levy R, and Vogel Z (1998) Cannabinoid receptor activation differentially regulates the various adenylyl cyclase isozymes. *J Neurochem* **71**:1525–1534.
- Rhee MH, Vogel Z, Barg J, Bayewitch M, Levy R, Hanus L, Breuer A, and Mechoulam R (1997) Cannabinoid derivatives: binding to cannabinoid receptors and inhibition of adenylyl cyclase. *J Med Chem* **40**:3228–3233.
- Richardson JD, Aanonsen L, and Hargreaves KM (1997) SR141716A, a cannabinoid receptor antagonist, produces hyperalgesia in untreated mice. *Eur J Pharmacol* **319**:R3–R4.
- Richardson JD, Aanonsen L, and Hargreaves KM (1998a) Antihyperalgesic effects of spinal cannabinoids. *Eur J Pharmacol* **345**:145–153.
- Richardson JD, Aanonsen L, and Hargreaves KM (1998b) Hypoactivity of the spinal cannabinoid system results in NMDA-dependent hyperalgesia. *J Neurosci* **18**:451–457.
- Richardson JD, Kilo S, and Hargreaves KM (1998c) Cannabinoids reduce hyperalgesia and inflammation via interaction with peripheral CB₁ receptors. *Pain* **75**:111–119.
- Richfield EK and Herkenham M (1994) Selective vulnerability in Huntington's disease: preferential loss of cannabinoid receptors in lateral globus pallidus. *Ann Neurol* **36**:577–584.
- Richter A and Löscher W (1994) (+)-WIN 55,212-2, a novel cannabinoid receptor agonist, exerts antidystonic effects in mutant dystonic hamsters. *Eur J Pharmacol* **264**:371–377.
- Richter A and Löscher W (2002) Effects of pharmacological manipulations of cannabinoid receptors on severity of dystonia in a genetic model of paroxysmal dyskinesia. *Eur J Pharmacol* **454**:145–151.
- Riegel AC and Lupica CR (2004) Independent presynaptic and postsynaptic mechanisms regulate endocannabinoid signaling at multiple synapses in the ventral tegmental area. *J Neurosci* **24**:11070–11078.
- Rinaldi-Carmona M, Barth F, Heaulme M, Shire D, Calandra B, Congy C, Matinez S, Marvani J, Neliat G, Caput D, et al. (1994) SR141716A, a potent and selective antagonist of the brain cannabinoid receptor. *FEBS Lett* **350**:240–244.
- Rinaldi-Carmona M, Barth F, Millan J, Derocq JM, Casellas P, Congy C, Oustric D, Sarrazin M, Bouaboula M, Calandra B, et al. (1998) SR 144528, the first potent and selective antagonist of the CB2 cannabinoid receptor. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **284**:644–650.
- Rios C, Gomes I, and Devi LA (2006) μ Opioid and CB1 cannabinoid receptor interactions: reciprocal inhibition of receptor signaling and neurogenesis. *Br J Pharmacol* **148**:387–395.
- Rivas-V JF and Garcia R (1980) Inhibition of histamine-stimulated gastric acid secretion by Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol in rat isolated stomach. *Eur J Pharmacol* **65**:317–318.
- Robbe D, Alonso G, Duchamp F, Bockaert J, and Manzoni OJ (2001) Localization and mechanisms of action of cannabinoid receptors at the glutamatergic synapses of the mouse nucleus accumbens. *J Neurosci* **21**:109–116.
- Robbe D, Kopf M, Remaury A, Bockaert J, and Manzoni OJ (2002) Endogenous cannabinoids mediate long-term synaptic depression in the nucleus accumbens. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **99**:8384–8388.
- Robson P (2005) Human studies of cannabinoids and medicinal cannabis, in *Cannabinoids* (Pertwee R ed) pp 719–757, Springer, New York.
- Rodriguez de Fonseca F, Carrera MRA, Navarro M, Koob GF, and Weiss F (1997) Activation of corticotrophin-releasing factor in the limbic system during cannabinoid withdrawal. *Science (Wash DC)* **276**:2050–2054.
- Rodriguez De Fonseca F, Gorriti MA, Bilbao A, Escuredo L, Garcia-Segura LM, Piomelli D, and Navarro M (2001) Role of the endogenous cannabinoid system as a modulator of dopamine transmission: implications for Parkinson's disease and schizophrenia. *Neurotox Res* **3**:23–35.
- Rodriguez de Fonseca F, Rubio P, Menzaghi F, Merlo-Pich E, Rivier J, Koob GF, and Navarro M (1996) Corticotrophin-releasing factor (CRF) antagonist [D-Phe¹², Nle^{21,38}, CαMeLeu³⁷]CRF attenuates the acute actions of the highly potent cannabinoid receptor agonist HU-210 on defensive-withdrawal behavior in rats. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **276**:56–64.
- Rog DJ, Nurmikko TF, Friede T, and Young CA (2005) Randomized, controlled trial of cannabis-based medicine in central pain in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* **65**:812–819.
- Romero EM, Fernandez B, Sagredo O, Gomez N, Uriguen L, Guaza C, De Miguel R, Ramos JA, and Viveros MP (2002a) Antinociceptive, behavioural and neuroendocrine effects of CP 55,940 in young rats. *Brain Res Dev Brain Res* **136**:85–92.
- Romero J, Berrendero F, Garcia-Gil L, de la Cruz P, Ramos JA, and Fernandez-Ruiz JJ (1998) Loss of cannabinoid receptor binding and messenger RNA levels and cannabinoid agonist-stimulated [³⁵S]guanylyl-5'-O-(thio)-triphosphate binding in the basal ganglia of aged rats. *Neuroscience* **84**:1075–1083.
- Romero J, Berrendero F, Perez-Rosado A, Manzanares J, Rojo A, Fernandez-Ruiz JJ, de Yébenes JG, and Ramos JA (2000) Unilateral 6-hydroxydopamine lesions of nigrostriatal dopaminergic neurons increased CB₁ receptor mRNA levels in the caudate-putamen. *Life Sci* **66**:485–494.
- Romero J, de Miguel R, Garcia-Palomero E, Fernandez-Ruiz JJ, and Ramos JA (1995a) Time-course of the effects of anandamide, the putative endogenous cannabinoid receptor ligand, on extrapyramidal function. *Brain Res* **694**:223–232.
- Romero J, Garcia L, Cebeira M, Zadorozny D, Fernandez-Ruiz JJ, and Ramos JA

- (1995b) The endogenous cannabinoid receptor ligand, anandamide, inhibits the motor behavior: role of nigrostriatal dopaminergic neurons. *Life Sci* **56**:2033–2040.
- Romero J, Lastres-Becker I, de Miguel R, Berrendero F, Ramos JA, and Fernandez-Ruiz J (2002b) The endogenous cannabinoid system and the basal ganglia. Biochemical, pharmacological, and therapeutic aspects. *Pharmacol Ther* **95**:137–152.
- Ros J, Claria J, To-Figueras J, Planaguma A, Cejudo-Martin P, Fernandez-Varo G, Martin-Ruiz R, Arroyo V, Rivera F, Rodes J, et al. (2002) Endogenous cannabinoids: a new system involved in the homeostasis of arterial pressure in experimental cirrhosis in the rat. *Gastroenterology* **122**:85–93.
- Rosch S, Ramer R, Brune K, and Hinz B (2006) R(+)-Methanandamide and other cannabinoids induce the expression of cyclooxygenase-2 and matrix metalloproteinases in human nonpigmented ciliary epithelial cells. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **316**:1219–1228.
- Rosenblatt KA, Daling JR, Chen C, Sherman KJ, and Schwartz SM (2004) Marijuana use and risk of oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Res* **64**:4049–4054.
- Rosenkrantz H and Braude M (1974) Acute, subacute and 23-day chronic marihuana inhalation toxicities in the rat. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* **28**:428–441.
- Ross RA, Brockie HC, Stevenson LA, Murphy VL, Templeton F, Makriyannis A, and Pertwee RG (1999) Agonist-inverse agonist characterization at CB1 and CB2 cannabinoid receptors of L759633, L759656, and AM630. *Br J Pharmacol* **126**:665–672.
- Rossato M, Ion Popa F, Ferigo M, Clari G, and Foresta C (2005) Human sperm express cannabinoid receptor CB₁, the activation of which inhibits motility, acrosome reaction, and mitochondrial function. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **90**:984–991.
- Roth MD (2005) Pharmacology: marijuana and your heart. *Nature (Lond)* **434**:708–709.
- Rotzinger S and Vaccarino FJ (2003) Cholecystokinin receptor subtypes: role in the modulation of anxiety-related and reward-related behaviours in animal models. *J Psychiatry Neurosci* **28**:171–181.
- Rowland PL and Schneider NA (2001) Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* **344**:1688–1700.
- Rowland NE, Mukherjee M, and Roberston K (2001) Effects of the cannabinoid receptor antagonist SR 141716, alone and in combination with dexfenfluramine or naloxone, on food intake in rats. *Psychopharmacology* **159**:111–116.
- Rubino T, Massi P, Vigano D, Fuzio D, and Parolaro D (2000) Long-term treatment with SR141716A, the CB1 receptor antagonist, influences morphine withdrawal syndrome. *Life Sci* **66**:2213–2219.
- Rudich Z, Stinson J, Jeavons M, and Brown SC (2003) Treatment of chronic intractable neuropathic pain with dronabinol: case report of two adolescents. *Pain Res Manag* **8**:221–224.
- Rueda, D, Galve-Roperh I, Haro A, and Guzman M (2000) The CB(1) cannabinoid receptor is coupled to the activation of c-Jun N-terminal kinase. *Mol Pharmacol* **58**:814–820.
- Ruiu S, Pinna GA, Marchese G, Mussinu JM, Saba P, Tambaro S, Casti P, Vargiu R, and Pani L (2003) Synthesis and characterization of NESS 0327: a novel putative antagonist of the CB₁ cannabinoid receptor. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **306**:363–370.
- Russo E (2006) A tale of two cannabinoids: the therapeutic rationale for combining tetrahydrocannabinol and cannabidiol. *Med Hypotheses* **66**:234–246.
- Russo EB (2004) Clinical endocannabinoid deficiency (CECD): can this concept explain therapeutic benefits of cannabis in migraine, fibromyalgia, irritable bowel syndrome and other treatment-resistant conditions? *Neuro Endocrinol Lett* **25**:31–39.
- Rutkowska M and Fereniec-Goltbiewska L (2006) ACEA (arachidonyl-2-chloroethylamide), the selective cannabinoid CB1 receptor agonist, protects against aspirin-induced gastric ulceration. *Pharmazie* **61**:341–342.
- Rutkowska M, Jamontt J, and Gliniak H (2006) Effects of cannabinoids on the anxiety-like response in mice. *Pharmacol Rep* **58**:200–206.
- Ryberg E, Vu HK, Larsson N, Groblewski T, Hjorth S, Elebring T, Sjogren S, and Greasley PJ (2005) Identification and characterization of a novel splice variant of the human CB1 receptor. *FEBS Lett* **579**:259–264.
- Saario SM, Savinainen JR, Laitinen JT, Jarvinen T, and Niemi R (2004) Monoglyceride lipase-like enzymatic activity is responsible for hydrolysis of 2-arachidonoyl-glycerol in rat cerebellar membranes. *Biochem Pharmacol* **67**:1381–1387.
- Salim K, Schneider U, Burstein S, Hoy L, and Karst M (2005) Pain measurements and side effect profile of the novel cannabinoid ajulemic acid. *Neuropharmacology* **48**:1164–1171.
- Sánchez C, de Ceballos ML, del Pulgar TG, Rueda D, Corbacho C, Velasco G, Galve-Roperh I, Huffman JW, Ramon y Cajal S, and Guzman M (2001a) Inhibition of glioma growth in vivo by selective activation of the CB2 cannabinoid receptor. *Cancer Res* **61**:5784–5789.
- Sánchez C, Galve-Roperh I, Canova C, Brachet P, and Guzman M (1998) Δ^9 -Tetrahydrocannabinol induces apoptosis in C6 glioma cells. *FEBS Lett* **436**:6–10.
- Sánchez C, Rueda D, Segui B, Galve-Roperh I, Levade T, and Guzman M (2001b) The CB₁ cannabinoid receptor of astrocytes is coupled to sphingomyelin hydrolysis through the adaptor protein *lan*. *Mol Pharmacol* **59**:955–959.
- Sánchez MG, Ruiz-Llorente L, Sanchez AM, and Diaz-Laviada I (2003) Activation of phosphoinositide 3-kinase/PKB pathway by CB₁ and CB₂ cannabinoid receptors expressed in prostate PC-3 cells: involvement in Raf-1 stimulation and NGF induction. *Cell Signal* **15**:851–859.
- Saudo-Peña MC, Patrick SL, Khen S, Patrick RL, Tsou K, and Walker JM (1998) Cannabinoid effects in basal ganglia in a rat model of Parkinson's disease. *Neurosci Lett* **248**:171–174.
- Saudo-Peña MC, Strangman NM, Mackie KJ, Walker JM, and Tsou K (1999a) CB₁ receptor localization in spinal cord and roots, dorsal root ganglion, and peripheral nerve. *Acta Pharmacol Sin* **12**:1115–1120.
- Saudo-Peña MC, Tsou K, and Walker JM (1999b) Motor actions of cannabinoids in the basal ganglia output nuclei. *Life Sci* **65**:703–713.
- Saudo-Peña MC and Walker JM (1998) Effects of intrapallidal cannabinoids on rotational behavior in rats: interactions with the dopaminergic system. *Synapse* **28**:27–32.
- Saper CB (2002) The central autonomic nervous system: conscious visceral perception and autonomic pattern generation. *Annu Rev Neurosci* **25**:433–469.
- Sarfraz S, Afaq F, Adhami VM, and Mukhtar H (2005) Cannabinoid receptor as a novel target for the treatment of prostate cancer. *Cancer Res* **65**:1635–1641.
- Sarker KP, Biswas KK, Yamakuchi M, Lee KY, Hahiguchi T, Kracht M, Kitajima I, and Maruyama I (2003) ASK1–p38 MAPK/JNK signaling cascade mediates anandamide-induced PC12 cell death. *J Neurochem* **85**:50–61.
- Sarker KP, Obara S, Nakata M, Kitajima I, and Maruyama I (2000) Anandamide induces apoptosis of PC-12 cells: involvement of superoxide and caspase-3. *FEBS Lett* **472**:1039–1044.
- Savinainen JR and Laitinen JT (2004) Detection of cannabinoid CB₁, adenosine A₁, muscarinic acetylcholine, and GABA_B receptor-dependent G protein activity in transducin-deactivated membranes and autoradiography sections of rat retina. *Cell Mol Neurobiol* **24**:243–256.
- Sawzdargo M, Nguyen T, Lee DK, Lynch KR, Cheng R, Heng HHQ, George SR, and O'Dowd BF (1999) Identification and cloning of three novel human G protein-coupled receptor genes GPR52, GPR53, and GPR55: GPR55 is extensively expressed in human brain. *Mol Brain Res* **64**:193–198.
- Scadden DT (2003) AIDS-related malignancies. *Annu Rev Med* **54**:285–303.
- Schabitz WR, Giuffrida A, Berger C, Aschoff A, Schwaninger M, Schwab S, and Piomelli D (2002) Release of fatty acid amides in a patient with hemispheric stroke: a microdialysis study. *Stroke* **33**:2112–2114.
- Schelling G, Hauer D, Azad SC, Schmoelz M, Chouker A, Schmidt M, Hornuss C, Rippberger M, Briegel J, Thiel M, et al. (2006) Effects of general anesthesia on anandamide blood levels in humans. *Anesthesiology* **104**:273–277.
- Schmid K, Niederhoffer N, and Szabo B (2003) Analysis of the respiratory effects of cannabinoids in rats. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol* **368**:301–308.
- Schmid PC, Krebsbach RJ, Perry SR, Dettmer TM, Maasson JL, and Schmid HH (1995) Occurrence and postmortem generation of anandamide and other long-chain N-acyl ethanolamines in mammalian brain. *FEBS Lett* **375**:117–120.
- Schmid PC, Paria CB, Krebsbach RJ, Schmid HH, and Dey SK (1997) Changes in anandamide levels in mouse uterus are associated with uterine receptivity for embryo implantation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **94**:4188–4192.
- Schmid PC, Reddy PV, Natarajan V, and Schmid HH (1983) Metabolism of N-acyl ethanolamine phospholipids by a mammalian phosphodiesterase of the phospholipase D type. *J Biol Chem* **258**:9302–9306.
- Schmid PC, Zuzarte-Augustin ML, and Schmid HH (1985) Properties of rat liver N-acyl ethanolamine amidohydrolase. *J Biol Chem* **260**:14145–14149.
- Schon F, Hart PE, Hodgson TL, Pambakian AL, Ruprah M, Williamson EM, and Kennard C (1999) Suppression of pendular nystagmus by smoking cannabis in a patient with multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* **53**:2209–2210.
- Schuckit MA (1997) Low level of response to alcohol as a predictor of future alcoholism. *Am J Psychiatry* **151**:184–189.
- Schuel H and Burkman LJ (2005) A tale of two cells: endocannabinoid-signaling regulates functions of neurons and sperm. *Biol Reprod* **73**:1078–1086.
- Scorticati C, Fernandez-Solari J, De Laurentis A, Mohn C, Prestifilippo JP, Lasaga M, Seilicovich A, Billi S, Franchi A, McCann SM, et al. (2004) The inhibitory effect of anandamide on luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone secretion is reversed by estrogen. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **101**:11891–11896.
- Scott DA, Wright CE, and Angus JA (2004) Evidence that CB-1 and CB-2 cannabinoid receptors mediate antinociception in neuropathic pain in the rat. *Pain* **109**:124–131.
- Seeley RJ and Woods SC (2003) Monitoring of stored and available fuel by the CNS: implications for obesity. *Nat Neurosci* **4**:901–909.
- Selley DE, Rorner WK, Breivogel CS, Zimmer AM, Zimmer A, Martin BR, and Sim-Selley LJ (2001) Agonist efficacy and receptor efficiency in heterozygous CB1 knockout mice: relationship of reduced CB1 receptor density to G-protein activation. *J Neurochem* **77**:1048–1057.
- Semple DM, McIntosh AM, and Lawrie SM (2005) Cannabis as a risk factor for psychosis: systematic review. *J Psychopharmacol* **19**:187–194.
- Shakespeare DT, Boggild M, and Young C (2003) Anti-spasticity agents for multiple sclerosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* **4**:CD001332.
- Shapiro BJ, Tashkin DP, and Vachon L (1977) Tetrahydrocannabinol as a bronchodilator: Why bother. *Chest* **71**:558–560.
- Shapiro D (1974) The ocular manifestations of the cannabinoids. *Ophthalmologica* **168**:366–369.
- Sharkey KA and Pittman QJ (2005) Central and peripheral signaling mechanisms involved in endocannabinoid regulation of feeding: a perspective on the munchies. *SciSTKE* **277**:pe15.
- Shearman LP, Rosko KM, Fleischer R, Wang J, Xu S, Tong XS, and Rocha BA (2003) Antidepressant-like and anorectic effects of the cannabinoid CB1 receptor inverse agonist AM251 in mice. *Behav Pharmacol* **14**:573–582.
- Shen M and Thayer SA (1998) Cannabinoid receptor agonists protect cultured rat hippocampal neurons from excitotoxicity. *Mol Pharmacol* **54**:459–462.
- Shen M and Thayer SA (1999) Δ^9 -Tetrahydrocannabinol acts as a partial agonist to modulate glutamatergic synaptic transmission between rat hippocampal neurons in culture. *Mol Pharmacol* **55**:8–13.
- Shire D, Carillon C, Kaghad M, Calandra B, Rinaldi-Carmona M, Le Fur G, Caput D, and Ferara P (1995) An amino-terminal variant of the central cannabinoid receptor resulting from alternative splicing. *J Biol Chem* **270**:3726–3731.
- Shohami E, Gallily R, Mechoulam R, Bass R, and Ben-Hur T (1997) Cytokine production in the brain following closed head injury: dexamethasone (HU-211) is a novel TNF- α inhibitor and an effective neuroprotectant. *J Neuroimmunol* **72**:169–177.
- Shohami E, Novikov M, and Bass R (1995) Long-term effect of HU-211, a novel non-competitive NMDA antagonist, on motor and memory functions after closed head injury in the rat. *Brain Res* **674**:55–62.
- Shohami E, Novikov M, and Mechoulam R (1993) A nonpsychotropic cannabinoid, HU-211, has cerebroprotective effects after closed head injury in the rat. *J Neurotrauma* **10**:109–119.

- Shook JE and Burks TF (1989) Psychoactive cannabinoids reduce gastrointestinal propulsion and motility in rodents. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **249**:444–449.
- Shouman B, Fontaine RH, Baud O, Schwendimann L, Keller M, Spedding M, Lelievre V, and Gressens P (2006) Endocannabinoids potentially protect the newborn brain against AMPA-kainate receptor-mediated excitotoxic damage. *Br J Pharmacol* **148**:442–451.
- Showalter VM, Compton DR, Martin BR, and Abood ME (1996) Evaluation of binding in a transfected cell line expressing a peripheral cannabinoid receptor (CB2): identification of cannabinoid receptor subtype selective ligands. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **278**:989–999.
- Sidney S, Quesenberry CP Jr, Friedman GD, and Tekawa IS (1997) Marijuana use and cancer incidence (California, United States). *Cancer Causes Control* **8**:722–728.
- Siegfried Z, Kanyas K, Latzer Y, Karni O, Bloch M, Lerer B, and Berry EM (2004) Association study of cannabinoid receptor gene (*CNR1*) alleles and anorexia nervosa: differences between restricting and bingeing/purging subtypes. *Am J Med Genetics* **125B**:126–130.
- Siegmund SV, Uchinami H, Osawa Y, Brenner DA, and Schwabe RF (2005) Anandamide induces necrosis in primary hepatic stellate cells. *Hepatology* **41**:1085–1095.
- Sieradzan KA, Fox SH, Hill M, Dick JP, Crossman AR, and Brochie JM (2001) Cannabinoids reduce levodopa-induced dyskinesia in Parkinson's disease: a pilot study. *Neurology* **57**:2108–2111.
- Sieradzan KA and Mann DM (2001) The selective vulnerability of nerve cells in Huntington's disease. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol* **27**:1–21.
- Silber MH (2005) Clinical practice: chronic insomnia. *N Engl J Med* **353**:803–810.
- Silverdale MA, McGuire S, McInnes A, Crossman AR, and Brochie JM (2001) Striatal cannabinoid CB1 receptor mRNA expression is decreased in the reserpine-treated rat model of Parkinson's disease. *Exp Neurol* **169**:400–406.
- Simiani J, Keane M, Keane PE, and Soubrié P (1998) SR 141716, a CB1 cannabinoid receptor antagonist, selectively reduces sweet food intake in marmoset. *Behav Pharmacol* **9**:179–181.
- Simons-Morton DG, Obarzanek E, and Cutler JA (2006) Obesity research—limitations of methods, measurements, and medications. *J Am Med Assoc* **295**:826–828.
- Sinor AD, Irvin SM, and Greenberg DA (2000) Endocannabinoids protect cerebral cortical neurons from in vitro ischemia in rats. *Neurosci Lett* **278**:157–160.
- Sipe JC, Chiang K, Gerber AL, Beutler E, and Cravatt BF (2002) A missense mutation in human fatty acid amide hydrolase associated with problem drug use. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **99**:8394–8399.
- Sipe JC, Waalen J, Gerber A, and Beutler E (2005) Overweight and obesity associated with a missense polymorphism in fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH). *Int J Obes* **29**:755–759.
- Sirven JI and Berg AT (2004) Marijuana as a treatment for epilepsy and multiple sclerosis? A “grass roots” movement. *Neurology* **62**:1924–1925.
- Skaper SD, Buriani A, Dal Toso R, Petrelli L, Romanello S, Facci L, and Leon A (1996) The ALLamide palmitoylethanolamide and cannabinoids, but not anandamide, are protective in a delayed postglutamate paradigm of excitotoxic death in cerebellar granule neurons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **93**:3984–3989.
- Smith CG and Asch RH (1987) Drug abuse and reproduction. *Fertil Steril* **48**:355–373.
- Smith FL, Fujimori K, Lowe J, and Welch SP (1998) Characterization of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol and anandamide antinociception in nonarthritic and arthritic rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **60**:183–191.
- Smith PB, Compton DR, Welch SP, Razdan RK, Mechoulam R, and Martin BR (1994) The pharmacological activity of anandamide, a putative endogenous cannabinoid, in mice. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **270**:219–227.
- Smith PF (2004) GW-1000: GW pharmaceuticals. *Curr Opin Investig Drugs* **5**:748–754.
- Smith PF (2005) The safety of cannabinoids for the treatment of multiple sclerosis. *Expert Opin Drug Saf* **4**:443–456.
- Smith SR, Terminelli C, and Denhardt G (2000) Effects of cannabinoid receptor agonist and antagonist ligands on production of inflammatory cytokines and anti-inflammatory interleukin-10 in endotoxemic mice. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **293**:136–150.
- Smith SR, Terminelli C, and Denhardt G (2001) Modulation of cytokine responses in *Corynebacterium parvum*-primed endotoxemic mice by centrally administered cannabinoid ligands. *Eur J Pharmacol* **425**:73–83.
- Sofia RD, Diamantis W, Harrison JE, and Melton J (1978) Evaluation of antiulcer activity of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol in the Shay rat test. *Pharmacology* **17**:173–177.
- Sofia RD, Nalepa SD, Harakal JJ, and Vassar HB (1973) Anti-edema and analgesic properties of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **186**:646–655.
- Solinas M and Goldberg SR (2005) Motivational effects of cannabinoids and opioids on food reinforcement depend on simultaneous activation of cannabinoid and opioid systems. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **30**:2035–2045.
- Song ZH and Bonner TI (1996) A lysine residue of the cannabinoid receptor is critical for receptor recognition by several agonists but not WIN55212-2. *Mol Pharmacol* **49**:891–896.
- Song ZH and Slowey CA (2000) Involvement of cannabinoid receptors in the intraocular pressure-lowering effects of WIN55212-2. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **292**:136–139.
- Soria G, Mendizabal V, Tourino C, Robledo P, Ledent C, Parmentier M, Maldonado R, and Valverde O (2005) Lack of CB1 cannabinoid receptor impairs cocaine self-administration. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **30**:1670–1680.
- Sospedra M and Martin R (2005) Immunology of multiple sclerosis. *Annu Rev Immunol* **23**:683–747.
- Specter S, Lancz G, Westrich G, and Friedman H (1991) Δ^9 -Tetrahydrocannabinol augments murine retroviral induced immunosuppression and infection. *Int J Immunopharmacol* **13**:411–417.
- Spencer DJ (1971) Cannabis-induced psychosis. *Int J Addict* **6**:323–326.
- Spicuzza L, Haddad EB, Birrell M, Ling A, Clarke D, Venkatesan P, Barnes PJ, and Belvisi MG (2000) Characterization of the effects of cannabinoids on guinea-pig tracheal smooth muscle tone: role in the modulation of acetylcholine release from parasympathetic nerves. *Br J Pharmacol* **130**:1720–1726.
- Srivastava MD, Srivastava BI, and Brouhard B (1998) Δ^9 -Tetrahydrocannabinol and cannabidiol alter cytokine production by human immune cells. *Immunopharmacology* **40**:179–185.
- Stamer WD, Golightly SF, Hosohata Y, Ryan EP, Porter AC, Varga E, Noecker RJ, Felder CC, and Yamamura HI (2001) Cannabinoid CB₁ receptor expression, activation and detection of endogenous ligand in trabecular meshwork and ciliary process tissues. *Eur J Pharmacol* **431**:277–286.
- Staquet M, Gant C, and Machin D (1978) Effect of a nitrogen analog of tetrahydrocannabinol on cancer pain. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* **23**:397–401.
- Steffens M and Feuerstein TJ (2004) Receptor-independent depression of DA and 5-HT uptake by cannabinoids in rat neocortex—involvement of Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase. *Neurochem Int* **44**:529–538.
- Steffens S, Veillard NR, Arnaud C, Pelli G, Burger F, Staub C, Karsak M, Zimmer A, Frossard JL, and Mach F (2005) Low dose oral cannabinoid therapy reduces progression of atherosclerosis in mice. *Nature (Lond)* **434**:782–786.
- Stein EA, Fuller SA, Edgemond WS, and Campbell WB (1996) Physiological and behavioural effects of the endogenous cannabinoid, arachidonyl ethanolamide (anandamide), in the rat. *Br J Pharmacol* **119**:107–114.
- Stella N (2004) Cannabinoid signaling in glial cells. *Glia* **48**:267–277.
- Stella N, Schweitzer P, and Piomelli D (1997) A second endogenous cannabinoid that modulates long-term potentiation. *Nature (Lond)* **388**:773–778.
- Stengel PW, Rippey MK, Cockerham SL, Devane WA, and Silbaugh SA (1998) Pulmonary actions of anandamide, an endogenous cannabinoid receptor agonist, in guinea pigs. *Eur J Pharmacol* **355**:57–66.
- Sterin-Borda L, Del Zar CF, and Borda E (2005) Differential CB1 and CB2 cannabinoid receptor-inotropic response of rat isolated atria: endogenous signal transduction pathways. *Biochem Pharmacol* **69**:1705–1713.
- Storr M, Gaffal E, Saur D, Schusdziaira V, and Allescher HD (2002) Effect of cannabinoids on neural transmission in rat gastric fundus. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol* **80**:67–76.
- Storr M, Sibaev A, Marsicano G, Lutz B, Schusdziaira V, Timmermans JP, and Allescher HD (2004) Cannabinoid receptor type 1 modulates excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmission in mouse colon. *Am J Physiol* **286**:G110–G117.
- Straiker A, Stella N, Piomelli D, Mackie K, Karten HJ, and Maguire G (1999a) Cannabinoid CB1 receptors and ligands in vertebrate retina: localization and function of an endogenous signaling system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **96**:14565–14570.
- Straiker AJ, Maguire G, Mackie K, and Lindsey J (1999b) Localization of cannabinoid CB1 receptors in the human anterior eye and retina. *Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci* **40**:2442–2448.
- Strangman NM, Patrick SL, Hohmann AG, Tsou K, and Walker JM (1998) Evidence for a role of endogenous cannabinoids in the modulation of acute and tonic pain sensitivity. *Brain Res* **813**:323–328.
- Stumpff F, Boxberger M, Krauss A, Rosenthal R, Meissner S, Choritz L, Wiederholt M, and Thieme H (2005) Stimulation of cannabinoid (CB1) and prostanoid (EP2) receptors opens BKCa channels and relaxes ocular trabecular meshwork. *Exp Eye Res* **80**:697–708.
- Sugiura T, Kodaka T, Nakane S, Miyashita T, Kondo S, Suhara Y, Takayama H, Waku K, Seki C, Baba N, et al. (1999) Evidence that the cannabinoid CB1 receptor is a 2-arachidonoylglycerol receptor: structure-activity relationship of 2-arachidonoylglycerol, ether-linked analogues, and related compounds. *J Biol Chem* **274**:2794–2801.
- Sugiura T, Kondo S, Sukagawa A, Nakane S, Shinoda A, Itoh K, Yamashita A, and Waku K (1995) 2-Arachidonoylglycerol: a possible endogenous cannabinoid receptor ligand in brain. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **215**:89–97.
- Sugiura T, Yoshinaga N, Kondo S, Waku K, and Ishima Y (2000) Generation of 2-arachidonoylglycerol, an endogenous cannabinoid receptor ligand, in picrotoxin-administered rat brain. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **271**:654–658.
- Sugrue MF (1997) New approaches to antiglaucoma therapy. *J Med Chem* **40**:2793–2809.
- Sumariwalla PF, Gallily R, Tchilibon S, Friede E, Mechoulam R, and Feldmann M (2004) A novel synthetic, nonpsychoactive cannabinoid acid (HU-320) with anti-inflammatory properties in murine collagen-induced arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum* **50**:985–998.
- Sun YX, Tsuboi K, Okamoto Y, Tonai T, Murakami M, Kudo I, and Ueda N (2004) Biosynthesis of anandamide and N-palmitoylethanolamine by sequential actions of phospholipase A2 and lysophospholipase D. *Biochem J* **380**:749–756.
- Sun YX, Tsuboi K, Zhao LY, Okamoto Y, Lambert DM, and Ueda N (2005) Involvement of N-acyl ethanolamine-hydrolyzing acid amidase in the degradation of anandamide and other N-acyl ethanolamines in macrophages. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1736**:211–2120.
- Sundram S, Copolov D, and Dean B (2005) Clozapine decreases [³H] CP 55940 binding to the cannabinoid₁ receptor in the rat nucleus accumbens. *Neuropharmacology* **50**:372–379.
- Suplita RL 2nd, Gutierrez T, Fegley D, Piomelli D, and Hohmann AG (2006) Inhibition of fatty-acid amide hydrolase enhances cannabinoid stress-induced analgesia: sites of action in the dorsolateral periaqueductal gray and rostral ventromedial medulla. *Neuropharmacology* **50**:372–379.
- Svensen KB, Jensen TS, and Bach FW (2004) Does the cannabinoid dronabinol reduce central pain in multiple sclerosis? Randomised double blind placebo controlled crossover trial. *BMJ* **329**:253.
- Szabo B, Nordheim U, and Niederhoffer N (2001) Effects of cannabinoids on sympathetic and parasympathetic neuroeffector transmission in the rabbit heart. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **297**:819–826.
- Szabo B, Siemes S, and Wallmichrath I (2002) Inhibition of GABAergic neurotrans-

- mission in the ventral tegmental area by cannabinoids. *Eur J Neurosci* **15**:2057–2061.
- Tabarin A, Chaves YD, Carmona M del C, Catargi B, Zorrilla EP, Roberts AJ, Coscina DV, Rousset S, Redonnet A, Parker GC, et al. (2005) Resistance to diet-induced obesity in μ -opioid receptor-deficient mice: evidence for a "thrifty gene". *Diabetes* **54**:3510–3516.
- Takahashi KA and Castillo PE (2006) The CB1 receptor mediates glutamatergic synaptic suppression in the hippocampus. *Neuroscience* **139**:792–802.
- Tanda G, Loddo P, and Di Chiara G (1999) Dependence of mesolimbic dopamine transmission on delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol. *Eur J Pharmacol* **376**:23–26.
- Tanda G, Munzar P, and Goldberg SR (2000) Self-administration behavior is maintained by the psychoactive ingredient of marijuana in squirrel monkeys. *Nat Neurosci* **3**:1073–1074.
- Tashkin DP, Baldwin GC, Sarafian T, Dubinett S, and Roth MD (2002) Respiratory and immunologic consequences of marijuana smoking. *J Clin Pharmacol* **42**:71S–81S.
- Tashkin DP, Reiss S, Shapiro BJ, Calvarese B, Olsen JL, and Lodge JW (1977) Bronchial effects of aerosolized Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol in healthy and asthmatic subjects. *Am Rev Respir Dis* **115**:57–65.
- Tashkin DP, Shapiro BJ, and Frank IM (1973) Acute pulmonary physiologic effects of smoked marijuana and oral 9-tetrahydrocannabinol in healthy young men. *N Engl J Med* **289**:336–341.
- Tashkin DP, Shapiro BJ, and Frank IM (1974) Acute effects of smoked marijuana and oral Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol on specific airway conductance in asthmatic subjects. *Am Rev Respir Dis* **109**:420–428.
- Tashkin DP, Shapiro BJ, Lee YE, and Harper CE (1975) Effects of smoked marijuana in experimentally induced asthma. *Am Rev Respir Dis* **112**:377–386.
- Taylor FM 3rd (1988) Marijuana as a potential respiratory tract carcinogen: a retrospective analysis of a community hospital population. *South Med J* **81**:1213–1216.
- Teichner A, Ovadia H, Lavie G, and Leker RR (2003) Combination of dexanabinol and tempol in focal cerebral ischemia: is there a ceiling effect? *Exp Neurol* **182**: 353–360.
- Teixeira-Clerc F, Julien B, Grenard P, Tran Van Nhieu J, Deveaux V, Li L, Serriere-Lanneau V, Ledent C, Mallat A, and Lotersztajn S (2006) CB1 cannabinoid receptor antagonism: a new strategy for the treatment of liver fibrosis. *Nat Med* **12**:671–676.
- Ten Ham M, Loskota WJ, and Lomax P (1975) Acute and chronic effects of β 9-tetrahydrocannabinol on seizures in the gerbil. *Eur J Pharmacol* **31**:148–152.
- Thaker GK and Carpenter WT Jr (2001) Advances in schizophrenia. *Nat Med* **7**:667–671.
- Tham SM, Angus JA, Tudor EM, and Wright CE (2005) Synergistic and additive interactions of the cannabinoid agonist CP55,940 with μ opioid receptor and α_2 -adrenoceptor agonists in acute pain models in mice. *Br J Pharmacol* **144**:875–884.
- Thanos PK, Dimitrakakis ES, Rice O, Gifford A, and Volkow ND (2005) Ethanol self-administration and ethanol conditioned place preference are reduced in mice lacking cannabinoid CB1 receptors. *Behav Brain Res* **164**:206–213.
- Thiele TE, Marsh DJ, Ste Marie L, Bernstein IL, and Palmiter RD (1998) Ethanol consumption and resistance are inversely related to neuropeptide Y levels. *Nature (Lond)* **396**:366–369.
- Thomas A, Stevenson LA, Wease KN, Price MR, Baillie G, Ross RA, and Pertwee RG (2005) Evidence that the plant cannabinoid Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol is a cannabinoid CB1 and CB2 receptor antagonist. *Br J Pharmacol* **146**:917–926.
- Thomas EA, Carson MJ, Neal MJ, and Sutcliffe JG (1997) Unique allosteric regulation of 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor-mediated signal transduction by oleamide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **94**:14115–14119.
- Thomas EA, Cravatt BF, and Sutcliffe JG (1999) The endogenous lipid oleamide activates serotonin 5-HT7 neurons in mouse thalamus and hypothalamus. *J Neurochem* **72**:2370–2378.
- Thomas MJ, Beurrier C, Bonci A, and Malenka RC (2001) Long-term depression in the nucleus accumbens: a neural correlate of behavioral sensitization to cocaine. *Nat Neurosci* **4**:1217–1223.
- Thompson AJ and Baker D (2002) Cannabinoids in MS: potentially useful but not just yet! *Neurology* **58**:1323–1324.
- Thornton-Jones ZD, Vickers SP, and Clifton PG (2005) The cannabinoid CB1 receptor antagonist SR141716A reduces appetitive and consummatory responses to food. *Psychopharmacology* **179**:452–460.
- Timpone JG, Wright DJ, Li N, Egorin MJ, Enama ME, Mayers J, and Galetto G (1997) The safety and pharmacokinetics of single-agent and combination therapy with megestrol acetate and dronabinol for the treatment of HIV wasting syndrome: the DATRI 004 Study Group. Division of AIDS Treatment Research Initiative. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* **13**:305–315.
- Tomida I, Pertwee RG, and Azuara-Blanco A (2004) Cannabinoids and glaucoma. *Br J Ophthalmol* **88**:708–713.
- Tournier M, Sorbara F, Gindre C, Swendsen JD, and Verdoux H (2003) Cannabis use and anxiety in daily life: a naturalistic investigation in a non-clinical population. *Psychiatry Res* **118**:1–8.
- Tramer MR, Carroll D, Campbell FA, Reynolds DJM, Moore RA, and McQuay HJ (2001) Cannabinoids for control of chemotherapy induced nausea and vomiting: quantitative systematic review. *Br Med J* **323**:1–8.
- Tranguch S, Daikoku T, Guo Y, Wang H, and Dey SK (2005) Molecular complexity in establishing uterine receptivity and implantation. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **62**:1964–1973.
- Treffert DA (1978) Marijuana use in schizophrenia: a clear hazard. *Am J Psychiatry* **135**:1213–1215.
- Tsou K, Brown S, Sanudo-Pena MC, Mackie K, and Walker JM (1998) Immunohistochemical distribution of cannabinoid CB1 receptors in the rat central nervous system. *Neuroscience* **83**:393–411.
- Tsou K, Lowitz KA, Hohmann AG, Martin WJ, Hathaway CB, Bereiter DA, and Walker JM (1996) Suppression of noxious stimulus-evoked expression of Fos protein-like immunoreactivity in rat spinal cord by a selective cannabinoid agonist. *Neuroscience* **70**:791–798.
- Tsou K, Mackie K, Sanudo-Pena MC, and Walker JM (1999) Cannabinoid CB1 receptors are localized primarily on cholecystokinin-containing GABAergic interneurons in the rat hippocampal formation. *Neuroscience* **93**:969–975.
- Tsuboi K, Sun YX, Okamoto Y, Araki N, Tonai T, and Ueda N (2005) Molecular characterization of N-acylethanolamine-hydrolyzing acid amidase, a novel member of the cholesteryl glycerol hydrolase family with structural and functional similarity to acid ceramidase. *J Biol Chem* **280**:11082–11092.
- Tucci SA, Rogers EK, Korbonits M, and Kirkham TC (2004) The cannabinoid CB1 receptor antagonist SR141716 blocks the orexigenic effects of intrahypothalamic ghrelin. *Br J Pharmacol* **143**:520–523.
- Turner WM and Tsuang MT (1990) Impact of substance abuse on the course and outcome of schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* **16**:87–95.
- Twelves D, Perkins KS, and Counsell C (2003) Systematic review of incidence studies of Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* **18**:19–31.
- Tzavara ET, Wade M, and Nomikos GG (2003) Biphasic effects of cannabinoids on acetylcholine release in the hippocampus: site and mechanism of action. *J Neurosci* **23**:9374–9384.
- Ueda N, Kurahashi Y, Yamamoto S, and Tokunaga T (1995) Partial purification and characterization of the porcine brain enzyme hydrolyzing and synthesizing anandamide. *J Biol Chem* **270**:23823–23827.
- Ugduzhkova DS, Krylatov AV, Bernatskaya NA, Maslov LN, Mechoulam R, and Pertwee RG (2002) Activation of cannabinoid receptors decreases the area of ischemic myocardial necrosis. *Bull Exp Biol Med* **133**:125–126.
- Ugduzhkova DS, Maslov LN, Krylatov AV, Lishmanov IuB, and Tam SV (2001) Specificity of the anti-arrhythmic effect of κ 1-opioid receptor agonists. *Ekspl Klin Farmakol* **64**:17–20.
- Ujike H and Morita Y (2004) New perspectives in the studies on endocannabinoid and cannabis: cannabinoid receptors and schizophrenia. *J Pharmacol Sci* **96**:376–381.
- Ujike H, Takaki M, Nakata K, Tanaka Y, Takeda T, Kodama M, Fujiwara Y, Sakai A, and Kuroda S (2002) CNR1, central cannabinoid receptor gene, associated with susceptibility to hebephrenic schizophrenia. *Mol Psychiatry* **7**:515–518.
- Ulugol A, Ozyigit F, Yesilyurt O, and Dogrul A (2006) The additive antinociceptive interaction between WIN 55,212-2, a cannabinoid agonist, and ketorolac. *Anesth Analg* **102**:443–447.
- Underdown NJ, Hiley CR, and Ford WR (2005) Anandamide reduces infarct size in rat isolated hearts subjected to ischaemia-reperfusion by a novel cannabinoid mechanism. *Br J Pharmacol* **146**:809–816.
- Ungerleider JT, Andrysiak T, Fairbanks L, Ellison GW, and Myers LW (1987) Δ 9-THC in the treatment of spasticity associated with multiple sclerosis. *Adv Alcohol Subst Abuse* **7**:39–50.
- Urquigón L, Perez-Rial S, Ledent C, Palomo T, and Manzanares J (2004) Impaired action of anxiolytic drugs in mice deficient in cannabinoid CB1 receptors. *Neuropharmacology* **46**:966–973.
- Vaccani A, Massi P, Colombo A, Rubino T, and Parolaro D (2005) Cannabidiol inhibits human glioma cell migration through a cannabinoid receptor-independent mechanism. *Br J Pharmacol* **144**:1032–1036.
- Vachon L, FitzGerald MX, Solliday NH, Gould IA, and Gaensler EA (1973) Single-dose effects of marijuana smoke: bronchial dynamics and respiratory-center sensitivity in normal subjects. *N Engl J Med* **288**:985–989.
- Valjent E and Maldonado R (2000) A behavioural model to reveal place preference to Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol in mice. *Psychopharmacology* **147**:436–438.
- Valverde O, Ledent C, Beslot F, Parmentier M, and Roques BP (2000) Reduction of stress-induced analgesia but not of exogenous opioid effects in mice lacking CB1 receptors. *Eur J Neurosci* **12**:533–539.
- van der Stelt M and Di Marzo V (2003) The endocannabinoid system in the basal ganglia and in the mesolimbic reward system: implications for neurological and psychiatric disorders. *Eur J Pharmacol* **480**:133–150.
- van der Stelt M and Di Marzo V (2004) Endovanilloids: putative endogenous ligands of transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 channels. *Eur J Biochem* **271**:1827–1834.
- van der Stelt M, Fox SH, Hill M, Crossman AR, Petrosino S, Di Marzo V, and Brothie JM (2005) A role for endocannabinoids in the generation of parkinsonism and levodopa-induced dyskinesia in MPTP-lesioned non-human primate models of Parkinson's disease. *FASEB J* **19**:1140–1142.
- van der Stelt M, Veldhuis WB, Bar PR, Veldink GA, Vliegthart JF, and Nicolay K (2001a) Neuroprotection by Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol, the main active compound in marijuana, against ouabain-induced in vivo excitotoxicity. *J Neurosci* **21**:6475–6479.
- van der Stelt M, Veldhuis WB, van Haften GW, Fezza F, Bisogno T, Bar PR, Veldink GA, Vliegthart JF, Di Marzo V, and Nicolay K (2001b) Exogenous anandamide protects rat brain against acute neuronal injury in vivo. *J Neurosci* **21**:8765–8771.
- Vaney C, Heinz-Gutenbrunner M, Jobin P, Tschopp F, Gattlen B, Hagen U, Schnelle M, and Reif M (2004) Efficacy, safety and tolerability of an orally administered cannabis extract in the treatment of spasticity in patients with multiple sclerosis: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover study. *Mult Scler* **10**:417–424.
- Van Gaal LF, Rissanen AM, Scheen AJ, Ziegler O, and Rossner S, for the RIO-Europe Study Group (2005) Effects of the cannabinoid-1 receptor blocker rimonabant on weight reduction and cardiovascular risk factors in overweight patients: 1-year experience from the RIO-Europe study. *Lancet* **365**:1389–1397.
- van Oosten BW, Killestein J, Mathus-Vliegen EM, and Polman CH (2004) Multiple sclerosis following treatment with a cannabinoid receptor-1 antagonist. *Mult Scler* **10**:330–331.
- Van Sickle MD, Duncan M, Kingsley PJ, Mouihate A, Urbani P, Mackie K, Stella N, Makriyannis A, Piomelli D, Davison JS, et al. (2005) Identification and functional characterization of brainstem cannabinoid CB2 receptors. *Science (Wash DC)* **310**:329–332.

- Varga K, Lake K, Martin BR, and Kunos G (1995) Novel antagonist implicates the CB1 cannabinoid receptor in the hypotensive action of anandamide. *Eur J Pharmacol* **278**:279–283.
- Varga K, Lake KD, Huangfu D, Guyenet PG, and Kunos G (1996) Mechanism of the hypotensive action of anandamide in anesthetized rats. *Hypertension* **28**:682–686.
- Varga K, Wagner JA, Bridgen DT, and Kunos G (1998) Platelet- and macrophage-derived endogenous cannabinoids are involved in endotoxin-induced hypotension. *FASEB J* **12**:1035–1044.
- Varma DR and Goldbaum D (1975) Effect of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol on experimental hypertension in rats. *J Pharm Pharmacol* **27**:790–791.
- Varma N, Carlson GC, Ledent C, and Alger BE (2001) Metabotropic glutamate receptors drive the endocannabinoid system in the hippocampus. *J Neurosci* **21**:RC188.
- Velasco G, Galve-Roperh I, Sanchez C, Blazquez C, and Guzman M (2004) Hypothesis: cannabinoid therapy for the treatment of gliomas. *Neuropharmacology* **47**:315–323.
- Venance L, Piomelli D, Glowinski J, and Giaume C (1995) Inhibition by anandamide of gap junctions and intercellular calcium signalling in striatal astrocytes. *Nature (Lond)* **376**:590–594.
- Vered M, Bar-Joseph A, Belayev L, Berkovich Y, and Biegon A (1994) Anti-ischemia activity of HU-211, a non-psychotropic synthetic cannabinoid. *Acta Neurochir Suppl* **60**:335–337.
- Verty ANA, McFarlane JR, McGregor IS, and Mallet PE (2004) Evidence for an interaction between CB₁ cannabinoid and melanocortin NCR-4 receptors in regulating food intake. *Endocrinology* **145**:3224–3231.
- Verty ANA, Singh ME, McGregor IS, and Mallet PE (2003) The cannabinoid receptor antagonist SR 141716 attenuates overfeeding induced by systemic or intracranial morphine. *Psychopharmacology* **168**:314–323.
- Vickers SP and Kennett GA (2005) Cannabinoids and the regulation of ingestive behavior. *Curr Drug Targets* **6**:215–223.
- Vickers SP, Webster LJ, Wyatt A, Dourish CT, and Kennett GA (2003) Preferential effects of the cannabinoid CB₁ receptor antagonist, SR 141716, on food intake and body weight gain of obese (fa/fa) compared to lean Zucker rats. *Psychopharmacology* **167**:103–111.
- Vidrio H, Sanchez-Salvatori MA, and Medina M (1996) Cardiovascular effects of (–)-11-OH-delta 8-tetrahydrocannabinol-dimethylheptyl in rats. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* **28**:332–336.
- Vigano D, Rubino T, and Parolaro D (2005a) Molecular and cellular basis of cannabinoid and opioid interactions. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **81**:360–368.
- Vigano D, Rubino T, Vaccani A, Bianchessi S, Marmorato P, Castiglioni C, and Parolaro D (2005b) Molecular mechanisms involved in the asymmetric interaction between cannabinoid and opioid systems. *Psychopharmacology* **182**:527–536.
- Vinod KY and Hungund BL (2005) Endocannabinoid lipids and mediated system: Implications for alcoholism and neuropsychiatric disorders. *Life Sci* **77**:1569–1583.
- Viveros MP, Marco EM, and File SE (2005) Endocannabinoid system and stress and anxiety responses. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **81**:331–342.
- Vizi ES, Katona I, and Freund TF (2001) Evidence for presynaptic cannabinoid CB₁ receptor-mediated inhibition of noradrenaline release in the guinea pig lung. *Eur J Pharmacol* **431**:237–244.
- Vlachou S, Nomikos GG, and Panagis G (2003) WIN 55,212-2 decreases the reinforcing actions of cocaine through CB1 cannabinoid receptor stimulation. *Behav Brain Res* **141**:215–222.
- Volicer L, Stelly M, Morris J, McLaughlin J, and Volicer BJ (1997) Effects of dronabinol on anorexia and disturbed behavior in patients with Alzheimer's disease. *J Geriatr Psychiatry* **12**:913–919.
- Volkow ND, Wang G-J, Fowler JS, Logan J, Gatley SJ, Gifford A, Hitzemann R, Ding YS, and Pappas N (1999) Prediction of reinforcing responses to psychostimulants in humans by brain dopamine D₂ receptor levels. *Am J Psychiatry* **156**:1440–1443.
- Volkow ND, Wang G-J, Fowler JS, Logan J, Gatley SJ, Hitzemann R, Chen AD, Dewey SL, and Pappas N (1997) Decreased striatal dopaminergic responsiveness in detoxified cocaine-dependent subjects. *Nature (Lond)* **386**:830–833.
- Voruganti LN, Slomka P, Zabel P, Mattar A, and Awad AG (2001) Cannabis induced dopamine release: an in-vivo SPECT study. *Psychiatry Res* **107**:173–177.
- Wada JA, Osawa T, and Corcoran ME (1975a) Effects of tetrahydrocannabinols on kindled amygdaloid seizures and photogenic seizures in Senegalese baboons, *Papio papio*. *Epilepsia* **16**:439–448.
- Wada JA, Wake A, Sato M, and Corcoran ME (1975b) Antiepileptic and prophylactic effects of tetrahydrocannabinols in amygdaloid kindled cats. *Epilepsia* **16**:503–510.
- Wade DT, Makela P, Robson P, House H, and Bateman C (2004) Do cannabis-based medicinal extracts have general or specific effects on symptoms in multiple sclerosis? A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study on 160 patients. *Mult Scler* **10**:434–441.
- Wade DT, Robson P, House H, Makela P, and Aram J (2003) A preliminary controlled study to determine whether whole-plant cannabis extracts can improve intractable neurogenic symptoms. *Clin Rehabil* **17**:21–29.
- Wagner JA, Abesser M, Karcher J, Laser M, and Kunos G (2005) Coronary vasodilator effects of endogenous cannabinoids in vasopressin-precontracted unpaced rat isolated hearts. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* **46**:348–355.
- Wagner JA, Hu K, Bauersachs J, Karcher J, Wiesler M, Goparaju SK, Kunos G, and Ertl G (2001a) Endogenous cannabinoids mediate hypotension after experimental myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* **38**:2048–2054.
- Wagner JA, Hu K, Karcher J, Bauersachs J, Schafer A, Laser M, Han H, and Ertl G (2003) CB₁ cannabinoid receptor antagonism promotes remodeling and cannabinoid treatment prevents endothelial dysfunction and hypotension in rats with myocardial infarction. *Br J Pharmacol* **138**:1251–1258.
- Wagner JA, J  rai Z, B  tkai S, and Kunos G (2001b) Hemodynamic effects of cannabinoids: coronary and cerebral vasodilation mediated by cannabinoid CB₁ receptors. *Eur J Pharmacol* **423**:203–210.
- Wagner JA, Varga K, Ellis EF, Rzigalinski BA, Martin BR, and Kunos G (1997) Activation of peripheral CB1 cannabinoid receptors in haemorrhagic shock. *Nature (Lond)* **390**:518–521.
- Wagner JA, Varga K, J  rai Z, and Kunos G (1999) Mesenteric vasodilation mediated by endothelial anandamide receptors. *Hypertension* **33**:429–434.
- Wahn H, Wolf J, Kram F, Frantz S, and Wagner JA (2005) The endocannabinoid arachidonyl ethanolamide (anandamide) increases pulmonary arterial pressure via cyclooxygenase-2 products in isolated rabbit lungs. *Am J Physiol* **289**:H2491–H2496.
- Waksman Y, Olson JM, Carlisle SJ, and Cabral GA (1999) The central cannabinoid receptor (CB1) mediates inhibition of nitric oxide production by rat microglial cells. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **288**:1357–1366.
- Walker JM and Huang SM (2002) Cannabinoid analgesia. *Pharmacol Ther* **95**:127–135.
- Walker JM, Huang SM, Strangman NM, and Sa  udo-Pe  a MC (2000) Identification of the role of endogenous cannabinoids in pain modulation: strategies and pitfalls. *J Pain* **1**:20–32.
- Walker JM, Huang SM, Strangman NM, Tsou K, and Sa  udo-Pe  a MC (1999) Pain modulation by release of the endogenous cannabinoid anandamide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **96**:12198–12203.
- Walker JM, Krey JF, Chu CJ, and Huang SM (2002) Endocannabinoids and related fatty acid derivatives in pain modulation. *Chem Phys Lipids* **121**:159–172.
- Wallace MJ, Blair RE, Falenski KW, Martin BR, and DeLorenzo RJ (2003a) The endogenous cannabinoid system regulates seizure frequency and duration in a model of temporal lobe epilepsy. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **307**:129–137.
- Wallace MJ, Martin BR, and DeLorenzo RJ (2002) Evidence for a physiological role of endocannabinoids in the modulation of seizure threshold and severity. *Eur J Pharmacol* **452**:295–301.
- Walsh D, Nelson KA, and Mahmoud FA (2003) Established and potential therapeutic applications of cannabinoids in oncology. *Support Care Cancer* **11**:137–143.
- Walter L, Franklin A, Witting A, Wade C, Xie Y, Kunos G, Mackie K, and Stella N (2003) Nonpsychotropic cannabinoid receptors regulate microglial cell migration. *J Neurosci* **23**:1398–1405.
- Walter L and Stella N (2004) Cannabinoids and neuroinflammation. *Br J Pharmacol* **141**:775–785.
- Walther S, Mahlberg R, Eichmann U, and Kunz D (2006) Δ -9-Tetrahydrocannabinol for nighttime agitation in severe dementia. *Psychopharmacology* **185**:524–528.
- Wang H, Dey SK, and Maccarrone M (2006) Jekyll and Hyde: two faces of cannabinoid signaling in male and female fertility. *Endocr Rev*, in press.
- Wang H, Guo Y, Wang D, Kingsley PJ, Marnett LJ, Das SK, DuBois RN, and Dey SK (2004) Aberrant cannabinoid signaling impairs oviductal transport of embryos. *Nat Med* **10**:1074–1079.
- Wang J, Paria BC, Dey SK, and Armant DR (1999) Stage-specific excitation of cannabinoid receptor exhibits differential effects on mouse embryonic development. *Biol Reprod* **60**:839–844.
- Wang L, Liu J, Harvey-White J, Zimmer A, and Kunos G (2003) Endocannabinoid signaling via cannabinoid receptor 1 is involved in ethanol preference and its age-dependent decline in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **100**:1393–1398.
- Wang X and Feuerstein GZ (2000) Role of immune and inflammatory mediators in CNS injury. *Drug News Perspect* **13**:133–140.
- Wang Y, Kaminski NE, and Wang DH (2005) VR1-mediated depressor effects during high-salt intake: role of anandamide. *Hypertension* **46**:986–991.
- Wang Y, Liu Y, Ito Y, Hashiguchi T, Kitajima I, Yamakuchi M, Shimizu H, Matsuo S, Imaizumi H, and Maruyama I (2001) Simultaneous measurement of anandamide and 2-arachidonoylglycerol by polymyxin B-selective adsorption and subsequent high-performance liquid chromatography analysis: increase in endogenous cannabinoids in the sera of patients with endotoxic shock. *Anal Biochem* **294**:73–82.
- Ward SJ and Dykstra LA (2005) The role of CB1 receptors in sweet versus fat reinforcement: effect of CB1 receptor deletion, CB1 receptor antagonism (SR141716A) and CB1 receptor agonism (CP-55940). *Behav Pharmacol* **16**:381–388.
- Ware M and Beaulieu P (2005) Cannabinoids for the treatment of pain: an update on recent clinical trials. *Pain Res Manag* **10**:27A–30A.
- Ware MA, Adams H, and Guy GW (2005) The medicinal use of cannabis in the UK: results of a nationwide survey. *Int J Clin Pract* **59**:291–295.
- Ware MA, Doyle CR, Woods R, Lynch ME, and Clark AJ (2003) Cannabis use for chronic non-cancer pain: results of a prospective survey. *Pain* **102**:211–216.
- Wartmann M, Campbell D, Subramanian A, Burstein SH, and Davis RJ (1995) The MAP kinase signal transduction pathway is activated by the endogenous cannabinoid anandamide. *FEBS Lett* **359**:133–136.
- Watzl B, Scuderi P, and Watson RR (1991) Influence of marijuana components (THC and CBD) on human mononuclear cell cytokine secretion in vitro. *Adv Exp Med Biol* **288**:63–70.
- Weidenfeld J, Feldman S, and Mechoulam R (1994) Effect of the brain constituent anandamide, a cannabinoid receptor agonist, on the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal axis in the rat. *Neuroendocrinology* **59**:110–112.
- Weiss F, Lorang MT, Bloom FE, and Koob GF (1993) Oral alcohol self-administration stimulates dopamine release in the rat nucleus accumbens: genetic and motivational determinants. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **267**:250–258.
- Weksler ME, Gouras G, Relkin NR, and Szabo P (2005) The immune system, amyloid-   peptide, and Alzheimer's disease. *Immunol Rev* **205**:244–256.
- Welsh SP and Stevens DL (1992) Antinociceptive activity of intrathecal administered cannabinoids alone and in combination with morphine in mice. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **262**:10–18.
- Wenger T, Jamali KA, Juaneda C, Leonardelli J, and Tramu G (1997) Arachidonyl ethanolamide (anandamide) activates the parvocellular part of hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **237**:724–728.
- Westlake TM, Howlett AC, Bonner TI, Matsuda LA, and Herkenham M (1994) Cannabinoid receptor binding and messenger RNA expression in human brain: an in vitro receptor autoradiography and in situ hybridization histochemistry study of normal aged and Alzheimer's brains. *Neuroscience* **63**:637–652.

- Whan LB, West MC, McClure N, and Lewis SE (2006) Effects of Δ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol, the primary psychoactive cannabinoid in marijuana, on human sperm function in vitro. *Fertil Steril* **85**:653–660.
- Whiteside GT, Gottshall SL, Boulet JM, Chaffer SM, Harrison JE, Pearson MS, Turchin PI, Mark L, Garrison AE, and Valenzano KJ (2005) A role for cannabinoid receptors, but not endogenous opioids, in the antinociceptive activity of the CB₂-selective agonist, GW405833. *Eur J Pharmacol* **528**:65–72.
- Wickens AP and Pertwee RG (1993) Δ^9 -Tetrahydrocannabinol and anandamide enhance the ability of muscimol to induce catalepsy in the globus pallidus of rats. *Eur J Pharmacol* **250**:205–208.
- Wiley JL, Burston JJ, Leggett DC, Alekseeva OO, Razdan RK, Mahadevan A, and Martin BR (2005) CB1 cannabinoid receptor-mediated modulation of food intake in mice. *Br J Pharmacol* **145**:293–300.
- Williams CM and Kirkham TC (1999) Anandamide induces overeating: mediation by central cannabinoid (CB1) receptors. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **143**:315–317.
- Williams CM and Kirkham TC (2002) Reversal of Δ^9 -THC hyperphagia by SR141716 and naloxone but not dexfenfluramine. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **71**:341–348.
- Williams CM, Rogers PJ, and Kirkham TC (1998) Hyperphagia in prefed rats following oral Δ^9 -THC. *Physiol Behav* **65**:343–346.
- Williams IJ, Edwards S, Rubo A, Haller VL, Stevens DL, and Welch SP (2006) Time course of the enhancement and restoration of the analgesic efficacy of codeine and morphine by delta(9)-tetrahydrocannabinol. *Eur J Pharmacol* **539**:57–63.
- Williams SJ, Hartley JP, and Graham JD (1976) Bronchodilator effect of Δ^1 -tetrahydrocannabinol administered by aerosol of asthmatic patients. *Thorax* **31**:720–723.
- Wills-Karp M (1999) Immunologic basis of antigen-induced airway hyperresponsiveness. *Annu Rev Immunol* **17**:255–281.
- Wilson RI, Kunos G, and Nicoll RA (2001) Presynaptic specificity of endocannabinoid signalling in the hippocampus. *Neuron* **31**:453–462.
- Wilson RI and Nicoll RA (2001) Endogenous cannabinoids mediate retrograde signalling at hippocampal synapses. *Nature (Lond)* **410**:588–592.
- Wilson RI and Nicoll RA (2002) Endocannabinoid signaling in the brain. *Science (Wash DC)* **296**:678–682.
- Wirguin I, Mechoulam R, Breuer A, Schezen E, Weidenfeld J, and Brenner T (1994) Suppression of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by cannabinoids. *Immunopharmacology* **28**:209–214.
- Wise RA (2004) Dopamine, learning and motivation. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **5**:483–494.
- Witkin JM, Tzavara ET, Davis RJ, Li X, and Nomikos GG (2005) A therapeutic role for cannabinoid CB₁ receptor antagonists in major depressive disorders. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* **26**:609–617.
- Witting A, Chen L, Cudaback E, Straiker A, Walter L, Rickman B, Moller T, Brosnan C, and Stella N (2006) Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis disrupts endocannabinoid-mediated neuroprotection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **103**:6362–6367.
- Witting A, Walter L, Wacker J, Moller T, and Stella N (2004) P2X7 receptors control 2-arachidonoylglycerol production by microglial cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **101**:3214–3219.
- Woolridge E, Barton S, Samuel J, Osorio J, Dougherty A, and Holdcroft A (2005) Cannabis use in HIV for pain and other medical symptoms. *J Pain Symptom Manage* **29**:358–367.
- Wright K, Rooney N, Feeney M, Tate J, Robertson D, Welham M, and Ward S (2005) Differential expression of cannabinoid receptors in the human colon: cannabinoids promote epithelial wound healing. *Gastroenterology* **129**:437–453.
- Yaksh TL (1981) The antinociceptive effects of intrathecally administered levonantradol and desacetylleonantradol in the rat. *J Clin Pharmacol* **21**:334S–340S.
- Yamauchi T, Kamon J, Minokoshi Y, Ito Y, Waki H, Uchida S, Yamashita S, Noda M, Kita S, Ueki K, et al. (2002) Adiponectin stimulates glucose utilization and fatty-acid oxidation by activating AMP-activated protein kinase. *Nat Med* **8**:1288–1295.
- Yao L, McFarland K, Fan P, Jiang Z, Ueda T, and Diamond I (2006) Adenosine A2a blockade prevents synergy between μ -opioid and cannabinoid CB1 receptors and eliminates heroin-seeking behavior in addicted rats. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **103**:7877–7882.
- Yazulla S, Studholme KM, McIntosh HH, and Deutsch DG (1999) Immunocytochemical localization of cannabinoid CB1 receptor and fatty acid amide hydrolase in rat retina. *J Comp Neurol* **415**:80–90.
- Yazulla S, Studholme KM, McIntosh HH, and Fan SF (2000) Cannabinoid receptors on goldfish retinal bipolar cells: electron-microscope immunocytochemistry and whole-cell recordings. *Vis Neurosci* **17**:391–401.
- Yoles E, Belkin M, and Schwartz M (1996) HU-211, a nonpsychotropic cannabinoid, produces short- and long-term neuroprotection after optic nerve axotomy. *J Neurotrauma* **13**:49–57.
- Yoshida T, Fukaya M, Uchigashima M, Miura E, Kamiya H, Kano M, and Watanabe M (2006) Localization of diacylglycerol lipase- α around postsynaptic spine suggests close proximity between production site of an endocannabinoid, 2-arachidonylglycerol, and presynaptic cannabinoid CB1 receptor. *J Neurosci* **26**:4740–4751.
- Yoshihara S, Morimoto H, Ohori M, Yamada Y, Abe T, and Arisaka O (2005) Endogenous cannabinoid receptor agonists inhibit neurogenic inflammations in guinea pig airways. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol* **138**:80–87.
- Zajicek J, Fox P, Sanders H, Wright D, Vickery J, Nunn A, and Thompson A (2004) The cannabinoids in MS study—final results from 12 months follow-up. *Mult Scler* **10**:S115.
- Zajicek J, Fox P, Sanders H, Wright D, Vickery J, Nunn A, Thompson A, and UK MS Research Group (2003) Cannabinoids for treatment of spasticity and other symptoms related to multiple sclerosis (CAMS study): multicentre randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* **362**:1517–1526.
- Zalish M and Lavie V (2003) Dexamnabinol (HU-211) has a beneficial effect on axonal sprouting and survival after rat optic nerve crush injury. *Vision Res* **43**:237–242.
- Zangen A, Solinas M, Ikemoto S, Goldberg SR, and Wise RA (2006) Two brain sites for cannabinoid reward. *J Neurosci* **26**:4901–4907.
- Zaretsky A, Rector NA, Seeman MV, and Fornazzari X (1993) Current cannabis use and tardive dyskinesia. *Schizophr Res* **11**:3–8.
- Zaugg HE and Kyncl J (1983) New antihypertensive cannabinoids. *J Med Chem* **26**:214–217.
- Zavitsanou K, Garrick T, and Huang XF (2004) Selective antagonist [³H]SR141716A binding to cannabinoid CB1 receptors is increased in the anterior cingulate cortex in schizophrenia. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* **28**:355–360.
- Zeng BY, Dass B, Owen A, Rose S, Cannizzaro C, Tel BC, and Jenner P (1999) Chronic L-DOPA treatment increases striatal cannabinoid CB1 receptor mRNA expression in 6-hydroxydopamine-lesioned rats. *Neurosci Lett* **276**:71–74.
- Zhang ZF, Morgenstern H, Spitz MR, Tashkin DP, Yu GP, Marshall JR, Hsu TC, and Schantz SP (1999) Marijuana use and increased risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **8**:1071–1078.
- Zheng ZM, Specter S, and Friedman H (1992) Inhibition by Δ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol of tumor necrosis factor α production by mouse and human macrophages. *Int J Immunopharmacol* **14**:1445–1452.
- Zheng ZM and Specter SC (1996) Δ -9-Tetrahydrocannabinol suppresses tumor necrosis factor α maturation and secretion but not its transcription in mouse macrophages. *Int J Immunopharmacol* **18**:53–68.
- Zhu LX, Sharma S, Stolina M, Gardner B, Roth MD, Tashkin DP, and Dubinett SM (2000) Δ -9-Tetrahydrocannabinol inhibits antitumor immunity by a CB2 receptor-mediated, cytokine-dependent pathway. *J Immunol* **165**:373–380.
- Zhuang SY, Bridges D, Grigorenko E, McCloud S, Boon A, Hampson RE, and Deadwyler SA (2005) Cannabinoids produce neuroprotection by reducing intracellular calcium release from ryanodine-sensitive stores. *Neuropharmacology* **48**:1086–1096.
- Zimmer A, Valjent E, Konig M, Zimmer AM, Robledo P, Hahn H, Valverde O, and Maldonado R (2001) Absence of Δ -9-tetrahydrocannabinol dysphoric effects in dynorphin-deficient mice. *J Neurosci* **21**:9499–9505.
- Zimmer A, Zimmer AM, Hohmann AG, Herkenham M, and Bonner TI (1999) Increased mortality, hypoactivity, and hypoalgesia in cannabinoid CB1 receptor knockout mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **96**:5780–5785.
- Zuardi AW, Crippa JA, Hallak JE, Moreira FA, and Guimaraes FS (2006) Cannabidiol, a Cannabis sativa constituent, as an antipsychotic drug. *Braz J Med Biol Res* **39**:421–429.
- Zuardi AW, Morais SL, Guimaraes FS, and Mechoulam R (1995) Antipsychotic effect of cannabidiol. *J Clin Psychiatry* **56**:485–486.
- Zuardi AW, Shirakawa I, Finkelfarb E, and Karniol IG (1982) Action of cannabidiol on the anxiety and other effects produced by Δ^9 -THC in normal subjects. *Psychopharmacology* **76**:245–250.
- Zurier RB, Rossetti RG, Burstein SH, and Biding B (2003) Suppression of human monocyte interleukin-1 β production by ajulemic acid, a nonpsychoactive cannabinoid. *Biochem Pharmacol* **65**:649–655.
- Zurier RB, Rossetti RG, Lane JH, Goldberg JM, Hunter SA, and Burstein SH (1998) Dimethylheptyl-THC-11 oic acid: a nonpsychoactive antiinflammatory agent with a cannabinoid template structure. *Arthritis Rheum* **41**:163–170.
- Zygmunt PM, Chuang H, Movahed P, Julius D, and Hogestätt ED (2000) The anandamide transport inhibitor AM404 activates vanilloid receptors. *Eur J Pharmacol* **396**:39–42.
- Zygmunt PM, Petersson J, Andersson DA, Chuang HH, Sorgard M, Di Marzo V, Julius D, and Högestätt ED (1999) Vanilloid receptors on sensory nerves mediate the vasodilator action of anandamide. *Nature (Lond)* **400**:452–457.